

Advocates Coalition for Development and Environment Plot 96, Kanjokya Street, Kamwokya P. O. Box 29836, Kampala Tel: +256 312 812150 Email: acode@acode-u.org; library@acode-u.org

Website: http://www.acode-u.org

LOCAL GOVERNMENT COUNCILS' PERFORMANCE AND PUBLIC SERVICE DELIVERY IN UGANDA

Kanungu District Council Score-Card Report FY 2013/2014

Authors: Edward Natamba, Evelyn Kukundakwe and Rogers Ampumuza

BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

This policy brief presents findings from the 2013/14 assessment of Kanungu District Local Government under the Local Government Councils' Score-card Initiative (LGCSCI), an evidence based project implemented by ACODE in partnership with ULGA with support from DGF. It seeks to enhance the effectiveness of elected leaders in fulfilling their mandate and build the citizens' capacity to demand better services. The initiative is implemented in 30 districts, including Kanungu district which was being assessed for the third time. The initiative uses the score-card - an assessment tool - to facilitate annual performance assessments on the district council, district chairperson, speaker and councillors with focus on their roles and responsibilities as stipulated in the Local Government Act CAP 243 (as amended).

The annual assessment process is conducted by teams of researchers across the 30 districts partaking in the LGCSCI assessment. The research process involves a review of key district documents, interviews with key respondents and consultations with citizens through focus group discussions (FGDs). Following the data collection process, data is cleaned and analysed to identify key themes and patterns wherein a district report detailing the findings is produced for each of the assessed districts (full reports are available online; http://www.acode-u.org/). LGCSCI also produces and disseminates a 'National Synthesis Report' that provides trends analysis for all 30 of the assessed districts. Both reports are disseminated nationally with districts receiving copies of both their specific reports and copies of the National Synthesis Report.

OVERVIEW OF KANUNGU DISTRICT DURING FY2013/14

Kanungu district is managed by both political and technical leadership who work together to deliver services to the district. The political leadership was headed by Hon. Canon Josephine Kasya, assisted by 19 elected councillors (including the Speaker), who provided an oversight role and monitored development projects to ensure quality service delivery. The technical leadership was led by Mr. Chrezestom Kayise, the Chief Administrative Officer, who worked with a team of heads of departments to provide technical guidance and implement lawful council resolutions to provide services to citizens.

Kanungu district is renowned for beautiful scenery and areas of protected nature including national parks (managed by Uganda Wildlife Authority), game reserves and both central and local forest reserves. In these protected areas, there are a variety of wild animals including buffalo, climbing lions, mountain gorillas, elephants, Uganda kob and a variety of birds and reptiles. Queen Elizabeth National Park and the Bwindi Impenetrable National Park, in particular, attract both local and foreign tourists.

District oil production is becoming a possibility at sites in Nyamirama sub-county, Katete Parish in Kambuga sub-county, Kihiihi sub-county, Kanyantorogo sub-county and Kayonza sub-county. Currently, mining activities taking place in the district include gold mining and the excavation of sand and clay (mainly for construction and pottery purposes). Agriculture is the main economic activity and the construction of two major tea factories¹ has created further local employment and livelihood improvement opportunities. The district also hosts three small airstrips (located at Kayonza Tea Factory, Savannah Resort Hotel and Ishasha areas of Queen Elizabeth National Park) with

¹ The two tea factories are Kayonza Growers Tea factory and Kinkiizi Development Company Limited.

proposals to extend the Ishasha grass airstrip for improved transport links and a growing district.²

Figure 1: One of the largest tea estates in Kanungu District



Photo Credit: ACODE Digital Library

A review of selected service delivery indicators for Kanungu district shows that despite improvements in levels of statistical achievement from FY2012/13, the quality of service provision remains wanting. For example, in the education sector key primary education indicators showed an improvement in comparison with the achievements of FY2012/13. Enrolment levels increased from 47,162 to 51,241 pupils whilst the pupil-teacher ratio was at 38.1; well above the national target of 55:1. However, despite impressive statistics, the education sector faced several challenges including the poor remuneration of teachers (more than 15 per cent of primary teachers were not on the payroll), inadequate teaching facilities, high levels of staff and student absenteeism, a high dropout rate (despite an increase in enrolment levels for FY2013/14) and the poor participation of parents in the education of their children.

In terms of transport and access to the district, Kanungu district had no tarmac roads. Notably, the connection into Kanungu district was improved with the provisional Mitaano Bridge as the construction of a new bridge continues. However, despite an improvement in the district road network (15 km of trunk roads, 390 km of rural district/feeder roads, and 600 km of community access roads) in the previous financial year, the gravel work was poor. In such a hilly district, periodic maintenance is necessary (especially on central government roads) as roads became impassable, particularly during the rainy season. Poor roads access impacts on the transportation of agricultural produce to the markets and has previously forced farmers to sell their produce at a give-away price with minimal financial returns.

Figure 2: A recently fixed Mitaano bridge, Kambuga Subcounty to ease transport in the district



Photo Credit: ACODE Digital Library

FACTORS AFFECTING SERVICE DELIVERY IN KANUNGU DISTRICT

- Budgetary Constraints: During FY2013/14 Kanungu District Local Government received the biggest share of its revenue from central government transfers, accounting for 93 per cent of the district's revenue. Locally-generated revenue contributed only 1 per cent while donor contributions were 6 per cent. Kanungu district outlined the costs of their projected service delivery activities for FY2013/14 at UGX 22.9 billion but only UGX. 22.7 billion was received. Although there was an increase in central government transfers during FY2013/14, central government disbursements were mainly in the form of conditional grants. As such, there was little or no room for the re-allocation of funds by the district local government to other service delivery priorities.
- b) Limited Support Supervision and Operations Repairs and Maintenance: The inadequate and limited supervision by district staff of service delivery operations and maintenance led to delays in the implementation of work by some contractors. Furthermore, the procurement of planned activities was delayed. This particularly affected the engineering and roads sector.
- c) Prohibitive Terrain: The hilly nature of Kanungu district makes the maintenance of roads difficult. For example, Kibimbiri-Mitanda-Katokye road has remained in a poor state although the district local government has tried to ensure that the road is graded to the required standard. Similarly, monitoring in such hard-to-reach areas is complicated.
- d) High Drop-out Rate of Councillors: Research findings revealed that there was a high turnover

² The Population and Housing Census (2002) estimates the annual population growth rate of the district at 2.1 per cent.

rate of councillors. For example, five councillors left their roles and were serving in other positions in local governments or as Resident District Commissioners (RDCs) which left sub-counties with no representation in council. The high dropout rate was attributed to findings that suggest councilors had excessive expectations on the financial returns of a political position in local government and were unaware of the magnitude of roles and responsibilities expected from them amidst the meager allowances.

- e) Poor Monitoring and Documentation: Although councillors are supposed to monitor the delivery of public services, this was found wanting. Poor monitoring was mainly attributed to insufficient funds allotted to the exercise. In cases where the councillors claimed to have monitored, there was often no evidence to back up their claims such as monitoring reports or signatures in the visitors books of service delivery points.
- by electorate to understand the roles and responsibilities of political leaders and councillors greatly affected the councillors' role of contact with electorate. The electorate had diverse expectations from their councilors and the, often excessive and non-constitutional, demands discouraged the councilors from carrying out this role.

SCORE-CARD PERFORMANCE

The score-card parameters are derived from the mandate of the organs assessed as stipulated in the Local Governments Act. While all the four categories of Kanungu District Local Government political staff are evaluated on their legislative and monitoring functions, the district chairperson, speaker and council are assessed against additional roles.

As the political head of the district, the district chairperson is also assessed on their political leadership as well as the initiation of and participation in development projects in their electoral area. The speaker is assessed primarily as a councillor with an additional scoring requirement of presiding over and the preservation of order in council. The district council, the highest organ in the district, is assessed against planning and budgeting requirements and in providing accountability to citizens.

Table 1: Kanungu District Council Score-card FY 2013/14

| · GDIC | 1: Kanungu District C | ouncn | 2core- | talu F1 2013/14 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 2011/12 | 67 | 100 | |
| Trends in Performance | 2012/13 | 57 | 100 | |
| Perfor | 2013/14 | 69 | 100 | |
| inds in | % shange | 21 | | |
| | % change | 21 | | |
| Parameter | Indicator | Score | Max Score | Explanatory Remarks |
| | Rules of Procedure | 2 | 2 | |
| | Membership to ULGA | 1 | 2 | The council adopted standard rules of |
| | Committees of Council | 3 | 3 | procedure with amendments and, as |
| | Motions passed | 1 | 3 | a member of ULGA, paid subscription. The |
| <u> </u> | Ordinances | 1 | 3 | DEC sat more than ten times and motions |
| LEGISLATIVE ROLE | Conflict Resolution | 1 | 1 | were passed on issues such as meeting with UNRA staff about the |
| ISLATI | Public Hearings | 2 | 2 | poor state of central government roads, |
| TE6 | Legislative resources | 3 | 4 | the repair of Mitaano Bridge, Ishasha eco |
| | Petitions | 2 | 2 | power dam and delayed works on Rukungiri-Kanungu |
| | Capacity building | 2 | 3 | road. The district council also hosted a |
| | Sub total | 18 | 25 | delegation from Kisoro District. |
| | Fiscal Accountability | 4 | 4 | There was adherence to the work plans |
| | Political Accountability | 5 | 8 | although this was hindered by delayed |
| TIZENS | Administrative Accountability | 2 | 8 | funds. Council debated on corruption scandals |
| / T0 CI | Involvement of CSO | 2 | 2 | (particularly in the roads sector) and |
| COUNTABILITY TO CITIZENS | Principles of accountability | 0 | 3 | action was taken. MoUs were signed between the district |
| ACCOUNT | Sub total | 13 | 25 | and development partners including Kigezi Tea Growers Company Ltd however there was no evidence of public display of the client charter. |
| ₁ | Plans, Vision and Mission | 5 | 5 | |
| GETIN | District Budget | 4 | 4 | The district had an |
| & BUD | Local Revenue | 9 | 11 | approved DDP, CBP and REP however there |
| PLANNING & BUDGETING | Sub total | 18 | 20 | was still no ordinance on local government financial autonomy. |
| ş | Education | 4 | 5 | |
| NPP. | Health | 5 | 5 | Despite monitoring |
| ERY OI | Water and sanitation | 1 | 4 | some service delivery facilities, the council |
| DELIV | Roads | 2 | 4 | did not adequately monitor water and |
| ERVICE | Agriculture | 4 | 4 | sanitation, roads and FAL services delivery |
| ING SI | FAL | 0 | 4 | points. |
| MONITORING SERVICE DELIVERY ON NPPAS | ENR | 4 | 4 | |
| Ž | Sub total | 20 | 30 | |

Table 2: Chairperson's Score-card FY2013/14

| | Josephine Kasya | | | NRM |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | 2011/12 | 53 | 100 | |
| 9 | 2012/13 | 74 | 100 | |
| orman | 2012/13 | ,, | 100 | |
| Trends in Performance | 2013/14 | 62 | 100 | |
| Trends | % change | -19 | | |
| Parameter | Indicators | Score | Max Score | Explanatory Remarks |
| | DEC | 3 | 3 | |
| | Monitoring admin | 5 | 5 | |
| | State of affairs | 2 | 2 | |
| | Oversight civil servants | 1 | 4 | The chairperson presided over executive meetings and |
| SHIP | Commissions/ Boards | 2 | 2 | presented a report on the state of the district. She also wrote a letter to the DSC over the delayed backlog for interviews. |
| POLITICAL LEADERSHIP | Central gov't | 3 | 4 | |
| РОЦТІС | Sub Total | 16 | 20 | |
| | Council | 2 | 2 | The chaire error attended |
| | Motions Executive | 4 | 6 | The chairperson attended council at least four times; motions and bills on service |
| ROLE | Bills by Executive | 0 | 7 | delivery were presented on the delayed road works of |
| LEGISLATIVE ROLE | Sub Total | 6 | 15 | Rukungiri – Kihiihi-Kanungu road and tree planting along the roads. |
| | Meetings Electorate | 3 | 5 | |
| E | Issues by electorate | 5 | 5 | The chairperson visited eight |
| CONTACT WITH ELECTORATE | Sub Total | 8 | 10 | sub-counties and held radio talk shows on service delivery |
| | Projects Initiated | 3 | 3 | Among other projects, |
| | Communal Projects | 1 | 2 | the chairperson linked the community to development partners including Kiruruma |
| | NGOs | 5 | 3 | community to Netherlands people. She also made |
| PROJECTS | Sub Total | 9 | 10 | sure that the pledge of tea seedlings from the president was fulfilled. |
| AL | Agriculture | 4 | 7 | |
| MONITORING SERVICE DELIVERY ON NATIONAL PRIORITY PROGRAMME AREAS | Health | 7 | 7 | |
| N NO | Schools | 0 | 7 | The chairperson performed |
| LIVERY | Roads | 7 | 7 | well in monitoring the areas of agriculture, health, roads and environment however |
| TICE DE MME A | Water Sources | 0 | 7 | the chairperson's monitoring performance was found |
| S SERV | FAL | 0 | 5 | wanting in the areas of education, water and FAL. |
| MONITORING SERVICE DELIVER PRIORITY PROGRAMME AREAS | Environment | 5 | 5 | |
| MONIT | Sub Total | 23 | 45 | |

Table 3: Speaker's Score-card FY 2013/14

| | N | ch. l | D b - | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Name | Charles I | Beshesya | |
| | District | Kanungu | J | |
| | Political Party | NRM | | |
| | Constituency | Kirimya | | |
| | Gender | М | | |
| | Terms | 1 | | |
| | 2011/12 | 61 | 100 | |
| ance | 2012/13 | 68 | 100 | |
| Trends in Performance | 2013/14 | 72 | 100 | |
| ds in F | | | | |
| Trer | % change | 6 | | |
| Parameter | Indicator | Score | Max Score | Explanatory Remarks |
| | Chairing council | 3 | 3 | |
| | Rules of procedure | 6 | 9 | The standard rules of procedure were adopted and |
| | Business Committee | 3 | 3 | enforced by the speaker whilst minutes were produced on |
| | Records book | 2 | 2 | time and given to councillors before the next council meeting. The speaker also |
| tion | Record of motions | 3 | 3 | convened meetings according to a schedule which was |
| Legislative Function | Special skills | 0 | 5 | circulated to councilors for their reference. He also delegated to his deputy. |
| Legisla | Sub Total | 17 | 25 | |
| ate | Meetings Electorate | 11 | 11 | The speaker had a programme to meet citizens in his |
| Electora | coordinating center | 9 | 9 | constituency every Friday and also mobilized citizens to demand for the broken |
| Contact with Electorate | Sub Total | 20 | 20 | Mitaano bridge to be repaired; after a meeting with the president at the site, a provisional bridge was fixed. |
| רופ | Participation in LLG | 10 | 10 | The speaker attended five sub-county sessions and gave official communication (as reflected in minutes). |
| | Health | 7 | 7 | |
| | Education | 5 | 7 | |
| | Agriculture | 5 | 7 | The speaker monitored a |
| | Water | 5 | 7 | The speaker monitored a substantial number of service delivery facilities however his |
| | Roads | 3 | 7 | monitoring role was found wanting in the areas of FAL |
| PPAs | FAL | 0 | 5 | and Environment. |
| Monitoring NPPAs | Environment | 0 | 5 | |
| Monite | Sub total | 25 | 45 | |

Table 4: Summary performance of Kanungu District Councillors FY 2013/14

| Identifiers | | | | | Trends | Trends in Performance | тапсе | | Legislative role | role | | | Cont | Contact with electorate | | LLGs | | | 2 | Monitoring NPPA | g NPPA | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------|-------|---------|-----------------------|----------|----------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-----|-----------|
| этей | Valitical Party | Sub-county | репдер | Terms | 71/1107 | 2012/13 | \$1\£10Z | әбиецэ % | Plenary | Committee Motion | Special skill | letot du2 | Meeting electorate | Office | letotdu2 | spniteem Yrnuos duč | Неаітһ | noitesub3 | Agriculture | Water | Koads | IA ₁ | ЕИК | letoT du2 |
| | | | Maximum Score | Score | 100 | 100 | 100 | | 80 | 8 5 | 4 | 25 | 11 | 6 | 20 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 45 |
| Lawrence Byaruhanga | NRM | Nyamirama | W | - | 99 | 99 | 82 | 24 | ∞ | 8 2 | - | 19 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 7 | м | 2 | 37 |
| James Niringyimana Ruugi Kaberuka | NRM | Kihiihi | × | - | 77 | 83 | 80 | 4- | ∞ | 8 2 | - | 19 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 7 | - | _ | 35 |
| Emmy Magezi | NRM | Kanungu | ⊗ | 3 | 64 | 65 | 78 | 20 | ∞ | 8 2 | - | 19 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 23 | - | 33 |
| Justine Atwine Kakuru | NRM | Kirima & Kanungu | ш | 3 | 57 | 65 | 75 | 15 | ∞ | 0 8 | - | 17 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 7 | - | 0 | 32 |
| Mable Nahurira | NRM | Rutenga/ Kinaaba | ш | - | 27 | 89 | 73 | 7 | ∞ | 0 | 0 | 16 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 31 |
| Mariam Asiimwe | NRM | Kanyantorogo | ш | 2 | 49 | 80 | 11 | -11 | ∞ | 5 2 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 9 | = | 10 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 7 | 3 | _ | 35 |
| Christopher Kamara | NRM | Kanyantorogo | ≅ | - | 64 | 74 | 70 | -5 | ∞ | 8 2 | - | 19 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 9 | m | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | m | _ | 59 |
| John Muhima | NRM | Kayonza | W | 3 | 99 | 71 | 9 | φ. | 8 | 8 2 | 1 | 19 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | - | 7 | 0 | _ | 56 |
| Addah Nasiima | NRM | Kihiihi | ш | 3 | 99 | 61 | 63 | 3 | 5 | 8 2 | - | 16 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 7 | - | 0 | - | - | 24 |
| Jacinta Kataba | NRM | Kambunga | ш | 8 | 59 | 76 | 63 | -17 | ∞ | 0 | - | 17 | 7 | 6 | 16 | ∞ | 7 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 2 | - | 0 | 22 |
| Fulgence Muhumuza | NRM | Rugyeyo | ⊗ | 3 | 27 | 70 | 62 | -1 | ∞ | 8 2 | - | 19 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 5 | - | 27 |
| Hope Tugumisirize | NRM | Kayonza & Mpungu | ш | - | 44 | 89 | 09 | -12 | - | 1 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 16 | ∞ | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| Nyiraguhigwa Felesta | NRM | Kihiihi | ш | 2 | | | 99 | | 5 | 0 8 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 7 | М | 0 | М | 7 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| Frank Byaruhanga | NRM | Mpungo | × | 3 | 72 | 73 | 55 | -25 | 8 | 8 2 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 3 | 3 | - | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Jackline Mugisha | NRM | Nyomiroma | Ŀ | - | 20 | 59 | 52 | -12 | ∞ | 0 8 | 0 | 16 | 4 | 7 | Ξ | 10 | 7 | 9 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Geoffrey Bigambwamukama | NRM | PDW Male | V | - | 10 | 58 | 18 | 69- | ∞ | 8 | 0 (| 16 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Immaculate Nyabuhara | NRM | Youth Female | ш | - | 13 | 25 | 13 | -48 | - | 5 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Joselyn Kigundu | NRM | PWDs Female | ш | - | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | - | 5 0 | 0 (| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Average | | | | | 48 | 62 | 28 | 6- | 7 7 | 7 1 | - | 15 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 4 | m | 2 | - | - | 24 |

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR IMPROVED SERVICE DELIVERY

From the Kanungu District Council Scorecard Report, it is recommended that:

- 1. Central Government considers increasing the budgetary allocation for Kanungu Local Government to meet service delivery priorities.
- 2. Kanungu District Local Government devises strategies to increase and effectively collect local revenue from the available local resources.
- 3. Kanungu District Local Government recruits key administrative staff that can offer leadership and supervision to ensure efficiency in service delivery.
- 4. Kanungu District Local Government intensifies monitoring by both political and administrative leaders to check shoddy works on service delivery units, especially engineering and road works.
- 5. Kanungu District Local Government institutionalizes the practice of elected leaders producing monitoring reports.
- 6. Kanungu District Local Government includes more capacity building initiatives for council members and elected leaders in the areas of legislation, drafting motions and bills, report writing, record keeping and minute taking

The full report on these findings can be accessed on ACODE's online information center at: http://www.acode-u.org/

About the Authors

Edward Natamba is an Independent Researcher and the Executive Director of South Western Institute for Policy and Advocacy (SOWIPA) based in Ntungamo. He has worked on the Local Government Councils Score-Card Initiative (LGCSCI) since 2009.

Evelyn Kukundakwe is an Independent Researcher and she works with Kanungu district NGO Forum.

Rogers Ampumuza is an Independent Researcher and he is also the Director of MBHU Kanungu.