

Exchange rate policy and economic reform in Ethiopia

Contents

List of tables	1
List of figures	1
Abstract	1
I Introduction	1
II Some effects of an overvalued exchange rate	2
III Foreign exchange allocation in Ethiopia	5
IV The economic reform of 1991	10
V Effects of devaluation on the Ethiopia macroeconomic performance	11
VI Modelling the monetary effect of devaluation	24
VII Empirical results	27
VIII The effect of devaluation on the Ethiopian macroeconomic performance	30
IX Conclusion	30
Appendix	31
References	31

Asmerom Kidane
Addis Ababa University

AERC Research Paper 54
African Economic Research Consortium, Nairobi
March, 1997

Component, 1950-88, by Joe U. Umo and Tayo Pakiyesi, Research Paper 40.
Empirical Studies of Nigeria's Foreign Exchange Parallel Market I: Price Behaviour and Rate Determination by Melvin D. Bond, Research Paper 41.
Exchange Rate and Inflation in Nigeria by Aloysius Ajib Amin, Research Paper 42.
Policy Consistency and Inflation in Nigeria, 1960-80, Research Paper 43.
Fiscal Operations in a Depressed Economy: Nigeria, 1940-60 by Akpan H. Ekpo and John E. U. Nnabio, Research Paper 44.
Foreign Exchange Bureaus in the Economy of Ghana by Kofi A. Osei, Research Paper 45.

Economic Reform in Ethiopia
Published by: The African Economic Research Consortium
Economic Study of Zimbabwe's Foreign Exchange Regime by D. M. Dube, Research Paper 46.
Taxation of Foreign Income and Capital Market Development in Nigeria by Eno L. Inanga and Chidozie Emenuga, Research Paper 47.
The Transmission of Savings to Investment in Nigeria by Adedoyin Soyibo, Research Paper 48.
A Statistical Analysis of Foreign Exchange Rate Behaviour in Nigeria's Auction by Genovasi O. Ogiogbo, Research Paper 49.
The Behaviour of Income Velocity in Tanzania 1962-1994 by Michael G.A. Ndanshan, Research Paper 50.

Consequences and Limitations of Recent Fiscal Policy in Côte d'Ivoire, by Rosalyn Quesson and Euliane Bouabré, Research Paper 51.
Effects of Inflation on Ivoirian Fiscal Policy: An Econometric Investigation, by Eugene A. Abo, Research Paper 52.
European Economic Integration and the Franc Zone: The Future of the CFA Franc after 1999, by M. G. Osei and Niamky A. Madeleine, Research Paper 53.

Printed by: The Regal Press Kenya, Ltd.
P.O. Box 46116
Nairobi, Kenya

AERC Research Paper 54
African Economic Research Consortium, Nairobi
March, 1997
ISBN 9966-900-96-9

Contents

List of tables

List of tables	
List of figures	
Abstract	
I Introduction	1
II Some effects of an over-valued exchange rate	2
III Foreign exchange allocation in Ethiopia	5
IV The economic reform of 1991	9
V Effects of devaluation on the Ethiopian macroeconomic performance	11
VI Modelling the monetary effect of devaluation	24
VII Empirical results	28
VIII The effect of devaluation on real variables	31
IX Conclusion	33
Appendix	35
References	37

List of figures

13	GDP by sectors and total (1981-1982 to 1992-1993)	1
13	Growth rate of GDP and its components (1981-1992)	2
12	Balance of payment and current account 1989-1992	3
16	Price of tradables (coffee)	4a
17	Price of non-tradables (cereals)	4b
18	Coffee supplied and auctioned (monthly observations)	5
19	Monthly export of Coffee (in metric tons)	6
20	Official and parallel market (exchange rate for Ethiopian birr)	7
22	General consumer price index (CPI) for Addis Ababa	8

List of tables

1.	GDP by industrial origin at constant factor cost (million birr)	14
2.	Growth rate of GDP and its components	14
3.	Balance of payments position of Ethiopia and million birr	15
4a.	Price of tradeables (coffee)	16
4b.	Price of non-tradeables (cereals)	17
5.	Quantity of coffee supplied and auctioned and the ratio of the two	19
6.	Monthly export of coffee in metric tons	20
7.	Official parallel market exchange rates for the Ethiopian birr	21
8.	General consumer price index (CHI) for Addis Ababa	22
9.	Determinants of the price of tradeables dependent variable	29
10.	Determinants of the price of non-tradeables dependent variable	29
11.	Determinants of real exchange rate dependent variable (Log RER)	30
12.	Determinants of coffee supply dependent variable	32

List of figures

1.	GDP by sectors and total (1981-1982 to 1995-1996)	13
2.	Growth rate of GDP and its components (1981-1996)	13
3.	Balance of payment and current account 1989-1993	15
4a.	Price of tradeables (coffee)	16
4b.	Price of non-tradeables (cereals)	17
5.	Coffee supplied and auctioned (monthly observations)	18
6.	Monthly export of Coffee (in metric tons)	19
7.	Official and parallel market (exchange rate for Ethiopian birr)	20
8.	General consumer price index (CPI) for Addis Ababa	22

Abstract

This paper summarizes the macroeconomic consequences of an over-valued currency. It describes the extent of foreign exchange misalignment in Ethiopia and its impact on the foreign exchange rate and the premium obtained through commodity smuggling in the country. Between 1974 and 1991 there were various forms of exchange and trade controls, which resulted in the increase in premiums, smuggling and other macroeconomic imbalances. In 1991 an economic reform programme was introduced that included a 59% devaluation. The effect of the devaluation on various macroeconomic indicators suggests that the exchange rate reform policy was able to produce the desired results. The major conclusion of this study is that devaluation and the corresponding increase in the real exchange rate increased the supply and export of Ethiopia's principal commodity (coffee) through the official market.

in general and tradables in particular.

Devaluation and inflation

II. Some effects of an over-valued exchange rate

I. Introduction

Like many other African countries, Ethiopia faced serious economic problems from the mid 1970s through the entire decade of the 1980s. This debilitating economic crisis manifested itself in various ways, such as low growth rate of GDP, negative growth rate of per capita income, an increasing balance of payments deficit and huge debt servicing followed by accumulation of arrears. Agriculture was hard hit. Agricultural output in general and food production in particular grew at a lower rate than did population, forcing the country to either import basic necessities or depend on external donations.

The causes for the poor state of events were many and varied. Like many other African governments the Ethiopian government put the blame on deteriorating terms of trade, high interest rates and possibly protectionism. Even though these factors did contribute to the worsening economic crisis, by far the most important cause of poor economic performance was overall macroeconomic mismanagement. This is again manifested by lack of appropriate incentive schemes to promote efficient use of resources. In general, the macroeconomic policies of developing countries including Ethiopia have led to distortions in all sectors of the economy.

The way out of these distortions is to introduce comprehensive economic reform, the aim of which is to regain internal and external balances thereby promoting sustainable growth and development in all sectors.

Among the consequences of poor macroeconomic management is the over-valuation of the exchange rate of a country's currency, which discourages export diversification and makes imports artificially cheap. The quantitative restrictions that are introduced in the allocation of foreign exchange are essentially the result of an over-valued domestic currency. In most economic reform packages one finds that the realignment of exchange rates is a major policy objective. In the following section we briefly consider the effect of an over-valued exchange rate on selected macroeconomic indicators.

II. Some effects of an over-valued exchange rate

Devaluation and balance of payments

We have already noted that an over-valued exchange rate will lead to persistent balance of payments deficits. This is because an over-valued exchange rate makes production for export unprofitable while imports become cheaper. Since hard currency is scarce, only a few individuals or institutions will have access to exchange at the artificially low rate. The fact that imported goods are priced at market prices enables the privileged importers to earn high profits. On the other hand, the excessive dollar-equivalent price of non-tradeables raises the production cost of local manufactures, making them uncompetitive and impeding export of such goods. One may get more insight into the effects of over-valued currencies by classifying production of tradeables and non-tradeables. Domestic demand for tradeables will be high because of low relative prices; on the other hand, production of non-tradeables will be discouraged because of low prices and lack of competitiveness.

As export earnings decrease and foreign exchange becomes scarce, governments resort to exchange controls because demand exceeds supply. Controls lead to corruption in the official system, and a parallel market flourishes with an ever-increasing premium. Both exporters and tourists resort to the parallel market, and remittances from abroad fail to go through the banking system. Over time, the quantity of foreign exchange available in the official markets decreases. In general, over-valuation and subsequent exchange controls lead to the widening of current account deficits.

The devaluation of an over-valued currency is expected to narrow the gap in the current account deficit by increasing the profitability of exports and making them more competitive. At the same time, the local currency cost of imports is expected to increase. In other words, there will be reallocation of resources toward the production of tradeables. The effectiveness of such a policy depends on the elasticity of the export supply and the demand for imports. Because there are restrictions on the importation of consumption goods, most imports are capital and intermediate goods whose elasticity may be low. Also, some developing countries are exporters of primary products whose volumes do not respond quickly to price increases — at least not in the short run. Furthermore, the effect of devaluation on the balance of payments assumes that producers of primary products will be beneficiaries of devaluation; this may require institutional reforms such as reforming or eliminating marketing boards or reducing taxes on the agricultural sector

in general and tradeables in particular.

Devaluation and inflation

While devaluation will stimulate export earnings, reduce the demand for imports and thereby improve the balance of payments position of a country, the short-run inflationary effect is an argument that always concerns policy makers. Improvement in agricultural productivity is usually the long-run objective of African economies. This requires modernizing the sector, which in turn may require imported inputs. The necessary fertilizers, other chemicals and modern implements have to be imported. Thus the cost of production of agricultural produce is likely to increase as a result of devaluation. However, the effect of devaluation on the agricultural prices depends on the fraction of imported inputs on agricultural output. If the major inputs are land and labour only, then the effect of devaluation is likely to be low. Exchange rate realignment is also meant to enable producers to allocate resources more efficiently; in such a case reduction of more expensive imported inputs may be in order.

The effect of devaluation on the cost of production in the manufacturing sector may be substantial if the fraction of imported inputs is quite high. The fact that industries are highly protected, with domestic prices of manufactured products higher than the corresponding prices in the world market, makes industrial establishments rather inefficient. Devaluation will then increase the cost of production. When expressed in local currencies this will be passed to consumers, which will further increase the price and reduce demand. Increased prices will naturally lead to reduced real wages. This is likely to be resisted by wage earners and their unions, leading to increases in nominal wages. The inflationary effect of devaluation is apparent, unless demand for higher wages can be contained.

Devaluation and government budgets

In a situation of over-valued currency, exporters of primary and other products are remitted in local currency at the prevailing official exchange rate; this is nothing but an implicit tax on exports. Since this implicit tax is not used as budgetary support but as a subsidy to importers, the over-valued currency is likely to lead to budget deficits.

Taxes on exports and imports or tradeables constitute a significant portion of government revenue. As a result of decreased export earnings, the revenue from this sector will decrease whenever the extent of over-valuation is substantial. Devaluation will enhance the revenue position of the government. At the same time, expenditure on imports may increase, depending on the elasticity of demand. The latter includes the cost of debt servicing as denominated in local currency. One cannot on the basis of this

argument predict the effect of devaluation on the budget deficit. The overall result depends on the magnitude of debt and debt servicing and on the share of trade taxes in government revenue. Finally, the effect of capital inflow will improve the revenue position of the government when denominated in local currency. The higher the amount of capital inflow, the narrower the expenditure-revenue gap. Since devaluation is followed by increased capital inflow, budget deficits are expected to be narrower.

Devaluation and parallel market

Since an over-valued exchange rate penalizes them, exporters may be forced to channel their foreign exchange receipts through the parallel market where the market premium is substantial. Alternatively, exporters may smuggle their produce rather than use official channels. Either way, governments lose control of foreign exchange allocation. Remittances from abroad will fail to pass through normal banking channels, and in the end the foreign exchange earnings of the government may dry up. Official balance of payments statistics will not show the true position of the country and such misleading statistics will also mislead policy makers.

Devaluation is expected to reduce or eliminate the parallel market premium, reverse the negative consequences and improve efficiency in resource allocation. If devaluation is to be effective, exchange controls may have to be lifted so that market forces will determine the true exchange rate.

We have briefly considered the effect of over-valued currency on balance of payments, inflation, government budgets and the emergence of parallel markets. We also considered how devaluation may improve balance of payments and budget deficits and eliminate parallel markets. Conditions under which a devaluation-induced inflationary spiral would be reduced were also mentioned. One could as well consider the effect of devaluation on other economic variables such as income distribution and the like.

III. Foreign exchange allocation in Ethiopia

The extent of foreign exchange misalignment

In a free market or an open economy both monetary and fiscal policies have to be consistent with the prevailing nominal exchange rate. If this condition is met, then the economy is said to be at a stable and sustainable equilibrium, thereby generating a positive growth rate. On the other hand, if monetary and fiscal policies are not internally consistent with the prevailing exchange rate, a country is certain to face disequilibrium and exchange rate misalignment leading to fiscal deficits as well as exchange rate and balance of payments crises. This is the situation Ethiopia is in.

Over the past 21 years Ethiopia has followed a fixed predetermined nominal exchange rate pegged to the US dollar. This in turn led to the over-valuation of the Ethiopian birr and to an ever-increasing government deficit; the government was forced to balance the deficit mainly through money creation. One result was double-digit inflation, generally greater than the corresponding rate of major trading partners. Between 1988 and 1992 prices increased by 270%. Because of the inconsistency between the fiscal deficits and exchange rate misalignment, the price of non-tradeables increased by as much as the rate of inflation, while the domestic price of tradeables grew at the inflation rate prevailing in major trading partners. This led to further appreciation of the exchange rate.

Instead of trying to reverse the process, the Ethiopian government (1974-1991) tried to contain it — not by following appropriate policies that would bring the disequilibrium and inconsistency back to sustainable equilibrium and internal consistency, but by imposing further exchange controls and higher duties and by directing further nationalization at the expense of private incentives and the operation of market forces. The controls, duties and interferences include:

1. Denying private investors access to foreign exchange allocations.
2. Uncoordinated and arbitrary rationing of the available foreign exchange among state-owned enterprises.
3. Strictly controlling the type and quality of goods to be imported.
4. Increasing both import and export duties.
5. Keeping interest at an unrealistically low level and enacting investment laws that discourage local and foreign investors.

The controls led to the emergence of parallel markets and an increasing budgetary deficit. Over the past 20 years the ratio of the parallel to the official dollar exchange rate of the Ethiopian birr has increased to 3.63 from 1.17. The gap between the two has widened during the past five years. One can also compare the extent of foreign misalignment on fiscal deficits. The expenditure-revenue ratio increased from 0.83 in the late 1950s and early 1960s to 1.34 in the late 1980s. During 1989, 1990 and 1991 the deficit as a percentage of GDP was 14.7, 17.2 and 15.8, respectively.

As stated earlier, the misalignment and the poor performance of the economy were due to the various exchange control regulations as well as the lost time and the costs that follow from such regulations. We briefly consider the foreign exchange allocation process in Ethiopia.

The foreign exchange allocation process

The foreign exchange regulations of Ethiopia that have been in operation over the past 15 years spell out the procedures for transactions in foreign exchange, holding of foreign exchange, surrender and replenishment of foreign exchange, approval of exports of goods and services, capital remittances and payments for imports to Ethiopia. The regulations state that commercial banks cannot hold foreign currency and that they cannot acquire shares, stocks or bonds denominated in foreign currencies. No Ethiopian national resident in the country can maintain a bank account abroad, and every transaction involving hard currency must be made through the National Bank of Ethiopia.

A large percentage of foreign exchange allocated by the national bank is for payments of imports. An importer has to produce a valid foreign trade or import license, and goods to be imported must be free from import prohibition. There are detailed forms to fill, including suppliers' invoices, the terms of payments and the provision of adequate insurance. The process is so detailed and time consuming that by the time the application is approved and payment authorized the original invoice may be outdated and a new process initiated.

Other allocations

Besides foreign exchange allocations for import, there are allocations for "invisibles" such as salary transfers by foreign employees who are entitled to remit 30% of their net earnings. Students with valid visas who leave Ethiopia for study abroad are allowed foreign exchange up to the equivalent of birr 500; students and trainees sent abroad by the Ethiopian government may obtain foreign exchange upon the request of the concerned government department.

It is possible for an individual or an organization to borrow from abroad and make repayment thereof. However, prior consultation with the national bank is required before

entering into a foreign loan contract. The bank usually approves such loans when the necessary funds are not available locally and the terms and conditions of the loans are reasonable. Individuals or organizations that consider borrowing from abroad must first apply to the exchange controller. The applicant should include information such as the amount to be borrowed, the purpose of the loan, interest rates and other costs, and the terms of repayment. Other required particulars are the name, address and nationality of the lending person or institution, the relationship between the borrower and the lender, and the collateral given. The application must be accompanied by letters from local banks rejecting the desired loan. Subsequent applications for foreign exchange for repayment of the approved foreign loan should be accompanied by a copy of the exchange controller's letter of authority and a local bank's advice of credit.

Other foreign exchange allocations include payment for insurance and reinsurance abroad, premiums on life policies, payment of royalties, transfer of profits and dividends, payment or refunds on travel tickets to air or sea carriers of foreign ownership and tour operators, as well as transfer of foreign exchange by diplomatic missions and international organizations. Like the allocation of foreign exchange for import, these entitlements require detailed administrative procedures and various restrictions, leading to substantial costs in terms of money, time and labour.

Actual allocation processes 1974-1992

The implementation of the rules and regulations described above has not been uniform over the years. This is due to several reasons, including the deterioration in the country's export earnings and subsequent decline in foreign exchange reserves at the disposal of the national bank, the introduction of orthodox marxist economic policies, and the transfer of the foreign exchange allocation process from the National Bank of Ethiopia to the Workers' Party of Ethiopia.

The actual allocation procedure over the past 20 years or so can be broken into four phases: pre 1974, 1974-1983, 1984-1991 and post 1991. During the pre 1974 phase, the foreign exchange reserve of the country was sound and there was a thin and insignificant parallel market exchange rate. The exchange controller of the National Bank of Ethiopia had the authority to allocate the requested foreign exchange on a daily basis provided that all the necessary procedures were followed. During the peak foreign exchange earning season (October to February) the quantity supplied was more or less equal to the amount demanded.

When deciding to make a foreign exchange allocation the exchange controller considered the available foreign exchange and the expected earnings; the latter were estimated by taking into account the export permits issued. During the off-peak season foreign exchange allocation was sometimes delayed by a few days to two weeks, depending on the amount requested and on the expected earnings. A substantial portion of the allocation was made to the private sector.

The fiscal policy of the country since economic liberalization aims to reduce the

During the second phase (1974-1983) the acquisition of import licenses did not guarantee the acquisition of all or some of the foreign exchange requested. During this period the government proceeded with the policy of nationalization of private manufacturing, trade and service establishments, including the external trade sector. At the same time foreign exchange earnings declined rapidly, nationalized enterprises could not get all they wanted and excess capacity began to prevail. Imports of basic necessities were reduced further. The foreign exchange controller could not meet the demand for foreign exchange by importers and the time between application for foreign exchange and the authorization (if any) took several weeks. The outright rejection of applications became quite common. For those whose applications were favourably considered, the amount authorized was less than requested. There were two reasons for this; first was the need to "equitably" distribute whatever foreign exchange was available for dispersal; second was the many incidents of over invoicing. By the end of this period the national bank had established a market surveillance division to control such practices.

During the third phase (1984-1991) the Economic Policy Department of the Workers' Party of Ethiopia took over the administration and allocation of most foreign exchange requests. The activity of the Exchange Control Department of the bank was confined to disbursing funds allocated by the party committee and to "authorizing" the allocation of invisibles alone. The latter constituted less than 5% of the total allocation. During this phase, the amount of foreign exchange allocated to the private sector by the party committee was insignificant and hard to predict. This led to an ever-growing parallel market and shortages of basic imported items such as pharmaceuticals, baby foods, spare parts, various inputs and the like. At the same time controls and restrictions began to tighten.

Cognizant of the fact that there was a severe shortage of basic imports, the government authorized private importation of goods through the "Franco Valuta" approach. Under this procedure individuals were allowed to import authorized consumer goods, spare parts, etc., through "own funded" foreign exchange. Import licenses were still required, and the tax on such importables increased. The informal sector began to flourish; the parallel market premium began to widen; remittance from Ethiopians residing abroad ceased to come through the normal channels (the banks); smuggling of exports became the order of the day. The foreign exchange earnings of the government began to decrease. Ethiopians abroad realized that there was a shortage of imported consumption items and instead of remitting in currency they began to smuggle such items into the country. As a result, the country was flooded with many imported consumption items; since the parallel market exchange rate, was the "real" exchange rate, the price of these imported items began to soar. Housewives, government employees and others became informal traders. Making "quick money" was the motto of informal traders, and government employees, and the economy's informal sector became dominant.

IV. The economic reform of 1991

By May 1991, when the New Transitional Government of Ethiopia took over by toppling the Stalinist regime of Mengistu, the foreign exchange earnings of the country had reached a very low level. No foreign assistance except food for the hungry was forthcoming.

Early in 1992 the new government agreed to undertake economic reforms as prescribed by the IMF and the World Bank. Economic assistance began to arrive and the national bank regained its authority as the custodian and distributor of foreign exchange.

The newly announced economic reform programmes include the reorganization of state-owned enterprises and marketing boards. Most important, all export duties were eliminated, the birr was devalued by 59% and a foreign exchange auction system was introduced. These three reforms aimed at realigning foreign exchange management in Ethiopia. In other words, one of the major objectives of the economic reform was the use of foreign exchange as a tool for bringing about economic growth and stability. Before we consider the effect of devaluation on key macroeconomic indicators, we will first provide a brief description of the overall reform.

One of the major undertakings of the Transitional Government of Ethiopia is to limit the role of the government in the economy while at the same time encouraging the development of the private sector. In other words, most new macroeconomic policies are aimed at creating a conducive environment for a private sector based on the free market. The economic reforms include changes in private investment policy, fiscal and monetary policies, labour market policies, trade policies, private sector deregulation, and exchange rate realignment. A brief description of some of the macroeconomic reforms is given below.

A new investment policy that is more liberal and less bureaucratic was introduced in 1992. A separate office — The Investment Office of Ethiopia (IOE) — was established to facilitate applications for investment. To invest in Ethiopia one needs a license. One may obtain the license from IOE, from one of the Regional Investment Offices (RIO) or from one of the sectoral ministries. The investment law is detailed, clear and full of options. We may not do justice to summarize the incentives to which would-be investors are entitled. A summary of new investment projects since the revised regulations were issued shows a total of 714 projects with an aggregate capital of 4.5 billion birr, which is more than US\$900 million, have been granted; 46.9% of this is in foreign currency. When classified by source of finance, 43.9% is in the form of equity while the rest is in the form of loans. Out of the 714 projects 44% are in the industrial sector followed by about 12% in agriculture.

The fiscal policy of the country since economic liberalization aims to reduce the

government deficit and its inflationary impact. Revenue from direct tax constitutes a small percentage of the total and this share has been declining over the past six years. On the other hand, because of the devaluation of the birr in October 1992, tax from imports increased substantially and this contributed to the reduction of the deficit. A major effort at narrowing the budgetary gap came through a reduction in the government deficit through decreased defense expenditure. A deficit still prevails, since the economic reforms have been financed by external loans and domestic bank borrowing. The latter constitutes 6.3% of GDP, which compares favourably with the 10.2% that existed during the pre-reform period.

After the introduction of the reforms there was a substantial reallocation of resources from the public to the private sector through the elimination of differential interest rates on deposit and lending for private and public sector as well as cooperatives. The nominal interest rate was also raised to improve the returns on financial assets. This resulted in a substantial increase in real interest. Furthermore, the restrictive monetary policy increased the availability of loanable funds. This was accomplished by reducing the government deficit and restricting money supply. The aim was to prevent the growth in money supply from exceeding the level of inflation.

The major component of the reform is the attempt to realign the exchange rate through devaluation and the introduction of the auction system. In October 1992 the Ethiopian birr was devalued from 2.07 birr per dollar to 5.00 birr per dollar. At that time the parallel market exchange rate was 7.80 birr to the dollar — a premium of more than 37%. Unlike the experience of many other African and Latin American countries the parallel market rate did not appreciate further.

In May 1993, the national bank introduced an auction system, which implies the application of dual exchange rates. The first one (the 5 birr to the dollar) applied to the importation of basic items such as petroleum, pharmaceuticals, and payments of debts and other external obligations. The market (auction) determined exchange rate applied to the importation of other items. Both the private and the public sector can participate in the auction market, which is conducted every 15 days. Participants from the private sector are expected to have import licenses and to present pro forma invoices. The price quotation that clears the market during a particular auction period is the rate that prevails for the next 15 days. The table in Appendix A shows the highest and lowest bids for each auction, as well as the one that clears the market. The table also shows the total bid amount as well as the share that goes to the private sector. It should be noted that before the reforms almost all the foreign exchange was used by the state. The table shows the increased share of the private sector in the acquisition of foreign exchange since the introduction of the auction system.

V. Effects of devaluation on the Ethiopian macroeconomic performance

Before considering the effect of devaluation on the Ethiopian economy, we will look briefly at the macroeconomic performance before the implementation of the reform package. We will also consider some indicators of the extent of foreign exchange misallocation. It should be noted that the economic reform and liberalization programme currently under way in Ethiopia is relatively recent. A detailed analysis of exchange rate and other reforms may be premature and may have to wait one or two more years. However, there are indications that the programme is showing some positive results. For example, it was expected that immediately after devaluation the parallel market exchange rate would appreciate, but it did not increase further as a result of devaluation. In fact, the premium has been substantially reduced. The inflationary spiral that was expected on non-tradeables such as food and other basic necessities did not materialize; the amount of export items smuggled into neighbouring countries is believed to have been reduced; and the extent of resource misallocation such as excess capacity is showing some improvement.

For purposes of comparison, some pre and post reform empirical information on major economic indicators of macroeconomic performance is summarized below. As the major component of the reform is devaluation, we will analyse macroeconomic performance vis-a-vis exchange rate unification.

Gross domestic product (GDP)

Figures 1 and 2 as well as Tables 1 and 2 present estimated GDP and its growth rate at factor cost from 1981/82 until 1995/1996. The results show substantial reduction, especially during the late 1980s and early 1990s. On the other hand, there was some improvement during 1992-1993. Estimated GDP and its growth rate are also given for 1994 to 1996, where some positive results suggest improved macroeconomic performance in the industrial sector followed by agriculture. While these results show a positive effect of the overall economic reform policy, they may not be direct indicators of the effect of one of the components of reform, namely, devaluation. A better indicator of the effect of devaluation on resource allocation would be the following variables:

- Balance of payments
- The prices of tradeables and non-tradeables
- Supply and export of coffee
- Parallel market premium
- Inflation

Balance of payments

The balance of payments and current account deficit position of the Ethiopian economy over the past 16 quarters is given in Figure 3 and Table 3. The results show slight improvement in the balance of payments position after the October 1992 devaluation, suggesting a positive impact on exchange realignment. However, we may have to wait several quarters before a consensus is reached on this point. Quarterly balance of payments statistics in Ethiopia are usually published with a one-year lag.

The price of tradeables and non-tradeables

Prices play a vital role in the efficient allocation of resources. More specifically, higher prices on tradeables are expected to improve the performance of the export sector. It is not easy to establish a clear demarcation between what is tradeable and what is not. For purposes of analysis in this paper, the domestic price of coffee will serve as an indicator of price movement of tradeables, while the price of cereals (all of which are produced and consumed locally) will be the indicator of non-tradeables. Monthly price movements of the two commodity groups are given in Figures 4a and 4b, followed by the corresponding Tables 4a and 4b.

Figures 4a and 4b show monthly data (September to October) for the years 1990-1991, 1991-1992 and 1992-1993. The reason for starting with the month of October is to compare the prices before and after devaluation (October 1992). Thus the two monthly price series 1990-1991 and 1991-1992 are before devaluation and those of 1992-1993 are after. The results in Figures 4a and 4b show a dramatic increase in the price of coffee, a major tradeable commodity, compared to cereals, which are non-tradeable. It should be noted that the price of tradeables is the estimated producers price and not the world price.

Figure 1: GDP by sectors and total (1981-1982 to 1995-1996)





Figure 2: Growth rate of GDP and its components (1981-1996)



Source: National Bank of Ethiopia, Economic Research and Planning Department.

Table 1: GDP by industrial origin at constant factor cost (million birr)

	Agriculture	Industry	Distribution	Other	Total
1981/82	3807.7	1312.5	1264.0	1586.8	7671.0
83	4203.2	1376.1	1346.2	1683.9	8610.4
84	3685.7	1460.1	1367.6	1671.7	8185.1
85	3125.3	1473.5	1356.6	1748.5	7703.9
86	3480.6	1516.0	1464.2	1776.0	8236.8
87	4006.7	1588.6	1540.1	1896.8	9024.2
88	3923.7	1604.9	1596.1	2068.1	9192.8
89	4011.4	1611.6	1627.4	2091.4	9341.8
90	4020.5	1536.8	1573.6	2081.9	9212.8
91	4342.9	1289.1	1429.6	2092.0	9153.6
92	4169.2	1226.4	1371.2	1712.5	8479.3
93	4375.3	1379.4	1498.3	1869.4	9122.4
94*	4546.3	1524.3	1613.3	1970.9	9654.8
95*	4752.2	1611.9	1732.4	2085.3	10212.3
96*	4935.6	1800.0	1856.6	2210.6	10802.7

Source: National Bank of Ethiopia, Economic Research and Planning Department.

* Estimated values.

Table 2: Growth rate of GDP and its components

Time	Agri.	Ind.	Dist.	Other	Total
1982-83	10.4	4.8	6.5	6.1	8.2
1983-84	-12.3	6.1	1.6	-1.0	-4.5
1984-85	-15.3	1.0	-1.0	4.5	-5.9
1985-86	11.3	2.9	7.9	1.6	6.9
1986-87	15.1	4.8	5.2	6.8	10.0
1987-88	2.2	1.0	3.6	9.0	1.9
1988-89	2.2	1.0	2.0	1.1	1.7
1989-90	0.2	-4.5	-3.4	-0.5	-1.1
1990-91	8.0	16.4	-9.2	0.1	-7.4
1991-92	-4.0	-4.9	-4.1	-18.2	7.5
1992-93	4.9	12.5	9.3	9.1	5.8
1993-94	3.9	10.5	7.7	5.4	5.7
1994-95	4.5	9.0	7.3	5.8	5.7

Source: National Bank of Ethiopia, Economic Research and Planning Department

Figure 3: Balance of payment and current account 1989-1993




Table 3: Balance of payments position of Ethiopia in million birr (quarterly values)

Time	Balance of payments	Current account
1989-90		
1	-65.1	-2349.0
2	-58.7	-259.0
3	-26.0	-79.0
4	-8.1	-44.6
1990-91		
1	3.1	-462.3
2	-26.7	-435.7
3	73.3	-327.4
4	192.1	122.1
1991-92		
1	-95.0	67.75
2	-91.0	-84.7
3	-52.8	-46.2
4	-275.9	-78.4
1992-93		
1	-129.0	-11.1
2		
3		
4		

Source: National Bank of Ethiopia, Economic Research and Planning Department.

Figure 4a: Price of tradeables (coffee) (birr per metric ton)




Table 4a: Price of tradeables (coffee) (estimated producer price)¹

Month	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94
October		2630	3915	7921
November		2515	4729	7558
December		2502	5355	7467
January	2526	2505	5642	6731
February	2557	2399	5645	7632
March	2629	2431	5873	
April	2632	2392	5787	
May	2567	2351	5647	
June	2545	2344	5605	
July	2504	2351	7071	
August	2479	2284	9397	
September	2511	2288	9003	

Source: National Bank of Ethiopia, Economic Research and Planning Department.

1. Birr per metric ton.

Figure 4b: Price of non-tradeables (cereals)




Table 4b: Price of non-tradeables (cereals)


Month	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94
October		87.2	99.6	99.2
November		90.2	99.5	99.8
December		86.4	97.6	95.2
January	73.5	85.4	97.4	95.2
February	77.2	91.4	97.5	97.2
March	84.3	91.2	97.7	
April	86.9	93.8	103.6	
May	88.9	93.2	103.6	
June	92.6	94.6	99.9	
July	90.1	96.2	99.0	
August	89.3	99.7	99.5	
September	88.1	100.0	99.6	

Source: Central Statistics Authority of Ethiopia, Statistical Abstract (various issues)

1. Birr per metric ton.

The supply response of coffee cannot be analysed in the short run because coffee is


Figure 5: Coffee supplied and auctioned (monthly observations)



“perennial”. On the other hand, it is conceivable that the extent of smuggling of coffee through the borders may have been reduced. Besides, the domestic consumption of coffee in Ethiopia has always been substantial. As a result of devaluation and the corresponding increase in price there may be a shift from domestic consumption to export. There may thus be a short-run “supply response” as a result of the increased price of coffee.

The extent of decrease in coffee smuggling can be estimated by observing the amount of coffee supplied to various branches of the Coffee Marketing Board. Monthly data over the three periods are provided in Figure 5 and Table 5. Even though there is seasonal variation in coffee trade, the results in Figure 5 show a substantial increase in the amount of coffee supplied to official marketing channels.

A comparison between the amount supplied and the amount auctioned may indicate whether there has been a shift from domestic consumption to export. Both auctioned and supplied amounts are plotted in Figure 5. A high auction-supply ratio may indicate a shift from domestic consumption to exports and this is what the figure tries to show. A monthly volume of export is also provided in Figure 6 and Table 6. Even though there is high seasonal variation, the results show marked increase in coffee export after the October 1992 devaluation.

Figure 6: Monthly export of coffe (in metric tons)**Table 5: Quantity of coffee supplied and auctioned and the ratio of the two (metric tons)**

Date	Supplied (S)	Auctioned (A)	Ratio (A/S)
1991-1992			
July	942	215	0.23
August			
September	2738	237	0.09
October	1036	211	0.20
November	3609	1330	0.37
December	4499	3983	0.88
January	6181	4697	0.76
February	9592	4528	0.47
March	7445	3803	0.51
April	8143	2291	0.28
May	8786	2024	0.23
June	5002	2202	0.44
1992-1993			
July	2074	1013	0.49
August	2150	915	0.43
September	1672	1297	0.78
October	3573	3293	0.92
November	5052	4770	0.94
December	6043	5400	0.90
January	8380	6901	0.82
February	12202	6381	0.52
March	13834	6237	0.45
April	12032	4125	0.34
May	10049	3454	0.34
June	10608	4009	0.38

Figure 7: Official and parallel market (exchange rate for Ethiopian birr)




Table 6: Monthly export of coffee in metric tons

Month	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1994-95
October		3,167	351	2,331
November		380	6,026	2,121
December		971	2,089	1,948
January	2,841	3,228	4,701	
February	4,074	2,182	6,724	
March	10,345	4,147	4,274	
April	10,409	3,337	8,336	
May	12,650	1,999	8,948	
June	375	7,300	12,508	
July	269	4,794	8,241	
August	2,459	4,355	5,091	
September	2,538	4,259	4,410	

Source: National Bank of Ethiopia, Economic Research and Planning Department.

The parallel market premium

The limited supply of foreign exchange and the strengthening of foreign exchange controls and trade restrictions during the 1980s resulted in the substantial growth of the parallel exchange market. During the early 1970s official and parallel market exchange rates were the same. There were even cases where the parallel market rate was lower than the

Table 7: Official /parallel market exchange rates for the Ethiopian birr

Time	Official (O)	Parallel (P)	Ratio (P/O)
1981	2.01	3.04	1.51
1982	2.07	3.34	1.61
1983	2.07	3.54	1.71
1984	2.07	4.13	2.00
1985	2.07	4.17	2.01
1986	2.07	3.85	1.86
1987	2.07	5.50	2.66
1988	2.07	5.70	2.75
1989	2.07	6.50	3.14
1990	2.07	7.00	3.38
1991	2.07	7.50	3.62
1992			
September	2.07	7.80	3.77
October	5.00	7.80	1.56
November	5.00	7.80	1.56
December	5.00	7.50	1.50
1993			
January	5.00	7.50	1.50
February	5.00	7.50	1.50
March	5.00	7.48	1.50
April	5.00	7.50	1.50
May	5.00	7.60	1.50
June	5.05	7.60	1.52
July	5.30	7.45	1.50
August	5.73	7.20	1.45
September	5.40	7.00	1.25

official rate, especially for small amounts of hard currency. After 1974 the ratio of the parallel to the official rate was only 1.07. By 1980 it had reached 1.5 and during early 1992, that is, before devaluation, it was about 3.62. Economists expected the premium to remain the same after the October 1992 devaluation - or even increase further. This did not happen. Figure 7 and Table 7 show the convergence between the parallel and official exchange rates as a result of the devaluation. As of September 1993, the ratio of the parallel to the official market was only 1.25; this shows a substantial improvement compared to the pre-devaluation ratio in September 1992, one year earlier.

Inflation

The immediate effect of a devaluation is expected to be an increase in prices in general and the cost of living in particular. This is especially so if the foreign exchange component of domestically produced goods and services is high. While detailed analysis of the inflationary consequence of inflation is beyond the scope of this study, the price index of Addis Ababa was compared for the three periods. This is shown in Figure 8 along with the corresponding Table 8. The overall index shows a high value because the base

Figure 8: General consumer price index (CPI) for Addis Ababa




Table 8: General consumer price index (CPI) for Addis Ababa

Month	1990-1991	1991-1992	1992-1993	1993-1994
October		87.3	100.7	99.8
November		90.2	99.5	95.2
December		86.5	97.5	95.2
January	73.0	85.4	97.4	97.2
February	77.0	91.3	97.5	
March	84.2	91.1	97.7	
April	86.8	93.8	104.4	
May	88.8	93.2	99.9	
June	92.6	94.5	99.1	
July	90.1	96.1	99.5	
August	89.3	99.8	99.6	
September	88.1	100.0	99.1	

Source: Central Statistics Authority of Ethiopia, Statistical Abstract (various issues).

period (1963) is 33 years old. The comparison of the CPI over the three periods shows that the inflationary impact of devaluation was not significant.

VI. Modelling the monetary effect of devaluation

When modelling the effect of devaluation we separate such effects into monetary and real variables. The analysis of the monetary (price) effect considers the impact of devaluation on:

- Price of tradeables
- Price of non-tradeables
- Real exchange rate

To study the effects on real variables, we consider the supply of exports to the official market as opposed to the parallel market.

Price of tradeables

The modelling exercise here draws heavily on the work by Elbadawi (1990). We begin with a small economy assumption and assume the price of tradeables — both exports and imports — as given. Given both official and parallel market exchange rates as well as the prevailing trade policy, the domestic price of exportables and importables in terms of a given currency can be expressed as:

$$P_x = e(1 - t_x)P_x^* \tag{1}$$

$$P_m e^{\beta} \hat{e}^{(1-\beta)} (1 + t_m) P_m^*, 0 < \beta < 1 \tag{2}$$

$$P_x^{\alpha} P_m^{(1-\alpha)} \dots 0 < \alpha < 1 \tag{3}$$

Where P_x and P_m are the domestic prices of exports and imports, respectively. The corresponding given international prices are P_x^* , P_m^* ; e and \hat{e} are the official and parallel market exchange rates; and t_x and t_m are export and import taxes. The latter two are indicators of the extent of trade liberalization. In other words, in the presence of complete liberalization, $t_x = t_m = 0$. One should note that the parallel market exchange

rate is introduced in the determination of the price of imports. This is because the demand for dollars through the parallel market is for purposes of financing imports. The parameter β is an indicator of the extent of such dependency. The price of tradeable P_t is the weighted mean of P_x and P_m .

Equations 1, 2 and 3 may now be used to derive an equation that explains the determinants of the price of tradeable P_t . Elbadawi (1992) expresses this in a logarithmic form as follows:

$$\log(P_t) = \log e + (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)\log(q) + \alpha\log(1 - t_x) + (1 - \alpha) + \alpha\log(P_x^*) + (1 - \alpha)\log(P_m^*) \quad (4)$$

In the above equation, e is the parallel market premium. The premium positively affects the price of tradeables; the magnitude of the relation depends on the value of α and β that is, the weights given to the price of exportables as well as the magnitude of the parallel market. The official exchange rate may also positively affect the price of tradeables even though the magnitude cannot be specified beforehand. Finally, the effect of the international price of importables could have a positive effect. The value of their respective coefficients depends on α —the weights given to the price of exportables.

Price of non-tradeables

The demand and supply functions for non-tradeables can be represented by the following equations:

$$D_N = D_N\left[\frac{P_x}{P_N}, \frac{P_m}{P_N}, Y, f(\cdot)\right] \quad (5)$$

$$S_N = S_N\left[\frac{P_x}{P_N}, \frac{P_m}{P_N}, C_p\right] \quad (6)$$

It should be noted that besides the corresponding prices, real income Y and excess aggregate demand $f(\cdot)$ affect the demand for non-tradeables only, while excess capacity C_p affects aggregate supply of non-tradeables. In this exercise, excess demand is defined as the rate of growth of domestic credit less the rate of growth of income. For simplicity

we assume that excess capacity C_p is fixed.

By expressing Equations 5 and 6 in logarithmic forms that differentiating and expressing the result in proportionate changes, we can rewrite the results as:

$$D_N = \delta_x(P_x P_N) + \delta_m(P_m - P_N) + \delta_y Y + \delta f(.) \quad (7)$$

$$S_N = \sigma_x(P_x - P_N) + \sigma_m(P_m - P_N) \quad (8)$$

It should be noted that $f_j > 0$ and $\sigma_j < 0$ for $j = x, m$; δ_j is the price elasticity of demand for non-tradeables, while $\sigma_j < 0$ is the corresponding supply elasticity. The static equilibrium in the non-tradeable goods market ($D_N = S_N$) yields

$$0 = (\delta_x - \sigma_x) \left[\frac{P_x}{P_N} \right] + (\delta_m - \sigma_m) \left[\frac{\hat{P}_m}{P_N} \right] + \delta_y Y + \delta f(.) \quad (9)$$

Further algebraic manipulation yields a formula for P_N — the price of non-tradeables, which is given as

$$P_N W \hat{P}_x + (1 - W) P_m + W_y Y + W_f \hat{f}(\cdot) \quad (10)$$

Where:

$$W = \frac{\delta_x - \sigma_x}{(\delta_x - \sigma_x) + (\delta_m - \sigma_m)}, 0 < W < 1$$

$$W_y = \frac{\delta_y}{(\delta_x - \sigma_x) + (\delta_m - \sigma_m)}, W_y > 0$$

$$W_f = \frac{\delta_f}{(\delta_x - \sigma_x) + (\delta_m - \sigma_m)}, W_f > 0$$

The direct measure of excess demand is given by:

$$f = (DC - \hat{e} - \hat{Y}) \quad (11)$$

Plugging equations 1, 2 and 11 into Equation 10, rearranging the terms and expressing in their logarithmic forms, we get a reduced form equation for the determinants of non-tradeables as follows:

$$p_n = W_0 + \log(e) + (1-w)(1-\beta)\log(q) + w\log(1-t_x) + 1-w\log(1+t_m) \\ + w\log(P_x) + (1-w)\log(P_m^*) + w_y\log\left(\frac{cd}{e_o y}\right) \quad (12)$$

Equation 12 shows that the price of non-tradeables depends on those variables that affect the price of tradeables plus income as well as an indicator of domestic excess capacity.

The real exchange rate (RER)

We can now define the real exchange rate as the ratio of the weighted average price of tradeables to the price of non-tradeables. In other words:

$$RER = \frac{eP_x^* P_m^{*(1-\alpha)}}{P_N} = \frac{eP_T^*}{P_N} \quad (13)$$

This definition of RER is appropriate for a dependent economy. Using equations 1, 2, 10 and 13, we obtain a reduced form equation for the real exchange rate that yields:

$$\log RER = -W_0 - W\log(1-t_x) - (1-W)\log(1-t_m) - (W-\alpha)\log\left[\frac{P_x^*}{P_m^*}\right] \\ -W_y\log(Y) - W_f\log\left[\frac{DC}{eY}\right] - (1-W)(1-\beta)\log(q) \quad (14)$$

Effect of liberalization

A decrease in export and import tax (more liberalization) will cause the depreciation of the real exchange rate. The extent of depreciation depends on the relative elasticities of the price of non-tradeables to the price of exportables. This will be so if the substitution effect dominates the potential income effect. If the income effect dominated the substitution effect, we would have an appreciation of real exchange rate, which is contrary to the depreciation predicted by Equation 13.

Effect of expenditure

An increase in expenditure (Y) or excess demand will lead to an appreciation of real exchange rate. This is because higher expenditure will result in the increase in the price of non-tradeable P_N (Equation 10). The extent of appreciation depends on W_Y and W_P , which in turn depend on income and price elasticities.

Effect of black market premium

A rise in the black market premium leads to real exchange rate appreciation. The size of the appreciation depends on price elasticity of home goods $(1-W)$ as well as share of imports financed through the black market $(1-\beta)$.

The effect of terms of trade

The terms-of-trade effect is ambiguous. Terms of trade could lead to an appreciation of real exchange rate if exportable price elasticity exceeds the share of exportables in the tradeable goods sector $[(w-\alpha) > 0]$. The opposite will be true if $[(w-\alpha) < 0]$.

Variable	Coefficient	t-stat
Constant	-1.585	-0.433
log(Y)	2.831	5.403*
log(Y)	5.882	7.445*
log(Y)	0.310	0.815
log(1-W)	0.220	0.812
log(P _N)	3.000	2.550
log(Y)	0.019	0.048

The reduced form of the determinants of the price of tradeables (Equation 12) is given in Table 9. The estimate indicates that the official exchange rate and the premium are the two important variables influencing the price of tradeables in Ethiopia during the period under study. The results suggest that a large percentage of the devaluation is passed into the domestic price of coffee — the major indicator of tradeables. The effect of international prices of importables is more significant compared to the international price of exports. The two prices show the expected positive sign. In the Ethiopian context,

Plugging equations 1, 2 and 11 into Equation 10, rearranging and taking logarithms in their logarithmic forms, we get a reduced form equation for the determinants of non-tradeables as follows:

An increase in expenditure (Y) or excess demand will lead to an appreciation of real exchange rate. This process in the expenditure will result in higher prices in the price

W has. The extent of appreciation depends on W and W (Equation 10). The extent of appreciation depends on income and price

VII. Empirical results

Data

Equation 12 shows that the price of non-tradeables is determined by the price of tradeables, the real exchange rate, and the domestic credit to GDP ratio. The price of tradeables is as well as income and price

In this sector we describe the data required for estimating the reduced form equations on the determinants of the prices of tradeables and non-tradeables and of the real exchange rate. In order to capture the effect of the 1991-1992 economic reforms in general, and that of devaluation in particular, we have used quarterly data up to the end of 1994. First we took coffee, which constitutes more than 75% of Ethiopian export earnings, as the only tradeable commodity. All cereals produced within Ethiopia are consumed locally, and this constitutes more than 80% of domestic agricultural production. Cereals are thus classified as non-tradeables. Up-to-date quarterly information on these two commodities is available. Information on domestic credit, official and parallel market exchange rates, and international coffee prices is available. On the other hand, income (GDP) is available on a yearly basis only. We attempted to decompose yearly values into quarterly estimates. The major component of GDP is from the agricultural sector, most of which is harvested and sold during the fourth and first quarters of a year. We thus gave more weight to these two quarters while decomposing the yearly GDP into quarters. Export tax rates were obtained by dividing quarterly tax revenue from exports by total export earnings. A similar procedure was followed in the estimation of import tax rates. Finally, the international price of importables was indirectly estimated by dividing the value of Ethiopian imports by the corresponding volume. The data used for estimation are given in Table 2.

$$-W, \log(Y) - W, \log\left(\frac{DC}{GDP}\right) - (1 - W)(1 - \beta)\log(q) \quad (14)$$

The price of tradeables

Effect of liberalization

The reduced form of the determinants of the price of tradeables (Equation 15) is given in Table 9. The estimate indicates that the official exchange rate and the premium are the two important variables influencing the price of tradeables in Ethiopia during the period under study. The results suggest that a large percentage of the devaluation is passed into the domestic price of coffee — the major indicator of tradeables. Also, the effect of international prices of importables is more significant compared to the international price of exports. The two prices show the expected positive sign. In the Ethiopian context,

Table 9: Determinants of the price of tradeables dependent variable ($\log P_t$)

Variable	Coefficient	t
Constant	-0.020	-0.009
$\log(q)$	0.689	0.964
$\log(e)$	1.731	2.369*
$\log(1-t_x)$	0.095	0.133
$\log(1+t_m)$	0.966	2.055*
$\log(P_x)$	0.513	2.016*
$\log(P_m)$	0.171	2.113*
R ²	0.949	
F(6, 14)	63.400	
Prob>F	0.000	

*Significant at 5%.

therefore, an increase in the international price of importables is expected to reduce the domestic price of tradeables. Finally, a more liberal trade policy — especially reduction of import duties — is expected to increase the price of tradeables. In general, the estimated equation on the determinant of tradeables suggests the dominance of income over substitution effects.

The price of non-tradeables

Equation 10 shows the determinants of the price of non-tradeables. Like the estimated equation of the price of tradeables, the equation seems to have high explanatory and predictive power.

Table 10: Determinants of the price of non-tradeables dependent variable ($\log P_n$)

Variable	Coefficient	t
Constant	-1.686	-0.934
$\log(q)$	2.651	5.403*
$\log(e)$	2.855	5.445*
$\log(1-t_x)$	-0.312	-0.447
$\log(1+t_m)$	0.226	0.602
$\log(P_x/P_m)$	0.036	0.582
$\log(cd/ey)$	0.019	0.243
$\log(y)$	0.062	0.358
R ²	0.787	
F(7, 12)	11.05	
Prob>F	0.0002	

*Significant at 5%.

Two variables that tend to significantly affect the price of non-tradeables are the premium and the official exchange rate. Both have the expected signs.

Table 11: Determinants of real exchange rate dependent variable (log RER)

Variable	Coefficient	t
Constant	3.314	1.237
log(q)	1.018	-10.072*
log(1-t _x)	-0.732	-0.625
log(1+t _m)	0.223	0.306
log(P _x /P _m)	0.033	0.273
log(cd/ey)	-0.296	-2.139*
log(y)	-0.230	-0.654
R ²	0.866	
F(7, 12)	20.05	
Prob>F	0.000	

*Significant at 5%.

The real exchange rate

Table 11 shows the estimated equation on the determinants of real exchange rate (RER). This dependent variable is defined as the ratio of the price of the tradeable commodity (coffee) to the non-tradeable (cereals). The estimated equation is quite robust, with most of the explanatory variables showing the expected signs and with significant coefficients. An increase in excess demand implies an appreciation of the real exchange rate.

This result suggests the compatibility between exchange rate and monetary policy in general and credit policy in particular. Besides, devaluation, which is an inverse of the parallel market premium, is expected to lead to the depreciation of the real exchange rate (RER). On the other hand, the effect of foreign terms of trade on the real exchange rate seems to be insignificant even though it showed the expected sign. The effect of export liberalization also has the expected sign, even though it is not significant. The coefficient of liberalized exports seems to have the wrong sign. Income was found to be non-significant.

Table 12: Determinants of coffee supply dependent variable (COFFSUP_j)

Variable	Coefficient	t-Statistic
Linear		
Constant	8115.810	0.598
RER	7176.211	2.887

VIII. The Effect of devaluation on real variables

We have noted that devaluation can increase export earnings by increasing the prices of tradeables compared with non-tradeables. The major export of Ethiopia is coffee, and there may be a lag of 4 to 5 years before the effect of devaluation on coffee production and supply becomes apparent. Still, one can see the effect of devaluation on the substitution between domestic coffee consumption and exports as well as the reduction in coffee smuggling. This aspect of the study of the effects on real variables can only be considered as a preliminary indicator, as one cannot consider a rigorous model at this stage.

We use the information on the monthly supply of coffee to official markets as a dependent variable and regress this variable as a function of the real exchange rate, which is defined as the price of tradeables (coffee) over non-tradeables (cereal). The consumer price index (CPI) is also put as an explanatory variable. The rationale behind the inclusion of the CPI is to capture the shift from the domestic consumption of coffee to export. A higher CPI would mean less domestic consumption of coffee and hence more for auction and export. The model is given as follows:

$$COFFSUP_j = f(RER_j, CPI_j, U_j) \quad (15)$$

where $COFFSUP$ = amount of coffee supplied to auction markets
 RER = ratio of coffee price over cereal price
 CPI = consumer price index
 U_j = error terms

The linear and non-linear estimates are provided in Table 12.

Both estimates clearly indicate that the effect of devaluation (RER_j) on the supply and export of coffee seems to be positive and significant. This suggests a substantial reduction in domestic consumption and/or decrease in coffee smuggling. Even though the CPI coefficient (an indicator of the domestic price of coffee) is not significant, its sign suggests that an increase in the domestic price of coffee could mean an increase in coffee export.

Table 12: Determinants of coffee supply dependent variable (COFFSUP_{it})

Variable	Coefficient	t Statistic
Linear		
Constant	8112.810	0.596
RER _{it}	7176.211	3.657*
CPI _{it}	-14.027	-0.747
R ²	0.426	
F, n	9.54, 24	
Non-linear		
Constant	25.563	1.132
Log(RER _{it})	1.899	3.133*
Log(CPI _{it})	-2.615	-0.772
R ²	0.335	
F, n	6.79	

We use the information on the monthly supply of coffee to official markets as a dependent variable and regress this variable as a function of the real exchange rate, which is defined as the price of arabica coffee (coffee) over non-arabica coffee (CPI). CPI is also used as an explanatory variable. The inclusion of the CPI is to capture the shift from the domestic consumption of coffee to export. A higher CPI would mean less domestic consumption of coffee and hence to export. The model is given as follows:

$$\text{COFFSUP}_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{RER}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{CPI}_{it} + u_{it}$$

where COFFSUP_{it} = amount of coffee supplied to official markets
 RER_{it} = ratio of coffee price over real price
 CPI_{it} = consumer price index
 u_{it} = error terms

The linear and non-linear estimates are provided in Table 12.

Both estimates clearly indicate that the effect of deviation (RER) on the supply and export of coffee seems to be positive and significant. This suggests a substantial reduction in domestic consumption and/or decrease in coffee smuggling. Even though the CPI coefficient (an indicator of the domestic price of coffee) is not significant, its sign suggests that an increase in the domestic price of coffee could mean an increase in coffee export.

IX. Conclusion

This paper considered the exchange rate policies and economic reform in Ethiopia. The report summarized some of the effects of an over-valued currency on key indicators of macroeconomic performance. It then summarized the foreign exchange allocation process during the Stalinist regime (1974-1991) of the Ethiopian government and the resulting foreign exchange misalignment.

The summary review of economic reform in Ethiopia emphasized the impact of devaluation and the importance of foreign exchange realignment as a major policy variable in transforming a stagnant economy. The empirical results on key macroeconomic indicators suggest that the 59% devaluation of 1992 seems to be producing the desired results. The economic performances summarized in figures and tables indicate that exchange rate realignment tends to have the expected results in affecting the prices of tradeables and non-tradeables — the latter plays a vital role in the efficient reallocation of resources. This is so despite the fact that devaluation is a one-period phenomenon. By eliminating the subsidy enjoyed by inefficient state enterprises and a few privileged importers during the pre-devaluation period, the new economic policy seems to foster economic growth as well as internal stability and consistency.

The descriptive statistics on GDP, price of tradeables and non-tradeables, supply and export of coffee, reduction in the parallel market premium, and the reduced growth rate of the CPI showed that foreign exchange realignment is an important policy tool for better macroeconomic performance. The impact of the policy changes should be analysed by the construction of a theoretically sound and rigorous econometric model. In other words, the transmission mechanisms from devaluation to the prices of tradeables and non-tradeables and the real exchange rate should be theoretically formulated and empirically verified.

We attempted to do this by deriving reduced form equations on the determinants of the prices of tradeables and non-tradeables and the real exchange rate. The results tend to confirm that a devaluation of a currency will increase the price of tradeables in a predicted manner. This will in turn affect the real variables; in the Ethiopian context the supply and export of coffee through the official channels have increased. This in turn will increase the foreign exchange earnings of the government, provided the international price of such tradeables is not reduced.

Our empirical evidence suggests that the overall effect of devaluation is proceeding in a predictable and positive manner, and the process of exchange rate unification as well as reduction in the parallel market premium seems to be well under way. Most

important, the relative increase in the domestic price of tradeables (coffee) that came about as a result of devaluation seems to reduce both the extent of smuggling and the domestic consumption of coffee.

It can be argued that the current positive trends may not be sustainable and may be driven by foreign loans and grants. In other words, foreign loans and grants may not be forthcoming for too long and the situation may return to its original disequilibrium. There are as well, some exchange controls still in place despite the fact that the list of commodities that cannot be imported has been reduced substantially and despite the fact that the private sector is now engaged in the purchase of foreign exchange. On the other hand, we were able to note that through a conservative monetary policy, as well as substantial reduction in deficit financing, exchange rate unification could still be achieved even if foreign loans and grants are reduced. Moreover, the demand for foreign exchange in the parallel market has always been for transactions by the informal sector for purchase of imported consumer goods and not for capital flight. Thus, as production capacity in the manufacturing sector has improved with more readily available inputs, basic necessities are no longer so scarce and the role of the informal sector has declined substantially.

Appendix

Table A: Foreign exchange auction rates ('000 \$)¹

Auction	Bid Rate		Share		Total	
	Marginal ²	lowest	highest	Public	Private	Value
1	5.00	5.00	7.00	0.00	100.0	3553
2	5.00	5.00	6.50	28.6	71.4	5047
3	5.00	5.00	7.05	41.0	59.0	6294
4	5.00	5.00	6.50	53.7	46.3	5000
5	5.10	5.00	5.56	66.9	34.1	5000
6	5.20	5.99	6.10	59.9	40.1	6000
7	5.35	5.00	5.76	56.0	44.0	6000
8	5.56	5.56	6.50	57.5	42.5	6000
9	5.90	5.90	6.51	57.4	42.6	6000
10	5.90	5.25	6.75	50.0	49.4	1000
11	5.10	5.10	6.40	70.3	29.7	1000
12	5.01	5.01	6.10	36.7	63.3	8000
13	5.18	5.00	6.50	64.1	35.9	6000
14	5.41	5.41	5.75	41.2	58.8	6000
15	5.61	5.20	6.05	51.7	48.3	6000
16	5.71	5.20	6.51	58.0	42.0	7000
17	5.80	5.16	6.10	58.6	41.4	8000
18	5.86	5.20	6.20	45.7	54.3	—
19	5.77	5.02	6.01	34.9	65.1	8068
20	5.82	5.02	6.01	50.3	49.7	8000
21	5.92	5.02	6.01	63.0	37.0	8000

Source: National Bank of Ethiopia.

Notes: Auctions are held every 15 days. The first auction was held on 1 May 1993. This is the rate that will continue to prevail between two auctions.

National Bank of Ethiopia, (various issues) Annual Reports.

Plato, B. 1990. "Black market premia, exchange rate unification and inflation in sub-Saharan Africa", *The World Bank Economic Review*, vol. 3, no. 3.

1991. "Black markets for foreign exchange: Real exchange rates and inflation", *Journal of International Economics*, vol. 30.

Rose, A.K. 1990. "Exchange rates and the trade balance", *Economic Letters*, vol. 22.

Younger, S.R. 1992. "Testing the link between devaluation and inflation: Time series evidence from Ghana", *Journal of African Economies*, vol. 1, no. 3.

Appendix B: Macroeconomic variables used in the Estimation monetary effects

Year	Quarter	D ²	Exp.Tax	Imp.Tax	IPC ³	IPI ⁴	GDP ⁵
1989	1	6559	0.27	0.17	121	1.72	2118
	2	7141	0.17	0.28	113	2.12	2055
	3	7249	0.10	0.17	72	1.76	2709
	4	7510	0.06	0.20	62	2.91	2055
1990	5	7856	0.05	0.30	70	2.96	2119
	6	8331	0.03	0.31	73	3.37	2395
	7	8585	0.04	0.16	73	4	2672
1991	8	8960	0.03	0.19	73	4	2027
	9	9159	0.10	0.31	72	3	2105
	10	9337	0.03	0.56	69	2.58	2380
	11	9666	0.03	0.48	65	2.03	2655
1992	12	9840	0.03	0.27	64	3.73	2014
	13	10230	0.02	0.14	58	3.37	1950
	14	10507	0.03	0.27	50	3.81	2205
	15	10763	0.01	0.20	47	3.88	2459
1993	16	11203	0.03	0.11	59	3.74	1865
	17	12175	0.02	0.16	57	4.34	2098
	18	12494	0	0.20	53	4.58	2372
	19	12698	0	0.20	67	4.6	2645
1994	20	11410	0	0.20	70	4.7	2007
	21	11750	0	0.20	70	4.8	2220

Source: National Bank of Ethiopia.

Notes: Other variables are given in other tables. Domestic credit. International price of coffee. International price of importables. In millions

Bibliography

- Aghevi, B.B. 1977. "Money, prices and the balance of payments, Indonesia 1968-73". *JDS* vol. 13, no. 2.
- Bautista, R.M. 1987. "Production incentives in Phillipine agriculture: Effects of trade and exchange rate policies". *IFPRI*, No. 5.
- Collier, P. and V. Joshi. 1989. "Exchange rate policy in developing countries". *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, vol. 5, no. 2.
- Crocket, A. D. and S.M. Nsouli. 1987. "Exchange rate policies for developing countries", *Finance in Developing Countries*.
- Edwards, S. 1988. "Exchange controls, devaluation and real exchange rates: The Latin American experience". *EDCC* vol. 37, no. 3.
- Edwards, S. 1989. *Real Exchange Rate, Devaluation and Adjustment*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Elbadawi, I. 1992. "Real overvaluation, terms-of-trade shocks and the cost to agriculture in sub-Saharan Africa: The case of Sudan". *Journal of African Economies*, vol.1, no.1.
- Jebuni, C.D., N.K. Sowa and K.A. Tutu. 1991. *Exchange rate policy and Macroeconomic Performances in Ghana*. AERC Research Paper No. 6.
- Kamin, S. 1988. "Devaluation, external balance and macroeconomic performance: A look at the numbers". *Princeton Studies in International Finance*, no. 62.
- Kasekende, L.A. and G. Ssemogerere. 1992. "Exchange rate unification and economic development: Case study of Uganda". Final research report. Nairobi: AERC.
- Lipumba, M.H.I. 1990. "The appropriate exchange rate policy for African countries: Some policy issues". A paper presented at the Eastern and Southern Africa Economic Association, Nairobi.
- Mwega, F. 1993. "Real exchange rate misalignment and macroeconomic performance in Kenya". A paper presented at the AERC Research workshop in Cape Town, S.A.
- National Bank of Ethiopia. (various issues) Annual Reports.
- Pinto, B. 1990. "Black market premia, exchange rate unification and inflation in sub-Saharan Africa". *The World Bank Economic Review*, vol. 3, no. 3.
- _____. 1991. "Black markets for foreign exchange: Real exchange rates and inflation". *Journal of International Economics*, vol. 30.
- Rose, A.K. 1990. "Exchange rates and the trade balance". *Economic Letters*, vol. 32.
- Younger, S.R. 1992. "Testing the link between devaluation and inflation: Time series evidence from Ghana". *Journal of African Economies*, vol.1, no. 3