

INTERNATIONAL FOOD POLICY RESEARCH INSTITUTE sustainable solutions for ending hunger and poverty

FOOD CONSUMPTION AND NUTRITION DIVISION

March 2006

FCND Discussion Paper 204

Nutrition Mapping in Tanzania

An Exploratory Analysis

Kenneth R. Simler

2033 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20006-1002 USA • Tel.: +1-202-862-5600 • Fax: +1-202-467-4439 • ifpri@cgiar.org www.ifpri.org

IFPRI Division Discussion Papers contain preliminary material and research results. They have not been subject to formal external reviews managed by IFPRI's Publications Review Committee, but have been reviewed by at least one internal or external researcher. They are circulated in order to stimulate discussion and critical comment.

Copyright 2006, International Food Policy Research Institute. All rights reserved. Sections of this material may be reproduced for personal and not-forprofit use without the express written permission of but with acknowledgment to IFPRI. To reproduce the material contained herein for profit or commercial use requires express written permission. To obtain permission, contact the Communications Division at ifpri-copyright@cgiar.org.

Abstract

For effective decisionmaking, policymakers and program managers often need detailed information about the welfare of the population, including knowledge about which specific areas are most affected by poverty and undernutrition. Household sample surveys are an important source of information, yet because the typical sample size is only a few thousand observations, the information is only useful for inferences at high levels of aggregation, such as the nation or large regional units. In contrast, data sources with wider coverage, such as national censuses, rarely capture detailed information on welfare levels. Recently small-area estimation techniques have been applied to the study of poverty to produce estimates of poverty, or poverty maps, for small geographic units.

This paper uses household survey and unit record census data from Tanzania to explore the possibility of applying small-area estimation methods to the study of children's nutritional status as measured by anthropometry. Overall, undernutrition models have had lower explanatory power than poverty models, which has important implications for the precision of the small-area estimates. The analysis finds that applying small-area estimation techniques to anthropometric data is feasible, although the relatively low explanatory power of the regressions does limit both the degree of disaggregation possible and the power to detect significant differences in undernutrition prevalence between districts and subdistricts. In the case of Tanzania, the nutrition mapping approach reveals considerable heterogeneity in nutritional status within regions and within districts. The most striking finding is the much lower levels of undernutrition in areas classified as urban, including relatively small district centers.

Key words: nutrition mapping, malnutrition, anthropometry, small area estimation, Tanzania

Contents

Acknow	ledgments	V
1. Intro	luction	1
2. Meth	ods and Data	3
Meas	suring Children's Nutritional Status	3
Estin (nating Z-scores as a Function of Individual, Household, and Community Characteristics	5
Estin	nating Z-scores in the Census Data	10
Data		11
3. Resu	lts	13
Regr	ession Results	13
Smal	I-Area Estimates of Stunting and Underweight	19
4. Sum	nary and Conclusions	26
Reference	ces	29

Tables

1 Means and standard errors of mean for variables used in first-stage regressio			
2	Regressions for height-for-age Z-scores	. 16	
3	Regressions for weight-for-age Z-score	. 18	
4	Within-sample prediction of stunting and underweight prevalence	. 19	

Figures

1	Mean height-for-age Z-scores, by age and area of residence	. 8
2	Mean weight-for-age Z-scores, by age and area of residence	. 9
3	Estimates of stunting prevalence at region level	20

4	Estimates of stunting prevalence at district level	. 21
5	Estimated stunting prevalence, by grouped wards	. 22
6	Estimated underweight prevalence, by region	. 23
7	Estimated underweight prevalence, by district	. 23
8	Estimated underweight prevalence, by grouped wards	. 24
9	Significant differences in stunting prevalence between district and subdistrict	. 25
10	Significant differences in underweight prevalence between district and subdistrict	. 25

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by a grant from the Rockefeller Foundation's East Africa office. Special thanks go to John Lynam of Rockefeller for his encouragement and support. I also thank the Tanzania National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and the African Census Analysis Project at the University of Pennsylvania for providing the census data, and Ahmed Makbel of NBS and Nicholas Minot for sorting out some thorny issues with the data. Todd Benson provided invaluable assistance in constructing the geographic variables. I thank Patti Kristiansen and John Owore of the International Livestock Research Institute and Richard Bakubika of NBS for completing the digitized ward-level map of Tanzania. Livia Montana of the Measure DHS program at Macro International helped with accessing and interpreting the Demographic and Health Survey data used in this study, especially with regard to geo-referencing of the data. Thanks to Todd Benson, Nicholas Minot, and Blandina Kilama for their assistance and encouragement at various stages of the research. Research on Poverty Alleviation and the Tanzania Poverty Research and Analysis Working Group also played important roles in bringing this research to fruition. The useful comments of participants at workshops in Dar es Salaam are also greatly appreciated. An anonymous reviewer provided several insightful comments and suggestions for improving the paper. Any remaining errors are the responsibility of the author.

Kenneth R. Simler International Food Policy Research Institute

1. Introduction

Poverty and underdevelopment have many dimensions. Although measures such as GDP per capita and the poverty headcount ratio tend to garner the most attention, other aspects of development, such as child mortality, life expectancy, literacy, food security, access to clean water, physical security, and political freedom, are also important barometers of living conditions.

Among non-income measures of welfare, children's nutritional status is arguably one of the most important and widely used. Children's nutritional status is important because it provides critical information about the living conditions of some of the most vulnerable members of society: young children. It also reflects how much investment is being made in the students and workers of the future. The popularity of children's nutritional status as a measure of well-being is attributable in part to the relative ease of collecting information. Anthropometry-based assessments of nutritional status require only collection of information about children's heights, weights, and ages, which is relatively noninvasive. Moreover, the international reference standards that form part of the assessment are widely accepted and easy to apply.

One of the targets of the first Millennium Development Goal is to reduce by onehalf the proportion of people who suffer from hunger. One of the indicators adopted to measure progress toward this target is a measure based on anthropometry: the percentage of children under the age of five years who are underweight (that is, weigh substantially less than they should, given their age and sex).

Although collecting anthropometry data is reasonably straightforward, most national surveys collect such information on only a few thousand children.¹ Although that may seem like a large number, it usually only provides sufficiently precise estimates at the national level and for large subnational groupings, such as breakdowns by region,

¹ Health clinics and growth monitoring programs typically measure many more children on an ongoing basis, but these data are generally not representative of nutritional status in the larger population, because those who attend clinics are a self-selected group that may systematically differ in some ways from the rest of the population.

gender, or age group. Nutritional status estimates for large areas typically mask the high within-country variability that exists, including pockets of severe undernutrition² or "hunger hot spots." Developing policies and programs to improve nutritional status requires a more disaggregated picture of undernutrition, especially as countries move to greater levels of decentralization.

Over the past few years new methodologies have been developed that permit estimation of welfare measures for finely disaggregated population groups. These methods combine the strengths of household survey data (detailed information about a relatively small sample) with national census data (limited information about all or most of the country's population). In particular, small-area estimation methods have been applied to the measurement of poverty to produce high-resolution "poverty maps," which show the distribution of poverty for small geographic units (see, for example, Alderman et al. 2003, Elbers, Lanjouw, and Lanjouw 2003, Minot 2000, and Simler and Nhate 2005). Poverty maps can be useful in various research and programmatic settings: for example, they can be used to inform geographic targeting of antipoverty efforts, to explore the geographic determinants of poverty, or to investigate the interrelationship between poverty, inequality, and crime.

This paper applies the small-area estimation methodology to the analysis of child undernutrition in Tanzania. Although the steps for adapting poverty mapping analysis to undernutrition appear to be fairly clear, it remains uncertain whether it is possible to produce small-area estimates of undernutrition that are precise enough to be useful. This uncertainty arises because a large part of the precision of poverty maps is determined by the explanatory power of the first-stage regression model that is estimated from the survey data. Overall, researchers have been less successful at explaining the variation in undernutrition than they have in explaining the variation in household income and expenditure. The only two nutrition mapping studies that we are aware of have yielded

 $^{^2}$ Generally speaking, malnutrition encompasses both nutrient inadequacies (undernutrition) and nutrient excesses (overnutrition). Although the term malnutrition is used more often in everyday speech, this paper focuses exclusively on undernutrition and will use that term.

mixed results. Fujii (2005) made use of an unusually rich geographic information system (GIS) database and an elaborate variance structure to describe the spatial patterns of child undernutrition in Cambodia with a reasonable level of precision down to the level of communes. Gilligan and Veiga (2004) generate point estimates of nutritional status at the *município* level in Brazil, but since they do not report standard errors, it is not possible to assess the precision of their estimates.

This research contributes to the literature by applying nutrition mapping to another country setting, Tanzania, which to the best of our knowledge is the first nutrition map for an African country. There are two main research questions. First, is nutrition mapping feasible? And second, if it is feasible, what does a nutrition map for Tanzania look like, or more specifically, what is the spatial distribution of undernutrition in Tanzania?

This paper is organized as follows. The next section describes the methods used to measure child nutritional status, estimate nutritional status regressions, and project those regressions onto the census population. The third section presents the results of the estimations, showing the spatial distribution of child undernutrition in Tanzania. The last section summarizes the findings and presents some concluding comments.

2. Methods and Data

This section presents information about the indicators used to measure children's nutritional status, the approach used to generate the small-area estimates of undernutrition in Tanzania, and a summary of the data used in this study. We begin with a review of the calculation of Z-scores, which is a standard method for comparing child growth to an international reference standard.

Measuring Children's Nutritional Status

Anthropometry—or measuring the human body—is commonly used to evaluate children's nutritional status. It is a relatively noninvasive method of collecting basic

information about a child's growth status, from which one can make inferences about current and past nutritional status. Assessments of children's nutritional status using anthropometry are based on a comparison of measured height and weight with the ageand sex-specific heights and weights of a well-nourished reference population (WHO 1983, 1995). Despite observed differences across ethnic groups in adult height and weight, it has been consistently demonstrated that—given adequate nutrition and healthy environments—the growth patterns of children up to the age of five years are remarkably similar (Habicht et al. 1974; Graciter and Gentry 1981; Martorell 1985; WHO 1995).³

The most common way of expressing a child's nutritional status, especially for international work, is by calculation of a Z-score. A Z-score is defined as the number of standard deviation units a child's weight or height is from the median value for children of the same age and sex in the reference population. For example, in the reference population the median height of girls 32 months old is 91.0 centimeters, with a standard deviation of $3.6.^4$ Therefore, a 32-month-old girl who is 85.6 centimeters tall has a height-for-age Z-score of -1.5 (85.6 - 91.0 / 3.6). Children with Z-scores less than -2 are generally classified as undernourished according to that indicator. The principal indicators are height-for-age, weight-for-height, and weight-for-age. The proportion of children with Z-scores below -2 is the prevalence of stunting (low height-for-age), wasting (low weight-for-height), and underweight (low weight-for-age).

Stunting, or linear growth faltering, is often viewed as a measure of chronic deprivation. Stunting is usually a result of a combination of insufficient nutrient intake and repeated illness. It is a cumulative process that in most cases cannot be reversed, especially in developing-country settings where the resources for "catch up" growth are lacking. Wasting, on the other hand, indicates acute thinness and is a measure of current

³ Minor exceptions to similarities in growth patterns of young children have been detected in some Asian populations (WHO 1995), but these are not relevant to the present study population.

⁴ For some combinations of age and sex, the reference distribution is asymmetric, with positive skewness. In these instances the standard deviation above the median is larger than that below the median, and the Z-score is calculated using the standard deviation that corresponds to the child's height or weight relative to the median.

nutritional status. Wasting is evident most often when a child is severely ill and in times of crisis such as famines. Weight-for-age is a composite indicator of nutritional status. A child whose current health and nutrition are adequate may be underweight because he or she is stunted. That is, past events caused stunting, and the child is now shorter and lighter than the standards for his or her age. Alternatively, a child who is not stunted could be underweight because of current acute nutritional stress, so that the child is much lighter than the standards, even though his or her height corresponds to the standards. In this study we will examine height-for-age and weight-for-age.

Estimating Z-scores as a Function of Individual, Household, and Community Characteristics

The basic principles of the small-area estimation methodology are fairly simple. Household survey data—in this case the 1991–92 Demographic and Health Survey (DHS)—are used to estimate the statistical relationship between children's anthropometric Z-scores and a set of independent variables that are expected to be correlated with nutritional status. The set of variables considered for the right-hand side of the regression equations are limited to those variables that appear in both the household survey and the population census. Estimating the regression parameters from the survey data is commonly referred to as "Stage 1." In Stage 2, the estimated regression coefficients are applied to the census data to produce estimates of anthropometric Z-score for each child less than five years old who is recorded in the census. The Z-score estimates are used in turn to estimate the prevalence of stunting and underweight. Because the Stage 2 nutritional status estimates are available for the entire population, it is possible to calculate undernutrition prevalence rates for small subgroups of the population.

The explanatory variables in the first-stage regressions capture characteristics at various levels that are hypothesized to be related to the child's achieved growth. At the individual level, characteristics specific to the child—such as the child's age and sex—are typically significant explanatory variables. At the household level, there are several

variables that reflect the household's socioeconomic status, which is also usually correlated with nutritional status. Potential household-level variables may include the education level of the head of household and other adults, the number of members in the household and the proportion that are of working age, the age of the head of the household, and dwelling characteristics such as type of water source, type of sanitation facilities, and access to electricity.

Even after accounting for household and individual characteristics, there is usually some variation in children's nutritional status that is captured by community-level characteristics. In other words, nutritional status levels often move together in a particular area, being systematically higher or lower than other areas even after controlling for household and individual characteristics. Accounting for this spatial correlation, or "location effect," in children's Z-scores is important for maximizing the precision of the small-area estimates. The two main sources of community-level variables are geographic variables drawn from GIS data sets, and community-level variables constructed from the unit record data in the census; these data sources are described later in this section. As it was not possible to match enumeration areas in the survey with enumeration areas in the census, the community-level variables were constructed at the level of the district. Candidate variables include demographic patterns (for example, proportion of the district population less than five years old, proportion of female-headed households, population density, sex ratio), housing conditions (for example, proportion of dwellings with electricity, proportion without toilet or latrine), and geographic characteristics (for example, elevation, mean annual rainfall, distance to market centers).

Before estimating the first-stage regressions, it is first necessary to identify which potential correlates of children's nutritional status were collected in both the census and the DHS. This is accomplished by examining the respective questionnaires. However, even questions that appear to be worded the same sometimes actually have different underlying definitions, or are implemented differently in the field, so that they are not measuring the same concept. The means and variances of the candidate variables are

compared between the census and the DHS to ensure that they are capturing the same information; this process is sometimes called "Stage 0." If the distribution of a variable is substantially different in the two data sets, that variable is eliminated from consideration as a regressor. This issue only arises for the individual- and household-level variables, as all of the district-level variables are calculated either from the census alone or a separate geographic database.

More formally, the first-stage regressions estimate children's anthropometric Zscores as a function of observable individual, household, and community characteristics, using a linear approximation of the form

$$z_{chi} = X'_{chi}\beta + W'_{ch}\gamma + V'_{c}\lambda + \varepsilon_{chi}, \qquad (1)$$

where z_{chi} is the Z-score of child *i* in household *h* in community *c*. X_{chi} , W_{ch} , and V_c are vectors of individual, household, and community characteristics, respectively, and ε_{chi} is a child-specific stochastic disturbance term with mean zero and variance σ^2 . β , γ , and λ are vectors of regression coefficients to be estimated. The DHS is not a self-weighting survey, as the probability of selection varies by enumeration area. Thus the regressions are estimated using sample weights, which are the inverse of the probability of selection in the DHS sample.

Rather than impose the assumption that the quantitative relationship between Zscores and the regressors is uniform throughout Tanzania, separate regressions are estimated for each of the survey strata. The 1991–92 DHS is stratified by rural and urban area of residence. Separate models were also estimated for children less than 24 months old and children 24 to 60 months old. This was done because the relationship between nutritional status and age—an important correlate of nutritional status—is clearly nonlinear in Tanzania, as Figure 1 shows. The figure shows that mean height-for-age starts between 0 and -0.5 Z-scores, and then deteriorates rapidly until the age of approximately 20 months, followed by a plateau around –2 Z-scores. This is a pattern seen in most low-income countries. A similar pattern is exhibited for weight-for-age,



Figure 1—Mean height-for-age Z-scores, by age and area of residence

except the mean starts closer to zero, and the decline bottoms out slightly earlier and at a slightly less negative value, around -1.5 (Figure 2). One approach to accommodating the nonlinear relationship between age and Z-score would be to estimate a single model in each stratum for all under-fives, but including a quadratic term for the age of the child. We opted not to use that approach because (1) the descriptive data suggest more of a piecewise linear relationship than a quadratic relationship,⁵ and (2) we wanted to allow for the possibility that the coefficients on other variables also vary between the two age groups. Even though the quantitative relationship between nutritional status and age appears to change near 20 months of age, we are forced to divide the age groups at 24 months because the census data used in Stage 2 only measure age in years, not months. Regressions for height-for-age Z-scores and weight-for-age Z-scores were estimated

⁵ This was confirmed by estimating models with linear and quadratic age terms, and finding that they had lower explanatory power than the piecewise linear models presented in this paper.



Figure 2—Mean weight-for-age Z-scores, by age and area of residence

separately for each of the four groups of children. The combination of two strata, two age groups within each stratum, and two nutritional status measures for each stratum-age combination yields a total of eight regression models.

The same set of candidate variables is considered for each of the models, with final variable selection determined by a stepwise procedure supplemented with extensive ex post diagnostics. A backward elimination procedure was used to select variables, requiring a coefficient's *p*-value to be less than 0.05 for the variable to remain in the model.⁶

⁶ Because of software limitations, this threshold is not as strict as it might seem. The significance levels in the stepwise procedure did not take into account the cluster sample design, so the true significance threshold for inclusion in the model is lower. Ex post analysis showed it to be in the neighborhood of 0.10.

Estimating Z-scores in the Census Data

After estimating the regressions, the estimated regression coefficients are applied to the corresponding set of explanatory variables in the census data. The procedure followed is analogous to that described in Hentschel et al. (2000) and Minot (2000) for poverty mapping, but with some minor modifications. Specifically, the cutoff value for undernutrition prevalence of -2 Z-scores is used in place of a monetary poverty line, and there is no need for a logarithmic transformation because the distribution of Z-scores tends to have a normal or near-normal distribution, unlike income or consumption which tends to be highly skewed.

For each child with long-form census data, the expected value of the probability that child *i* is stunted or underweight (U_i) conditional on observable characteristics (in this case, from the census and GIS data) is

$$E\left(U_{i} \mid X_{chi}^{C}, W_{ch}^{C}, V_{c}^{C}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}, \hat{\lambda}, \hat{\sigma}\right) = \Phi\left[\frac{-2 - \left(X_{chi}^{\prime C}\hat{\beta} + W_{ch}^{\prime C}\hat{\gamma} + V_{c}^{\prime C}\hat{\lambda}\right)}{\hat{\sigma}}\right], \qquad (2)$$

where Φ is the cumulative standard normal distribution, the superscript *C* denotes census data, the circumflexes denote coefficients estimated from the DHS data, and $\hat{\sigma}$ is the estimated standard error of the regression. The estimated stunting or underweight prevalence for a given population subgroup, such as a district or a ward, is calculated by taking the mean of these probabilities over all children in that subgroup, using sample weights as appropriate.

Standard errors of the point estimates of undernutrition prevalence for small-areas are calculated using the Huber/White/sandwich estimator. There are three sources of statistical error in the estimation process. One source is idiosyncratic error, or the part of the variation in children's Z-scores that is not explained by the variables in the regression. A second source is model error, which arises because the estimated coefficients are not known with certainty. Rather, the estimated coefficients are random variables that have confidence intervals, and the wider are these confidence intervals, the less precise the small-area estimates will be. Third, because the long form of the census was only administered to a random subset of households, there is a sampling error associated with the long-form census variables, which also affects the overall precision of the Stage 2 estimates.

Data

This study uses three data sources: the 1988 Population Census, the 1991–92 Demographic and Health Survey (BS/MI 1993), and a collection of GIS variables compiled by Corbett et al. (2000).

The 1988 Population Census was carried out in August 1988, and was Tanzania's third census since attaining independence. Like the earlier censuses, it used two data collection forms. The short form was administered universally, to meet the principal census objective of enumerating the entire population and determining the basic demographic composition of the country. A long form was administered to a randomly selected sample of approximately 20 percent of all households. The long form collected a range of socioeconomic information, including employment, education levels, and housing conditions. Long-form data are available for approximately 766,000 children under the age of five years. It should be noted that the 1988 Population Census was used because the 2002 Census data were not available for this study.

The 1991–92 DHS is the source of information about child anthropometry and many of the explanatory variables in the anthropometry regressions. Tanzania has DHS data for several years; the 1991–92 survey was chosen for this study because it was collected closest in time to the 1988 Census. As it is likely that the relationship between children's Z-scores and the explanatory variables evolves over time, it is preferable that the data set used for estimating the relationship (Stage 1) is as close as possible in time to the data set used to generate the small-area estimates (Stage 2).

The 1991–92 DHS was conducted by the Bureau of Statistics, in collaboration with the Ministry of Health. Macro International, Inc., provided technical assistance for

the implementation and analysis of the survey. The main objective of the survey was to collect information on health, fertility, and family planning in Tanzania. The survey collected information on 8,327 households located throughout Tanzania, with interviews taking place from October 1991 through March 1992. The main target group of the survey was women of reproductive age (15–49 years old at the time of the interview). The sample includes approximately 5,500 children under the age of five, and the survey collected information on their height, weight, and age in months. The stratified, three-stage-cluster sample design was intended to be nationally representative and also to support disaggregation by rural and urban areas. Because of the sampling procedures used and the sample size, the 1991–92 DHS is not representative at the level of the region or smaller administrative units.

In addition to the 1988 Population Census and the 1991–92 DHS data, geographic data are also used as explanatory variables in the nutrition regressions. These publicly available geo-referenced data include information on geographic features (for example, elevation, distance to coast, distance to primary or secondary road), climatic conditions (for example, mean annual rainfall, mean temperature), and human settlement (for example, population density, cattle density). To integrate the geo-referenced data with the tabular data from the DHS and the census, the relevant variables are summarized at the district level by calculating the mean or median value for each district. For example, the variable representing surface elevation is constructed by calculating the median of the elevation data points within each district, and then merged with the child-level anthropometry data on the basis of district identifiers. Note that the same geographic data set is used in both Stage 1 and Stage 2. This is acceptable because all of the variables used can be considered reasonably constant over the period between the 1988 Census and the 1991–92 DHS, because they change very slowly.⁷

⁷ In this context, it bears emphasizing that the average annual rainfall variable is a long-term (usually 30 year) average, and not the annual rainfall level itself.

3. Results

In this section we present the results of the analysis, organized as follows. First, we present the results of the regression analysis, including an examination of the models' goodness of fit and ability to predict undernutrition prevalence rates within the DHS sample. Then the models are applied to the 1988 Census data, showing the undernutrition prevalence rates at progressively higher resolution, starting with region-level results, and proceeding to district and subdistrict estimates. The precision of the small-area estimates is then assessed, with respect to the size of the standard errors and the ability to identify areas where the estimates for larger areas mask significantly higher or lower rates in constituent subareas.

Regression Results

As noted earlier, all variables common to the 1988 Census long form and the 1991–92 DHS were identified and then compared to see that the distribution of each variable was similar in the two data sources. When the distribution of a census variable was very different from the distribution of the corresponding variable in the DHS, that variable was eliminated from consideration for the models. Approximately 30 explanatory variables were retained as candidate variables, and the stepwise procedure described earlier was used to select the variables that contributed the greatest explanatory power. The subset of variables selected was allowed to vary across the eight models, guided by the stepwise selection procedure and ex post diagnostics described in the preceding section. For example, the age of the head of household might be included in the model for rural children less than two years of age, but not in the model for urban children less than two years of age. Or a variable might be included in the height-for-age regression, but not in the weight-for-age regression.

Table 1 shows the means for the variables that were selected for the final models, along with the standard errors of the means. The descriptive statistics are shown separately for each of the four categories of children, split by age and rural/urban area of

residence. A few unusual aspects of the data bear mentioning. Most are a result of the limitations of census data, which are rarely as detailed as survey data because of the census's more comprehensive coverage of households. Most notably, although most anthropometry analyses use age in months as an explanatory variable, the census only has age in years, so that is how it is measured in the Stage 1 regressions as well. Birth order is also frequently used in anthropometry regressions, but the census does not link mothers and children, so we constructed a variable called "age order" that indicates a child's age rank among under-fives in the household.⁸ Also note that some covariates commonly found in anthropometry regressions, such as maternal height, are not included among the explanatory variables because they were not collected in the census.

Finally, the definition of the education variables is a bit unusual, although not because of limitations in the census data. Rather than define education on the basis of the household head, these models follow the approach used by Jolliffe (2002), who finds that it is often the highest level of education of any adult household member (rather than a specific member, such as the head) that is most closely related to household welfare. In this case education is operationalized as a set of dummy variables for four different educational levels: incomplete primary education, complete primary education, incomplete secondary education, and complete secondary education. The omitted category is no schooling whatsoever. The dummy variables take the value 1 if at least one adult in the household has reached that level of education and 0 otherwise.⁹

The height-for-age regressions are shown in Table 2. Between 8 and 15 variables are retained in each of the four different models. Despite having relatively few

⁸ The age order variable differs from birth order in at least three ways. First, it doesn't count children five years or older. Second, it does not count children who have died or who are not living in the household for some reason. Third, when there is more than one mother of a child under age five in the household, it combines the children into one ordering, rather than establishing separate orderings for each mother.

⁹ Following the advice of an anonymous reviewer, I also experimented with specifying the education variables as the proportion of household members that had completed each of the four educational levels. This alternative specification did not add to the explanatory power of the model or affect the other estimated parameters, so the original specification was retained.

	Rural less	Rural 24	Urban less	Urban 24
	than 24	months and	than 24	months and
Variable	months	older	months	older
Height-for-age Z-score	-1.578	-2.035	-1.376	-1.823
	(0.038)	(0.049)	(0.143)	(0.140)
Weight-for-age Z-score	-1.185	-1.471	-1.022	-1.303
	(0.043)	(0.039)	(0.089)	(0.100)
Child's age in years	0.512	2.939	0.496	
	(0.012)	(0.018)	(0.038)	
Male child	0.499			
A 1	(0.013)	1.042	1.074	
Age order	1.145	1.842	1.0/4	
Number of household members	(0.017)	(0.030)	(0.012)	
Number of nousehold memoers	(0.302)			
Number of children less than 5 years old	(0.302)	2 410		
Number of emilien less than 5 years old		(0.094)		
Age of head of household		42 373		41 000
rige of neuron nousenoire		(0.495)		(0.904)
Highest education level in household is incomplete primary		0.227	0.105	(0.501)
8		(0.013)	(0.016)	
Highest education level in household is incomplete secondary	0.058	0.053	(0.223
5 ·····	(0.010)	(0.008)		(0.041)
Highest education level in household is completed secondary			0.033	0.032
•			(0.011)	(0.012)
Household has electricity				0.232
				(0.046)
Household has no toilet or latrine		0.176		
		(0.022)		
District sex ratio minus 100		-6.665		
	2 205	(0.475)		
District mean years of education for household heads	3.385	3.415		4.101
District and action of formula handed households	(0.052)	(0.053)		(0.238)
District proportion of female-neaded nousenoids	0.319	(0.01)		0.304
District proportion of population less than 5 years old	(0.004)	(0.004)	0.130	(0.007)
District proportion of population less than 5 years old	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.005)	
District proportion of households using piped water	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.005)	0.536
District propertien of neuseneils using piper water				(0.033)
District proportion of households with no toilet			0.106	(0.055)
······································			(0.017)	
District median elevation of district (meters)		1052.038	· · /	
		(35.505)		
District median annual rainfall (millimeters)		956.015		
		(17.673)		
District mean population density (persons per km ²)		79.199	420.294	457.229
		(10.044)	(100.438)	(95.949)
District mean distance to regional headquarters (kilometers)	90.997	89.855		
	(3.312)	(3.156)		
District mean distance to primary / secondary roads (kilometers)	25.408			
District mean distance to Day of Salaam (kilometers)	(1.0/4)	602 279	410 605	
District mean distance to Dar es Salaam (knometers)		002.278	410.005	
District mean distance to Tanzania coast (kilomaters)	105 856	(22.393)	(41.190)	
District incan distance to Tanzania Coast (Kiloineters)	(23 245)			

Table 1—Means and standard errors of mean for variables used in first-stage regressions

Source: Author's calculations from 1991-92 Tanzania DHS.

Note: Standard error of mean in parentheses, taking into account stratified cluster sample design.

	Rural less	Rural 24	Urban less	Urban 24
Variable	than 24 months	months and older	than 24 months	months and older
Child's age in years	-0.8685***	-0.0872*	-0.7463***	
Male child	(12.36) -0.2161*** (3.49)	(1.80)	(6.34)	
Age order	-0.1680* (1.78)	0.1501**	-0.5422**	
Number of household members	0.0206**	(2.07)	(2.21)	
Number of children less than 5 years old	(2.03)	-0.068 (1.34)		
Age of head of household		0.0100*** (4.26)		-0.0127** (2.58)
Highest education level in household is incomplete primary		-0.1366 (1.36)	-0.7014** (2.61)	
Highest education level in household is incomplete secondary	0.3319** (2.18)	0.3603** (2.16)		0.3933*** (3.14)
Highest education level in household is completed secondary			0.8604*** (2.99)	1.0718*** (3.88)
Household has electricity				0.4590*** (3.58)
District sex ratio minus 100		0.0217* (1.80)		
District mean years of education for household heads	0.1935*** (3.33)	0.1877** (2.43)		0.3906*** (6.91)
District proportion of female-headed households	1.5643** (2.09)	2.1005** (2.06)		2.6762** (2.58)
District proportion of population less than 5 years old	5.8480*** (2.86)	10.0210*** (3.19)	-15.6651*** (3.02)	
District proportion of households using piped water				-1.7649*** (7.65)
District proportion of households with no toilet			2.7290*** (2.76)	
District median elevation of district (meters)		-0.0003** (2.34)		
District median annual rainfall (millimeters)		-0.0008*** (3.43)		
District mean population density (persons per kilometer ²)		0.0006*** (2.91)	0.0004*** (3.28)	0.0003*** (3.30)
District mean distance to regional headquarters (kilometers)	-0.0023** (2.28)	-0.0043*** (3.73)		. ,
District mean distance to primary / secondary road (kilometers)	-0.0040** (2.54)	(0.00)		
District mean distance to Dar es Salaam (kilometers)	(=)	0.0009*** (4.27)	0.0012*** (4.08)	
Constant	-2.7195*** (5.18)	-4.0579*** (6.04)	0.7194 (1.23)	-3.1484*** (8.62)
		() 	(/	()
Number of observations	2,154	2,413	409 88	479 87
Adjusted-R ²	0.1406	0.0756	0.2357	0.1930

Table 2—Regressions for height-for-age Z-scores

Source: Author's calculations from 1991–92 Tanzania DHS. Notes: Absolute value of *t* statistics in parentheses. *Significant at 10 percent; ** significant at 5 percent; *** significant at 1 percent.

observations, the urban models performed better than the rural models, explaining between 19 and 24 percent of the variation in height-for-age Z-scores in the 1991–92 DHS data. The rural models for older children performed the worst of the four groups, with an adjusted- R^2 of only 0.08.

The weight-for-age regressions are shown in Table 3. The results are largely similar to those observed in the height-for-age estimations, although in the urban stratum the explanatory power is generally lower in the weight-for-age models. The adjusted- R^2 values for all of the models are lower than those typically found in poverty mapping applications, in which the natural logarithm of consumption is the dependent variable. Even with the constraints of census data, Stage 1 regressions for poverty mapping usually have an adjusted- R^2 value between 0.3 and 0.7, often being in the neighborhood of 0.5. As noted in the introduction, the lower explanatory power of the nutrition models means that the small area estimates will be less precise than the estimates usually obtained when analyzing poverty.

As the regression equations are not intended to be causal models, one should not dwell on interpreting the signs and magnitudes of the regression coefficients. This is especially true because of the collinearity among the explanatory variables. With those caveats in mind, we note the expected negative sign on the age coefficient and positive signs on the higher levels of education. Also note that, other things being equal, in rural areas, boys less than two years old are also significantly more stunted and underweight than girls. This suggests intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR), usually a result of poor maternal health and nutrition during pregnancy. Males are more susceptible to IUGR than females, and this may explain the significantly lower Z-scores of rural boys less than two years of the child was not significant in urban areas or for rural children 24 to 59 months, indicating no gender difference in nutritional status for those groups after controlling for other variables.

One simple test for assessing the quality of the regressions is to examine their ability to predict accurately within the estimation sample. This test is not very

		Rural 24	Urban less	Urban 24
	Rural less than	months and	than 24	months and
Variable	24 months	older	months	older
Child's age in years	-0.8819***		-0.7916***	
Male child	(12.15) -0.1548** (2.40)		(5.74)	
Age order	()	0.1288^{***}		
Female-headed household		-0.2026*** (2.82)	0.3728	
Number of children less than 5 years old		-0.0557	(1.50)	
Age of head of household		0.0070***		
Highest education level in household is incomplete primary		-0.1358* (1.95)	-0.369 (1.43)	
Highest education level in household is incomplete secondary	0.2942 (1.23)	0.2821**	()	0.2483*
Household has electricity	()	()	0.3262**	0.3604***
Household has no toilet or latrine		0.2028*** (2.65)	()	()
District mean years of education for household heads	0.2117*** (3.54)	. ,		0.2179*** (3.45)
District proportion of female-headed households	2.3277*** (3.24)			
District proportion of population less than 5 years old				-12.5533*** (3.21)
District proportion of households using piped water				-1.0931*** (4.67)
District median elevation of district (meters)				0.0003*** (2.77)
District median annual rainfall (millimeters)		-0.0005*** (3.15)		
District mean population density (persons per kilometer ²)		0.0006*** (3.49)	0.0003*** (2.67)	
District mean distance to regional headquarters (kilometers)	-0.0045*** (5.10)	-0.0033*** (3.18)		
District mean distance to primary / secondary roads (kilometers)		0.0040*** (2.96)		
District mean distance to Dar es Salaam (kilometers)		0.0009*** (8.21)	0.0004*	
District mean distance to Tanzania coast (kilometers)	0.0004** (2.19)	· · ·	· · /	
Constant	-1.9064*** (5.34)	-1.8386*** (9.50)	-1.0420*** (6.93)	-0.3921 (0.64)
Number of observations Number of primary sampling units Adjusted-R ²	2,154 232 0.1327	2,413 232 0.0800	409 88 0.1383	479 87 0.1388

Table 3—Regressions for weight-for-age Z-score

Source: Author's calculations from 1991-92 Tanzania DHS.

Notes: Absolute value of *t* statistics in parentheses. * Significant at 10 percent; ** significant at 5 percent; *** significant at 1 percent.

demanding, because the predicted values from the regressions should closely mimic the actual values when using the same data that were used to estimate the models. Table 4 presents the actual and predicted stunting and underweight prevalence rates at national,

urban, and rural levels. The table shows that the within-sample predictive power of the models is very good. Most of the predictions are within one-tenth of a percentage point of the actual values, and none of the differences between the actual values and the predicted values are statistically significant.

Prevalence	Actual 1991-92	Estimated 1991-92
	(pe	ercent)
Stunting — all	43.6	43.9
Rural	45.1	45.0
Urban	37.8	39.5
Underweight — all	29.2	29.4
Rural	30.3	30.3
Urban	24.8	25.9

Table 4—Within-sample prediction of stunting and underweight prevalence

Source: Author's calculations from 1991-92 Tanzania DHS.

Clearly, besides being a useful benchmark for evaluating the predictive power of the regression models, Table 4 shows the seriousness of undernutrition in Tanzania, as was already suggested by Figures 1 and 2. Nationally, 44 percent of children less than five years old have height-for-age Z-scores less than –2, with the stunting rate higher in rural areas. Underweight prevalence is also high at 29 percent and is also significantly higher in rural areas than urban areas.

Small-Area Estimates of Stunting and Underweight

Table 4 represents the highest degree of disaggregation that the 1991–92 DHS is designed to support on its own, but one can get a more detailed geographic picture from the Stage 2 estimation using the regression results and the census data. Figures 3 through 5 show the estimated stunting rates in 1988 (the census year) at the regional, district, and

"grouped ward" levels.¹⁰ The darker shaded areas are those in which the stunting prevalence is less than the national mean of 50.5 percent, while the areas with higher than average stunting are shown with a relatively lighter shading. The progressively higher resolution maps show that there is often a great deal of heterogeneity underlying region-or district-level means. Among the many illustrative examples, one of the most striking is Iringa Region. Figure 3 shows that the estimated stunting prevalence for Iringa Region in 1988 is between the national average of 50.5 and 70 percent (the actual estimate is 65 percent). Moving to the district-level map in Figure 4, we see that Makete and Iringa Rural districts have estimated stunting rates over 70 percent, whereas less than 40 percent





¹⁰ Technically it is possible to use the small-area estimation procedure to estimate undernutrition rates for units as small as a ward. However, the combination of the small size of many wards and the limitation of the 20 percent sample for the census long form means that most ward-level estimates are too imprecise to be useful. To get subdistrict estimates, adjacent wards were grouped so that each of the resulting "grouped wards" has approximately 1,000 observations on children less than five years of age.



Figure 4—Estimates of stunting prevalence at district level

of the under-fives in Njombe District are estimated to be stunted. Zooming in one step closer to the subdistrict ("grouped ward") level (Figure 5) reveals that the relatively low stunting rate in Njombe District is largely attributable to low stunting rates in a few wards, while the rest of the district has stunting rates of 70 percent or higher. Similar, albeit somewhat less dramatic, stories may be seen in other regions, including Arusha and Kilimanjaro. Mwanza and Ruvuma regions show the converse picture, with a regional stunting prevalence that is below the national average, but that is driven by low stunting in a few of the more densely populated areas, and above average stunting over most of the rest of the region.

Nutrition maps for underweight (low weight-for-age) prevalence are shown in Figures 6 through 8. Like the stunting maps, the map shading becomes increasingly darker with lower rates of underweight prevalence, with two levels of shading on either



Figure 5—Estimated stunting prevalence, by grouped wards

side of the national underweight prevalence of 15.9 percent. Although there are several differences between the stunting and underweight patterns, the overall impression is consistent in the two sets of maps. In addition to the heterogeneity that exists at the district and subdistrict levels, one of the patterns that emerges with some consistency is the generally lower rates of undernutrition (both stunting and underweight) in urban areas compared to rural areas, even for small urban areas. That said, it should also be noted that urban areas are themselves heterogeneous, with some areas showing as much undernutrition as rural areas.

How many of the differences at the district and subdistrict levels are statistically significant? One way to answer the question is by doing pairwise tests for all possible combinations. Rather than undertaking that tedious exercise, another useful way to look at the question is to see which districts or grouped-wards have undernutrition estimates that are significantly different from the regional or district means. In other words, what new information do we gain from the small-area estimation?



Figure 6—Estimated underweight prevalence, by region

Figure 7—Estimated underweight prevalence, by district





Figure 8—Estimated underweight prevalence, by grouped wards

Figure 9 shows the grouped wards in which the stunting prevalence is significantly different from the prevalence at the district level. For most of the country the differences are not statistically significant, which is shown as the unshaded area. The areas where subdistrict stunting is significantly worse than the district mean (shaded grey) are largely rural areas in southern and southeastern Tanzania. A roughly similar number of subdistricts have stunting rates that are significantly better than the district mean, but most are not visible in the map because they are populous but geographically small urban areas. These urban areas are scattered across the country, and include parts of Dar es Salaam, Pangani, Tanga, Mwanza, Mbeya, Liwale, and Mafia. Figure 10 presents analogous information for differences in underweight prevalence, showing that significant within-district differences in underweight occur in many of the same places where significant differences in stunting are observed, including many of the same urban areas.



Figure 9—Significant differences in stunting prevalence between district and subdistrict

Figure 10—Significant differences in underweight prevalence between district and subdistrict



Although there appear to be considerable differences in the point estimates, most are not statistically significant because of the relatively large standard errors associated with the regional, district, and subdistrict estimates. For all three levels of aggregation the median standard error—expressed as a percentage of the point estimate—is around 12 percent. So a subdistrict with a stunting prevalence of 50 percent would typically have a standard error of around 6 percentage points, which implies a rather broad 95 percent confidence interval that ranges from 38 percent to 62 percent.

4. Summary and Conclusions

This paper describes an approach for adapting small-area estimation, or poverty mapping, methodology for the analysis of child nutritional status. It briefly discusses the main anthropometric measures used to assess nutritional status of children less than five years old, focusing on height-for-age and weight-for-age. The paper presents the three-stage approach that has become familiar in the poverty mapping literature, with modifications as required for estimating prevalence of undernutrition instead of poverty. The essence of the method is to combine the strengths of "narrow and deep" detailed household surveys with "broad and shallow" census data to arrive at estimates of undernutrition for finely disaggregated subgroups of the population.

The first stage, logically dubbed "Stage 0," involves identification of common variables in the survey and the census, and careful comparison of the distributions of the variables to ensure that variables that appear to be similar are indeed comparable. Stage 1 then uses regression analysis on the household survey data set to estimate the quantitative relationship between standardized anthropometric measures and a set of characteristics available in both data sets that are expected to correlate with nutritional status. In Stage 2, the estimated regression coefficients are applied to the census data to estimate anthropometric Z-scores for every child less than five years old recorded in the long form of the census. The predicted Z-scores are then transformed into the expected probability of being stunted or underweight, and prevalence rates are calculated for

various levels of geographic aggregation. In Tanzania, the levels are the region, the district, and subdistricts defined by the grouping of adjacent wards.

The Stage 1 regression results were somewhat disappointing. Although a good number of explanatory variables were identified, they only accounted for about 20 percent of the variation in Z-scores in urban areas, and less than 15 percent in rural areas. As a result, the Stage 2 estimates have wide confidence intervals, so it is difficult to detect statistically significant differences.

That said, the point estimates are suggestive of distinct spatial patterns in undernutrition in Tanzania. While there is some variation in undernutrition rates between regions, there is a much greater range of undernutrition among the districts and subdistricts that make up a region. A pattern that emerges frequently is an urban center including small urban centers such as district headquarters—with lower than average undernutrition rates surrounded by rural areas with rates that are higher than average. One of the clearest examples of this appears in the subdistrict level stunting map (Figure 5), where the urban centers along the east-west Kigoma to Dar es Salaam railroad line stand out as dark spots, indicating lower stunting rates than is found in the surrounding countryside. This suggests the need for greater attention to meeting nutrition needs in rural areas. This entails not only ensuring a sufficient supply of nutritious food but also adequate health care, nutrition extension, safe water supplies, and other factors that determine nutritional outcomes. Of course, this needs to be balanced with programs to address undernutrition in urban areas; even though undernutrition rates are lower in urban areas, the absolute numbers of undernourished are still substantial.

Returning to the first of the research questions posed at the outset of this paper, is nutrition mapping feasible? We believe the answer is a qualified yes. Although the models are not as successful as poverty mapping models in explaining variation in the dependent variable, that was expected. Even with the lower adjusted-R², the spatial pattern of undernutrition appears perfectly plausible, and it is possible to detect some significant differences at the subregional level.

The findings in this study indicate that it would be worthwhile to attempt to update these nutrition maps by using the 2002 Population Census and a recent DHS survey. Drawing from the experience of this study, high priority should be given to improving the precision of the estimates, in order to strengthen the inferences that can be made from the analysis. One potential avenue for achieving this is greater use of spatial variables from GIS and other sources. For future work, perhaps more resources should be devoted to intensive development of these data sources. Another avenue would be to try to improve the efficiency of the estimates by following the approach of Fujii (2005), incorporating information about contemporaneous correlation of the error terms of an individual's height-for-age and weight-for-age Z-scores, as well as modeling a common household component to the error term for multiple under-five children in the same household.

References

- Alderman, H., M. Babita, G. Demombynes, N. Makhatha, and B. Özler. 2003. How low can you go? Combining census and survey data for mapping poverty in South Africa. *Journal of African Economies* 11 (2): 169–200.
- BS/MI (Bureau of Statistics [Tanzania] and Macro International). 1993. Tanzania demographic and health survey 1991/92. Calverton, Md., U.S.A.: National Bureau of Statistics and Macro International Inc.
- Corbett, J. D., S. N. Collis, B. R. Bush, E. I. Muchugu, R. Q. Jeske, R. A. Burton, R. E. Martinez, M. F. Zermoglio, J. W. White, and D. Hodson. 2000. Almanac characterization tool: A resource base for characterizing agricultural, natural, and human environments. Blackland Research Center Report No. 99-06, documentation and CD-ROM. Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, Texas A&M University System, College Station, Texas, U.S.A.
- Elbers, C., J. O. Lanjouw, and P. Lanjouw. 2003. Micro-level estimation of poverty and inequality. *Econometrica* 71 (1): 355–364.
- Fujii, T. 2005. Micro-level estimation of child malnutrition indicators and its application in Cambodia. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper 3662.
 Washington, D.C.: World Bank.
- Gilligan, D. O., and A. Veiga. 2004. An evaluation of geographic targeting in *Bolsa Alimentação* in Brazil. International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, D.C. Photocopy.
- Graciter, P. L., and E. M. Gentry. 1981. Measuring children: One reference for all. *Lancet* 2: 297–299.
- Habicht, J-P., R. Martorell, C. Yarborough, R. M. Malina, and R. E. Klein. 1974. Height and weight standards for preschool children. How relevant are ethnic differences in growth potential? *Lancet* 1: 611–614.

- Hentschel, J., J. Lanjouw, P. Lanjouw, and J. Poggi. 2000. Combining census and survey data to trace the spatial dimensions of poverty: A case study of Ecuador. *World Bank Economic Review* 14 (1): 147–165.
- Jolliffe, D. 2002. Whose schooling matters in the determination of household income: Evidence from a developing country. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 50 (2): 287–312.
- Martorell, R. 1985. Child growth retardation: A discussion of its causes and of its relationship to health. In *Nutritional adaptation in man*, ed. K. L. Baxter and J. C. Waterlow. London: John Libbey.
- Minot, N. 2000. Generating disaggregated poverty maps: An application to Vietnam. World Development 28 (2): 319–331.
- Simler, K. R., and V. Nhate. 2005. Poverty, inequality, and geographic targeting: Evidence from small-area estimates in Mozambique. Food Consumption and Nutrition Division Discussion Paper 192. Washington, D.C.: International Food Policy Research Institute.
- WHO (World Health Organization). 1983. Measuring change in nutritional status. Geneva.

____. 1995. *Physical status: The use and interpretation of anthropometry*. Technical Report Series No. 854. Geneva.

- **203** Early Childhood Nutrition, Schooling, and Sibling Inequality in a Dynamic Context: Evidence from South Africa, Futoshi Yamauchi, January 2006
- **202** *Has Economic Growth in Mozambique Been Pro-Poor?* Robert C. James, Channing Arndt, and Kenneth R. Simler, December 2005
- **201** *Community, Inequality, and Local Public Goods: Evidence from School Financing in South Africa*, Futoshi Yamauchi and Shinichi Nishiyama, September 2005
- **200** Is Greater Decisionmaking Power of Women Associated with Reduced Gender Discrimination in South Asia? Lisa C. Smith and Elizabeth M. Byron, August 2005
- **199** Evaluating the Cost of Poverty Alleviation Transfer Programs: An Illustration Based on PROGRESA in Mexico, David Coady, Raul Perez, and Hadid Vera-Ilamas, July 2005
- **198** Why the Poor in Rural Malawi Are Where They Are: An Analysis of the Spatial Determinants of the Local Prevalence of Poverty, Todd Benson, Jordan Chamberlin, and Ingrid Rhinehart, July 2005
- **194** *Livelihoods, Growth, and Links to Market Towns in 15 Ethiopian Villages*, Stefan Dercon and John Hoddinott, July 2005
- **193** Livelihood Diversification and Rural-Urban Linkages in Vietnam's Red River Delta, Hoang Xuan Thanh, Dang Nguyen Anh, and Ceclila Tacoli, June 2005
- **192** *Poverty, Inequality, and Geographic Targeting: Evidence from Small-Area Estimates in Mozambique,* Kenneth R. Simler and Virgulino Nhate, June 2005
- **191** *Program Participation Under Means-Testing and Self-Selection Targeting Methods*, David P. Coady and Susan W. Parker, April 2005
- **190** Social Learning, Neighborhood Effects, and Investment in Human Capital: Evidence from Green-Revolution India, Futoshi Yamauchi, April 2005
- 189 Estimating Utility-Consistent Poverty Lines, Channing Arndt and Kenneth R. Simler, March 2005
- **188** Coping with the "Coffee Crisis" in Central America: The Role of the Nicaraguan Red de Protección Social (*RPS*), John A. Maluccio, February 2005
- **187** The Use of Operations Research as a Tool for Monitoring and Managing Food-Assisted Maternal/Child Health and Nutrition (MCHN) Programs: An Example from Haiti, Cornelia Loechl, Marie T. Ruel, Gretel Pelto, and Purnima Menon, February 2005
- 186 Are Wealth Transfers Biased Against Girls? Gender Differences in Land Inheritance and Schooling Investment in Ghana's Western Region, Agnes R. Quisumbing, Ellen M. Payongayong, and Keijiro Otsuka, August 2004
- 185 Assets at Marriage in Rural Ethiopia, Marcel Fafchamps and Agnes Quisumbing, August 2004
- 184 Impact Evaluation of a Conditional Cash Transfer Program: The Nicaraguan Red de Protección Social, John A. Maluccio and Rafael Flores, July 2004
- 183 Poverty in Malawi, 1998, Todd Benson, Charles Machinjili, and Lawrence Kachikopa, July 2004
- **182** *Race, Equity, and Public Schools in Post-Apartheid South Africa: Is Opportunity Equal for All Kids?* Futoshi Yamauchi, June 2004
- 181 Scaling Up Community-Driven Development: A Synthesis of Experience, Stuart Gillespie, June 2004
- 180 Kudumbashree—Collective Action for Poverty Alleviation and Women's Employment, Suneetha Kadiyala, May 2004
- 179 Scaling Up HIV/AIDS Interventions Through Expanded Partnerships (STEPs) in Malawi, Suneetha Kadiyala, May 2004
- 178 Community-Driven Development and Scaling Up of Microfinance Services: Case Studies from Nepal and India, Manohar P. Sharma, April 2004
- 177 Community Empowerment and Scaling Up in Urban Areas: The Evolution of PUSH/PROSPECT in Zambia, James Garrett, April 2004

- 176 Why Is Child Malnutrition Lower in Urban than Rural Areas? Evidence from 36 Developing Countries, Lisa C. Smith, Marie T. Ruel, and Aida Ndiaye, March 2004
- 175 *Consumption Smoothing and Vulnerability in the Zone Lacustre, Mali*, Sarah Harrower and John Hoddinott, March 2004
- 174 The Cost of Poverty Alleviation Transfer Programs: A Comparative Analysis of Three Programs in Latin America, Natàlia Caldés, David Coady, and John A. Maluccio, February 2004
- 173 Food Aid Distribution in Bangladesh: Leakage and Operational Performance, Akhter U. Ahmed, Shahidur Rashid, Manohar Sharma, and Sajjad Zohir in collaboration with Mohammed Khaliquzzaman, Sayedur Rahman, and the Data Analysis and Technical Assistance Limited, February 2004
- 172 Designing and Evaluating Social Safety Nets: Theory, Evidence, and Policy Conclusions, David P. Coady, January 2004
- 171 Living Life: Overlooked Aspects of Urban Employment, James Garrett, January 2004
- 170 From Research to Program Design: Use of Formative Research in Haiti to Develop a Behavior Change Communication Program to Prevent Malnutrition, Purnima Menon, Marie T. Ruel, Cornelia Loechl, and Gretel Pelto, December 2003
- 169 Nonmarket Networks Among Migrants: Evidence from Metropolitan Bangkok, Thailand, Futoshi Yamauchi and Sakiko Tanabe, December 2003
- 168 Long-Term Consequences of Early Childhood Malnutrition, Harold Alderman, John Hoddinott, and Bill Kinsey, December 2003
- 167 *Public Spending and Poverty in Mozambique*, Rasmus Heltberg, Kenneth Simler, and Finn Tarp, December 2003
- 166 Are Experience and Schooling Complementary? Evidence from Migrants' Assimilation in the Bangkok Labor Market, Futoshi Yamauchi, December 2003
- 165 What Can Food Policy Do to Redirect the Diet Transition? Lawrence Haddad, December 2003
- 164 Impacts of Agricultural Research on Poverty: Findings of an Integrated Economic and Social Analysis, Ruth Meinzen-Dick, Michelle Adato, Lawrence Haddad, and Peter Hazell, October 2003
- 163 An Integrated Economic and Social Analysis to Assess the Impact of Vegetable and Fishpond Technologies on Poverty in Rural Bangladesh, Kelly Hallman, David Lewis, and Suraiya Begum, October 2003
- 162 The Impact of Improved Maize Germplasm on Poverty Alleviation: The Case of Tuxpeño-Derived Material in Mexico, Mauricio R. Bellon, Michelle Adato, Javier Becerril, and Dubravka Mindek, October 2003
- 161 Assessing the Impact of High-Yielding Varieties of Maize in Resettlement Areas of Zimbabwe, Michael Bourdillon, Paul Hebinck, John Hoddinott, Bill Kinsey, John Marondo, Netsayi Mudege, and Trudy Owens, October 2003
- **160** The Impact of Agroforestry-Based Soil Fertility Replenishment Practices on the Poor in Western Kenya, Frank Place, Michelle Adato, Paul Hebinck, and Mary Omosa, October 2003
- 159 Rethinking Food Aid to Fight HIV/AIDS, Suneetha Kadiyala and Stuart Gillespie, October 2003
- 158 Food Aid and Child Nutrition in Rural Ethiopia, Agnes R. Quisumbing, September 2003
- 157 *HIV/AIDS, Food Security, and Rural Livelihoods: Understanding and Responding*, Michael Loevinsohn and Stuart Gillespie, September 2003
- **156** *Public Policy, Food Markets, and Household Coping Strategies in Bangladesh: Lessons from the 1998 Floods,* Carlo del Ninno, Paul A. Dorosh, and Lisa C. Smith, September 2003
- **155** Consumption Insurance and Vulnerability to Poverty: A Synthesis of the Evidence from Bangladesh, Ethiopia, Mali, Mexico, and Russia, Emmanuel Skoufias and Agnes R. Quisumbing, August 2003
- 154 *Cultivating Nutrition: A Survey of Viewpoints on Integrating Agriculture and Nutrition*, Carol E. Levin, Jennifer Long, Kenneth R. Simler, and Charlotte Johnson-Welch, July 2003

- 153 Maquiladoras and Market Mamas: Women's Work and Childcare in Guatemala City and Accra, Agnes R. Quisumbing, Kelly Hallman, and Marie T. Ruel, June 2003
- **152** *Income Diversification in Zimbabwe: Welfare Implications From Urban and Rural Areas*, Lire Ersado, June 2003
- 151 Childcare and Work: Joint Decisions Among Women in Poor Neighborhoods of Guatemala City, Kelly Hallman, Agnes R. Quisumbing, Marie T. Ruel, and Bénédicte de la Brière, June 2003
- 150 The Impact of PROGRESA on Food Consumption, John Hoddinott and Emmanuel Skoufias, May 2003
- 149 Do Crowded Classrooms Crowd Out Learning? Evidence From the Food for Education Program in Bangladesh, Akhter U. Ahmed and Mary Arends-Kuenning, May 2003
- 148 Stunted Child-Overweight Mother Pairs: An Emerging Policy Concern? James L. Garrett and Marie T. Ruel, April 2003
- 147 Are Neighbors Equal? Estimating Local Inequality in Three Developing Countries, Chris Elbers, Peter Lanjouw, Johan Mistiaen, Berk Özler, and Kenneth Simler, April 2003
- **146** *Moving Forward with Complementary Feeding: Indicators and Research Priorities*, Marie T. Ruel, Kenneth H. Brown, and Laura E. Caulfield, April 2003
- 145 *Child Labor and School Decisions in Urban and Rural Areas: Cross Country Evidence*, Lire Ersado, December 2002
- 144 Targeting Outcomes Redux, David Coady, Margaret Grosh, and John Hoddinott, December 2002
- 143 Progress in Developing an Infant and Child Feeding Index: An Example Using the Ethiopia Demographic and Health Survey 2000, Mary Arimond and Marie T. Ruel, December 2002
- 142 Social Capital and Coping With Economic Shocks: An Analysis of Stunting of South African Children, Michael R. Carter and John A. Maluccio, December 2002
- 141 *The Sensitivity of Calorie-Income Demand Elasticity to Price Changes: Evidence from Indonesia*, Emmanuel Skoufias, November 2002
- 140 Is Dietary Diversity an Indicator of Food Security or Dietary Quality? A Review of Measurement Issues and Research Needs, Marie T. Ruel, November 2002
- 139 Can South Africa Afford to Become Africa's First Welfare State? James Thurlow, October 2002
- **138** The Food for Education Program in Bangladesh: An Evaluation of its Impact on Educational Attainment and Food Security, Akhter U. Ahmed and Carlo del Ninno, September 2002
- **137** *Reducing Child Undernutrition: How Far Does Income Growth Take Us?* Lawrence Haddad, Harold Alderman, Simon Appleton, Lina Song, and Yisehac Yohannes, August 2002
- 136 Dietary Diversity as a Food Security Indicator, John Hoddinott and Yisehac Yohannes, June 2002
- **135** *Trust, Membership in Groups, and Household Welfare: Evidence from KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa,* Lawrence Haddad and John A. Maluccio, May 2002
- 134 In-Kind Transfers and Household Food Consumption: Implications for Targeted Food Programs in Bangladesh, Carlo del Ninno and Paul A. Dorosh, May 2002
- **133** Avoiding Chronic and Transitory Poverty: Evidence From Egypt, 1997-99, Lawrence Haddad and Akhter U. Ahmed, May 2002
- **132** Weighing What's Practical: Proxy Means Tests for Targeting Food Subsidies in Egypt, Akhter U. Ahmed and Howarth E. Bouis, May 2002
- 131 Does Subsidized Childcare Help Poor Working Women in Urban Areas? Evaluation of a Government-Sponsored Program in Guatemala City, Marie T. Ruel, Bénédicte de la Brière, Kelly Hallman, Agnes Quisumbing, and Nora Coj, April 2002
- **130** Creating a Child Feeding Index Using the Demographic and Health Surveys: An Example from Latin America, Marie T. Ruel and Purnima Menon, April 2002

- **129** Labor Market Shocks and Their Impacts on Work and Schooling: Evidence from Urban Mexico, Emmanuel Skoufias and Susan W. Parker, March 2002
- **128** Assessing the Impact of Agricultural Research on Poverty Using the Sustainable Livelihoods Framework, Michelle Adato and Ruth Meinzen-Dick, March 2002
- 127 A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis of Demand- and Supply-Side Education Interventions: The Case of PROGRESA in Mexico, David P. Coady and Susan W. Parker, March 2002
- 126 Health Care Demand in Rural Mozambique: Evidence from the 1996/97 Household Survey, Magnus Lindelow, February 2002
- **125** Are the Welfare Losses from Imperfect Targeting Important?, Emmanuel Skoufias and David Coady, January 2002
- 124 *The Robustness of Poverty Profiles Reconsidered*, Finn Tarp, Kenneth Simler, Cristina Matusse, Rasmus Heltberg, and Gabriel Dava, January 2002
- 123 Conditional Cash Transfers and Their Impact on Child Work and Schooling: Evidence from the PROGRESA Program in Mexico, Emmanuel Skoufias and Susan W. Parker, October 2001
- 122 Strengthening Public Safety Nets: Can the Informal Sector Show the Way?, Jonathan Morduch and Manohar Sharma, September 2001
- 121 Targeting Poverty Through Community-Based Public Works Programs: A Cross-Disciplinary Assessment of Recent Experience in South Africa, Michelle Adato and Lawrence Haddad, August 2001
- **120** Control and Ownership of Assets Within Rural Ethiopian Households, Marcel Fafchamps and Agnes R. Quisumbing, August 2001
- **119** Assessing Care: Progress Towards the Measurement of Selected Childcare and Feeding Practices, and Implications for Programs, Mary Arimond and Marie T. Ruel, August 2001
- **118** *Is* PROGRESA *Working? Summary of the Results of an Evaluation by IFPRI*, Emmanuel Skoufias and Bonnie McClafferty, July 2001
- **117** Evaluation of the Distributional Power of PROGRESA's Cash Transfers in Mexico, David P. Coady, July 2001
- **116** *A Multiple-Method Approach to Studying Childcare in an Urban Environment: The Case of Accra, Ghana,* Marie T. Ruel, Margaret Armar-Klemesu, and Mary Arimond, June 2001
- 115 Are Women Overrepresented Among the Poor? An Analysis of Poverty in Ten Developing Countries, Agnes R. Quisumbing, Lawrence Haddad, and Christina Peña, June 2001
- 114 Distribution, Growth, and Performance of Microfinance Institutions in Africa, Asia, and Latin America, Cécile Lapenu and Manfred Zeller, June 2001
- 113 Measuring Power, Elizabeth Frankenberg and Duncan Thomas, June 2001
- **112** Effective Food and Nutrition Policy Responses to HIV/AIDS: What We Know and What We Need to Know, Lawrence Haddad and Stuart Gillespie, June 2001
- 111 An Operational Tool for Evaluating Poverty Outreach of Development Policies and Projects, Manfred Zeller, Manohar Sharma, Carla Henry, and Cécile Lapenu, June 2001
- **110** Evaluating Transfer Programs Within a General Equilibrium Framework, Dave Coady and Rebecca Lee Harris, June 2001
- **109** Does Cash Crop Adoption Detract From Childcare Provision? Evidence From Rural Nepal, Michael J. Paolisso, Kelly Hallman, Lawrence Haddad, and Shibesh Regmi, April 2001
- **108** How Efficiently Do Employment Programs Transfer Benefits to the Poor? Evidence from South Africa, Lawrence Haddad and Michelle Adato, April 2001
- 107 Rapid Assessments in Urban Areas: Lessons from Bangladesh and Tanzania, James L. Garrett and Jeanne Downen, April 2001
- 106 Strengthening Capacity to Improve Nutrition, Stuart Gillespie, March 2001

- **105** *The Nutritional Transition and Diet-Related Chronic Diseases in Asia: Implications for Prevention*, Barry M. Popkin, Sue Horton, and Soowon Kim, March 2001
- **104** An Evaluation of the Impact of PROGRESA on Preschool Child Height, Jere R. Behrman and John Hoddinott, March 2001
- **103** *Targeting the Poor in Mexico: An Evaluation of the Selection of Households for PROGRESA*, Emmanuel Skoufias, Benjamin Davis, and Sergio de la Vega, March 2001
- **102** School Subsidies for the Poor: Evaluating a Mexican Strategy for Reducing Poverty, T. Paul Schultz, March 2001
- **101** *Poverty, Inequality, and Spillover in Mexico's Education, Health, and Nutrition Program*, Sudhanshu Handa, Mari-Carmen Huerta, Raul Perez, and Beatriz Straffon, March 2001
- **100** On the Targeting and Redistributive Efficiencies of Alternative Transfer Instruments, David Coady and Emmanuel Skoufias, March 2001
- **99** *Cash Transfer Programs with Income Multipliers: PROCAMPO in Mexico*, Elisabeth Sadoulet, Alain de Janvry, and Benjamin Davis, January 2001
- **98** *Participation and Poverty Reduction: Issues, Theory, and New Evidence from South Africa, John Hoddinott, Michelle Adato, Tim Besley, and Lawrence Haddad, January 2001*
- 97 Socioeconomic Differentials in Child Stunting Are Consistently Larger in Urban Than in Rural Areas, Purnima Menon, Marie T. Ruel, and Saul S. Morris, December 2000
- 96 Attrition in Longitudinal Household Survey Data: Some Tests for Three Developing-Country Samples, Harold Alderman, Jere R. Behrman, Hans-Peter Kohler, John A. Maluccio, Susan Cotts Watkins, October 2000
- 95 Attrition in the Kwazulu Natal Income Dynamics Study 1993-1998, John Maluccio, October 2000
- **94** *Targeting Urban Malnutrition: A Multicity Analysis of the Spatial Distribution of Childhood Nutritional Status*, Saul Sutkover Morris, September 2000
- **93** *Mother-Father Resource Control, Marriage Payments, and Girl-Boy Health in Rural Bangladesh*, Kelly K. Hallman, September 2000
- 92 Assessing the Potential for Food-Based Strategies to Reduce Vitamin A and Iron Deficiencies: A Review of Recent Evidence, Marie T. Ruel and Carol E. Levin, July 2000
- **91** Comparing Village Characteristics Derived From Rapid Appraisals and Household Surveys: A Tale From Northern Mali, Luc Christiaensen, John Hoddinott, and Gilles Bergeron, July 2000
- **90** Empirical Measurements of Households' Access to Credit and Credit Constraints in Developing Countries: Methodological Issues and Evidence, Aliou Diagne, Manfred Zeller, and Manohar Sharma, July 2000
- 89 The Role of the State in Promoting Microfinance Institutions, Cécile Lapenu, June 2000
- **88** *The Determinants of Employment Status in Egypt*, Ragui Assaad, Fatma El-Hamidi, and Akhter U. Ahmed, June 2000
- **87** *Changes in Intrahousehold Labor Allocation to Environmental Goods Collection: A Case Study from Rural Nepal*, Priscilla A. Cooke, May 2000
- **86** *Women's Assets and Intrahousehold Allocation in Rural Bangladesh: Testing Measures of Bargaining Power*, Agnes R. Quisumbing and Bénédicte de la Brière, April 2000
- 85 Intrahousehold Impact of Transfer of Modern Agricultural Technology: A Gender Perspective, Ruchira Tabassum Naved, April 2000
- 84 Intrahousehold Allocation and Gender Relations: New Empirical Evidence from Four Developing Countries, Agnes R. Quisumbing and John A. Maluccio, April 2000
- **83** *Quality or Quantity? The Supply-Side Determinants of Primary Schooling in Rural Mozambique*, Sudhanshu Handa and Kenneth R. Simler, March 2000

- 82 Pathways of Rural Development in Madagascar: An Empirical Investigation of the Critical Triangle of Environmental Sustainability, Economic Growth, and Poverty Alleviation, Manfred Zeller, Cécile Lapenu, Bart Minten, Eliane Ralison, Désiré Randrianaivo, and Claude Randrianarisoa, March 2000
- 81 *The Constraints to Good Child Care Practices in Accra: Implications for Programs*, Margaret Armar-Klemesu, Marie T. Ruel, Daniel G. Maxwell, Carol E. Levin, and Saul S. Morris, February 2000
- **80** Nontraditional Crops and Land Accumulation Among Guatemalan Smallholders: Is the Impact Sustainable? Calogero Carletto, February 2000
- 79 Adult Health in the Time of Drought, John Hoddinott and Bill Kinsey, January 2000
- 78 Determinants of Poverty in Mozambique: 1996-97, Gaurav Datt, Kenneth Simler, Sanjukta Mukherjee, and Gabriel Dava, January 2000
- 77 The Political Economy of Food Subsidy Reform in Egypt, Tammi Gutner, November 1999.
- 76 Raising Primary School Enrolment in Developing Countries: The Relative Importance of Supply and Demand, Sudhanshu Handa, November 1999
- 75 Determinants of Poverty in Egypt, 1997, Gaurav Datt and Dean Jolliffe, October 1999
- 74 Can Cash Transfer Programs Work in Resource-Poor Countries? The Experience in Mozambique, Jan W. Low, James L. Garrett, and Vitória Ginja, October 1999
- 73 Social Roles, Human Capital, and the Intrahousehold Division of Labor: Evidence from Pakistan, Marcel Fafchamps and Agnes R. Quisumbing, October 1999
- 72 Validity of Rapid Estimates of Household Wealth and Income for Health Surveys in Rural Africa, Saul S. Morris, Calogero Carletto, John Hoddinott, and Luc J. M. Christiaensen, October 1999
- 71 *Social Capital and Income Generation in South Africa, 1993-98*, John Maluccio, Lawrence Haddad, and Julian May, September 1999
- 70 *Child Health Care Demand in a Developing Country: Unconditional Estimates from the Philippines*, Kelly Hallman, August 1999
- 69 Supply Response of West African Agricultural Households: Implications of Intrahousehold Preference Heterogeneity, Lisa C. Smith and Jean-Paul Chavas, July 1999
- 68 *Early Childhood Nutrition and Academic Achievement: A Longitudinal Analysis*, Paul Glewwe, Hanan Jacoby, and Elizabeth King, May 1999
- 67 Determinants of Household Access to and Participation in Formal and Informal Credit Markets in Malawi, Aliou Diagne, April 1999
- 66 *Working Women in an Urban Setting: Traders, Vendors, and Food Security in Accra*, Carol E. Levin, Daniel G. Maxwell, Margaret Armar-Klemesu, Marie T. Ruel, Saul S. Morris, and Clement Ahiadeke, April 1999
- 65 Are Determinants of Rural and Urban Food Security and Nutritional Status Different? Some Insights from Mozambique, James L. Garrett and Marie T. Ruel, April 1999
- 64 Some Urban Facts of Life: Implications for Research and Policy, Marie T. Ruel, Lawrence Haddad, and James L. Garrett, April 1999
- 63 *Are Urban Poverty and Undernutrition Growing? Some Newly Assembled Evidence*, Lawrence Haddad, Marie T. Ruel, and James L. Garrett, April 1999
- 62 Good Care Practices Can Mitigate the Negative Effects of Poverty and Low Maternal Schooling on Children's Nutritional Status: Evidence from Accra, Marie T. Ruel, Carol E. Levin, Margaret Armar-Klemesu, Daniel Maxwell, and Saul S. Morris, April 1999
- 61 Does Geographic Targeting of Nutrition Interventions Make Sense in Cities? Evidence from Abidjan and Accra, Saul S. Morris, Carol Levin, Margaret Armar-Klemesu, Daniel Maxwell, and Marie T. Ruel, April 1999
- **60** *Explaining Child Malnutrition in Developing Countries: A Cross-Country Analysis*, Lisa C. Smith and Lawrence Haddad, April 1999

- **59** *Placement and Outreach of Group-Based Credit Organizations: The Cases of ASA, BRAC, and PROSHIKA in Bangladesh*, Manohar Sharma and Manfred Zeller, March 1999
- 58 Women's Land Rights in the Transition to Individualized Ownership: Implications for the Management of Tree Resources in Western Ghana, Agnes Quisumbing, Ellen Payongayong, J. B. Aidoo, and Keijiro Otsuka, February 1999
- 57 The Structure of Wages During the Economic Transition in Romania, Emmanuel Skoufias, February 1999
- 56 How Does the Human Rights Perspective Help to Shape the Food and Nutrition Policy Research Agenda?, Lawrence Haddad and Arne Oshaug, February 1999
- 55 *Efficiency in Intrahousehold Resource Allocation*, Marcel Fafchamps, December 1998
- 54 *Endogeneity of Schooling in the Wage Function: Evidence from the Rural Philippines*, John Maluccio, November 1998
- 53 Agricultural Wages and Food Prices in Egypt: A Governorate-Level Analysis for 1976-1993, Gaurav Datt and Jennifer Olmsted, November 1998
- 52 *Testing Nash Bargaining Household Models With Time-Series Data*, John Hoddinott and Christopher Adam, November 1998
- 51 Urban Challenges to Food and Nutrition Security: A Review of Food Security, Health, and Caregiving in the Cities, Marie T. Ruel, James L. Garrett, Saul S. Morris, Daniel Maxwell, Arne Oshaug, Patrice Engle, Purnima Menon, Alison Slack, and Lawrence Haddad, October 1998
- 50 Computational Tools for Poverty Measurement and Analysis, Gaurav Datt, October 1998
- 49 *A Profile of Poverty in Egypt: 1997*, Gaurav Datt, Dean Jolliffe, and Manohar Sharma, August 1998.
- **48** *Human Capital, Productivity, and Labor Allocation in Rural Pakistan*, Marcel Fafchamps and Agnes R. Quisumbing, July 1998
- 47 Poverty in India and Indian States: An Update, Gaurav Datt, July 1998
- 46 Impact of Access to Credit on Income and Food Security in Malawi, Aliou Diagne, July 1998
- **45** *Does Urban Agriculture Help Prevent Malnutrition? Evidence from Kampala*, Daniel Maxwell, Carol Levin, and Joanne Csete, June 1998
- 44 Can FAO's Measure of Chronic Undernourishment Be Strengthened?, Lisa C. Smith, with a Response by Logan Naiken, May 1998
- 43 *How Reliable Are Group Informant Ratings? A Test of Food Security Rating in Honduras*, Gilles Bergeron, Saul Sutkover Morris, and Juan Manuel Medina Banegas, April 1998
- 42 Farm Productivity and Rural Poverty in India, Gaurav Datt and Martin Ravallion, March 1998
- 41 The Political Economy of Urban Food Security in Sub-Saharan Africa, Dan Maxwell, February 1998
- 40 Can Qualitative and Quantitative Methods Serve Complementary Purposes for Policy Research? Evidence from Accra, Dan Maxwell, January 1998
- **39** *Whose Education Matters in the Determination of Household Income: Evidence from a Developing Country,* Dean Jolliffe, November 1997
- **38** Systematic Client Consultation in Development: The Case of Food Policy Research in Ghana, India, Kenya, and Mali, Suresh Chandra Babu, Lynn R. Brown, and Bonnie McClafferty, November 1997
- 37 *Why Do Migrants Remit? An Analysis for the Dominican Sierra*, Bénédicte de la Brière, Alain de Janvry, Sylvie Lambert, and Elisabeth Sadoulet, October 1997
- **36** *The GAPVU Cash Transfer Program in Mozambique: An assessment*, Gaurav Datt, Ellen Payongayong, James L. Garrett, and Marie Ruel, October 1997
- 35 Market Access by Smallholder Farmers in Malawi: Implications for Technology Adoption, Agricultural Productivity, and Crop Income, Manfred Zeller, Aliou Diagne, and Charles Mataya, September 1997

- 34 *The Impact of Changes in Common Property Resource Management on Intrahousehold Allocation*, Philip Maggs and John Hoddinott, September 1997
- 33 Human Milk—An Invisible Food Resource, Anne Hatløy and Arne Oshaug, August 1997
- 32 *The Determinants of Demand for Micronutrients: An Analysis of Rural Households in Bangladesh*, Howarth E. Bouis and Mary Jane G. Novenario-Reese, August 1997
- **31** *Is There an Intrahousehold 'Flypaper Effect'? Evidence from a School Feeding Program*, Hanan Jacoby, August 1997
- **30** *Plant Breeding: A Long-Term Strategy for the Control of Zinc Deficiency in Vulnerable Populations*, Marie T. Ruel and Howarth E. Bouis, July 1997
- 29 *Gender, Property Rights, and Natural Resources*, Ruth Meinzen-Dick, Lynn R. Brown, Hilary Sims Feldstein, and Agnes R. Quisumbing, May 1997
- 28 Developing a Research and Action Agenda for Examining Urbanization and Caregiving: Examples from Southern and Eastern Africa, Patrice L. Engle, Purnima Menon, James L. Garrett, and Alison Slack, April 1997
- 27 "Bargaining" and Gender Relations: Within and Beyond the Household, Bina Agarwal, March 1997
- 26 Why Have Some Indian States Performed Better Than Others at Reducing Rural Poverty?, Gaurav Datt and Martin Ravallion, March 1997
- 25 Water, Health, and Income: A Review, John Hoddinott, February 1997
- 24 Child Care Practices Associated with Positive and Negative Nutritional Outcomes for Children in Bangladesh: A Descriptive Analysis, Shubh K. Kumar Range, Ruchira Naved, and Saroj Bhattarai, February 1997
- **23** *Better Rich, or Better There? Grandparent Wealth, Coresidence, and Intrahousehold Allocation*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, January 1997
- 22 Alternative Approaches to Locating the Food Insecure: Qualitative and Quantitative Evidence from South India, Kimberly Chung, Lawrence Haddad, Jayashree Ramakrishna, and Frank Riely, January 1997
- 21 *Livestock Income, Male/Female Animals, and Inequality in Rural Pakistan*, Richard H. Adams, Jr., November 1996
- 20 *Macroeconomic Crises and Poverty Monitoring: A Case Study for India*, Gaurav Datt and Martin Ravallion, November 1996
- **19** *Food Security and Nutrition Implications of Intrahousehold Bias: A Review of Literature*, Lawrence Haddad, Christine Peña, Chizuru Nishida, Agnes Quisumbing, and Alison Slack, September 1996
- 18 *Care and Nutrition: Concepts and Measurement*, Patrice L. Engle, Purnima Menon, and Lawrence Haddad, August 1996
- 17 Remittances, Income Distribution, and Rural Asset Accumulation, Richard H. Adams, Jr., August 1996
- 16 How Can Safety Nets Do More with Less? General Issues with Some Evidence from Southern Africa, Lawrence Haddad and Manfred Zeller, July 1996
- 15 *Repayment Performance in Group-Based credit Programs in Bangladesh: An Empirical Analysis*, Manohar Sharma and Manfred Zeller, July 1996
- 14 Demand for High-Value Secondary Crops in Developing Countries: The Case of Potatoes in Bangladesh and Pakistan, Howarth E. Bouis and Gregory Scott, May 1996
- 13 Determinants of Repayment Performance in Credit Groups: The Role of Program Design, Intra-Group Risk Pooling, and Social Cohesion in Madagascar, Manfred Zeller, May 1996
- 12 *Child Development: Vulnerability and Resilience*, Patrice L. Engle, Sarah Castle, and Purnima Menon, April 1996

FCND DISCUSSION PAPERS

- 11 Rural Financial Policies for Food Security of the Poor: Methodologies for a Multicountry Research Project, Manfred Zeller, Akhter Ahmed, Suresh Babu, Sumiter Broca, Aliou Diagne, and Manohar Sharma, April 1996
- 10 *Women's Economic Advancement Through Agricultural Change: A Review of Donor Experience*, Christine Peña, Patrick Webb, and Lawrence Haddad, February 1996
- 9 *Gender and Poverty: New Evidence from 10 Developing Countries*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, Lawrence Haddad, and Christine Peña, December 1995
- 8 *Measuring Food Insecurity: The Frequency and Severity of "Coping Strategies,"* Daniel G. Maxwell, December 1995
- 7 A Food Demand System Based on Demand for Characteristics: If There Is "Curvature" in the Slutsky Matrix, What Do the Curves Look Like and Why?, Howarth E. Bouis, December 1995
- 6 *Gender Differentials in Farm Productivity: Implications for Household Efficiency and Agricultural Policy*, Harold Alderman, John Hoddinott, Lawrence Haddad, and Christopher Udry, August 1995
- 5 *Gender Differences in Agricultural Productivity: A Survey of Empirical Evidence*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, July 1995
- 4 Market Development and Food Demand in Rural China, Jikun Huang and Scott Rozelle, June 1995
- 3 The Extended Family and Intrahousehold Allocation: Inheritance and Investments in Children in the Rural *Philippines*, Agnes R. Quisumbing, March 1995
- 2 Determinants of Credit Rationing: A Study of Informal Lenders and Formal Credit Groups in Madagascar, Manfred Zeller, October 1994
- 1 *Agricultural Technology and Food Policy to Combat Iron Deficiency in Developing Countries*, Howarth E. Bouis, August 1994