**MARCH 1997** 

RESEARCH PAPER SIXTY-FOUR

# INTRA-INDUSTRY TRADE BETWEEN MEMBERS OF THE PTA/COMESA REGIONAL TRADING ARRANGEMENT

FLORA M. MUSONDA



**DMIC RESEARCH CONSORTIUM** 

**JUR LA RECHERCHE ECONOMIQUE EN AFRIQUE** 

# Intra-industry trade between (Second members of the PTA/COMESA regional trading arrangement



#### **Other publications in the AERC Research Papers Series:**

- Structural Adjustment Programmes and the Coffee Sector in Uganda by Germina Ssemogerere, Research Paper 1.
- Real Interest Rates and the Mobilization of Private Savings in Africa by F.M. Mwega, S.M. Ngola and N. Mwangi, Research Paper 2.
- Mobilizing Domestic Resources for Capital Formation in Ghana: The Role of Informal Financial Markets by Ernest Aryeetey and Fritz Gockel, Research Paper 3.
- The Informal Financial Sector and Macroeconomic Adjustment in Malawi by C. Chipeta and M.L.C. Mkandawire, Research Paper 4.
- The Effects of Non-Bank Financial Intermediaries on Demand for Money in Kenya by S.M. Ndele, Research Paper 5.
- Exchange Rate Policy and Macroeconomic Performance in Ghana by C.D. Jebuni, N.K. Sowa and K.S. Tutu, Research Paper 6.
- A Macroeconomic-Demographic Model for Ethiopia by Asmerom Kidane, Research Paper 7.
- Macroeconomic Approach to External Debt: the Case of Nigeria by S. Ibi Ajayi, Research Paper 8.
- The Real Exchange Rate and Ghana's Agricultural Exports by K. Yerfi Fosu, Research Paper 9.
- The Relationship Between the Formal and Informal Sectors of the Financial Market in Ghana by E. Aryeetey, Research Paper 10.
- Financial System Regulation, Deregulation and Savings Mobilization in Nigeria by A. Soyibo and F. Adekanye, Research Paper 11.
- The Savings-Investment Process in Nigeria: An Empirical Study of the Supply Side by A. Soyibo, Research Paper 12.
- Growth and Foreign Debt: The Ethiopian Experience, 1964-86 by B. Degefe, Research Paper 13.
- Links Between the Informal and Formal/Semi-Formal Financial Sectors in Malawi by C. Chipeta and M.L.C. Mkandawire, Research Paper 14.
- The Determinants of Fiscal Deficit and Fiscal Adjustment in Cote d'Ivoire by O. Kouassy and B. Bohoun, Research Paper 15.
- Small and Medium-Scale Enterprise Development in Nigeria by D.E. Ekpenyong and M.O. Nyong, Research Paper 16.
- The Nigerian Banking System in the Context of Policies of Financial Regulation and Deregulation by A. Soyibo and F. Adekanye, Research Paper 17.
- Scope, Structure and Policy Implications of Informal Financial Markets in Tanzania by M. Hyuha, O. Ndanshau and J.P. Kipokola, Research Paper 18.
- European Economic Integration and the Franc Zone: The future of the CFA Franc after 1996. Part I: Historical Background and a New Evaluation of Monetary
- Cooperation in the CFA Countries by Allechi M'bet and Madeleine Niamkey, Research Paper 19.
- Revenue Productivity Implications of Tax Reform in Tanzania by Nehemiah E. Osoro, Research Paper 20.

- The Informal and Semi-formal Sectors in Ethiopia: A Study of the Iqqub, Iddir and Savings and Credit Cooperatives by Dejene Aredo, Research Paper 21.
- Inflationary Trends and Control in Ghana by Nii K. Sowa and John K. Kwakye, Research Paper 22.
- Macroeconomic Constraints and Medium-Term Growth in Kenya: A Three-Gap Analysis by F.M. Mwega, N. Njuguna and K. Olewe-Ochilo, Research Paper 23.
- The Foreign Exchange Market and the Dutch Auction System in Ghana by Cletus K. Dordunoo, Research Paper 24.
- Exchange Rate Depreciation and the Structure of Sectoral Prices in Nigeria Under an Alternative Pricing Regime, 1986-89 by Olu Ajakaiye and Ode Ojowu, Research Paper 25.
- Exchange Rate Depreciation, Budget Deficit and Inflation The Nigerian Experience by F. Egwaikhide, L. Chete and G. Falokun, Research Paper 26.
- Trade, Payments Liberalization and Economic Performance in Ghana by C.D. Jebuni, A.D. Oduro and K.A. Tutu, Research Paper 27.
- Constraints to the Development and Diversification of Non-Traditional Exports in Uganda, 1981-90 by G. Ssemogerere and L.A. Kasekende, Research Paper 28.
- Indices of Effective Exchange Rates: A Comparative Study of Ethiopia, Kenya and the Sudan by Asmerom Kidane, Research Paper 29.
- Monetary Harmonization in Southern Africa by C. Chipeta and M.L.C. Mkandawire, Research Paper 30.
- Tanzania's Trade with PTA Countries: A Special Emphasis on Non-Traditional Products by Flora Mndeme Musonda, Research Paper 31.
- Macroeconomic Adjustment, Trade and Growth: Policy Analysis using a Macroeconomic Model of Nigeria by C. Soludo, Research Paper 32.
- Ghana: The Burden of Debt Service Payment Under Structural Adjustment by Barfour Osei, Research Paper 33.
- Short-Run Macroeconomic Effects of Bank Lending Rates in Nigeria, 1987-91: A Computable General Equilibrium Analysis by D. Olu Ajakaiye, Research Paper 34.
- Capital Flight and External Debt in Nigeria by S. Ibi Ajayi, Research Paper 35.
- Institutional Reforms and the Management of Exchange Rate Policy in Nigeria by Kassey Odubogun, Research Paper 36.
- The Role of Exchange Rate and Monetary Policy in the Monetary Approach to the Balance of Payments: Evidence from Malawi by Exley B.D. Silumbu, Research Paper 37.
- Tax Reforms in Tanzania: Motivations, Directions and Implications by Nehemiah E. Osoro, Research Paper 38.
- Money Supply Mechanisms in Nigeria, 1970-88 by Oluremi Ogun and Adeola Adenikinju, Research Paper 39.
- Profiles and Determinants of Nigeria's Balance of Payments: The Current Account Component, 1950-88, by Joe U. Umo and Tayo Fakiyesi, Research Paper 40.
- Empirical Studies of Nigeria's Foreign Exchange Parallel Market 1: Price Behaviour and Rate Determination by Melvin D. Ayogu, Research Paper 41.
- The Effects of Exchange Rate Policy on Cameroon's Agricultural Competitiveness by

Aloysius Ajab Amin, Research Paper 42.

Policy Consistency and Inflation in Ghana by Nii Kwaku Sowa, Research Paper 43.

- Fiscal Operations in a Depressed Economy: Nigeria, 1960-90 by Akpan H. Ekpo and John E. U. Ndebbio, Research Paper 44.
- Foreign Exchange Bureaus in the Economy of Ghana by Kofi A. Osei, Research Paper 45.
- The Balance of Payments as a Monetary Phenomenon: An Econometric Study of Zimbabwe's Experience by Rogers Dhliwayo, Research Paper 46.
- Taxation of Financial Assets and Capital Market Development in Nigeria by Eno L. Inanga and Chidozie Emenuga, Research Paper 47.
- The Transmission of Savings to Investment in Nigeria by Adedoyin Soyibo, Research Paper 48.
- A Statistical Analysis of Foreign Exchange Rate Behaviour in Nigeria's Auction by Genevesi O. Ogiogio, Research Paper 49.
- The Behaviour of Income Velocity In Tanzania 1967-1994 by Michael O.A. Ndanshau, Research Paper 50.
- Consequences and Limitations of Recent Fiscal Policy in Côte d'Ivoire, by Kouassy Oussou and Bohoun Bouabre, Research Paper 51.
- Effects of Inflation on Ivorian Fiscal Variables: An Econometric Investigation, by Eugene Kouassi, Research Paper 52.
- European Economic Integration and the Franc Zone: The Future of the CFA Franc after 1999, Part II, by Allechi M'Bet and Niamkey A. Madeleine, Research Paper 53.
- Exchange Rate Policy and Economic Reform in Ethiopia, by Asmerom Kidane, Research Paper 54.
- The Nigerian Foreign Exchange Market: Possibilities For Convergence in Exchange Rates, by P. Kassey Garba, Research Paper 55
- Mobilising Domestic Resources for Economic Development in Nigeria: The Role of the Capital Market, by Fidelis O. Ogwumike and Davidson A. Omole, Research Paper 56
- Policy Modelling in Agriculture: Testing the Response of Agriculture to Adjustment Policies in Nigeria, by Mike Kwanashie, Abdul-Ganiyu Garba and Isaac Ajilima, Research Paper 57
- Price and Exchange Rate Dynamics in Kenya: An Empirical Investigation (1970-1993) by Njuguna S. Ndung'u, Research Paper 58.
- Exchange Rate Policy and Inflation: The case of Uganda, by Barbra Mbire, Research Paper 59.
- Institutional, Traditional and Asset Pricing Characteristics of African Emerging Capital Markets, by Ino L. Inanga and Chidozie Emenuga, Research Paper 60.
- Foreign Aid and Economic Performance in Tanzania, by Timothy S. Nyoni, Research Paper 61.
- Public Spending, Taxation and Deficits: What is the Tanzanian Evidence? by Nehemiah Osoro, Research Paper 62.
- Adjustment Programmes and Agricultural Incentives in Sudan: A Comparative Study, by Nasredin A. Hag Elamin and Elsheikh M. El Mak, Research Paper 63.

# Intra-industry trade between members of the PTA/COMESA regional trading arrangement

By

Flora Mndeme Musonda Economic and Social Research Foundation Dar es Salaam Tanzania

AERC Research Paper 64 African Economic Research Consortium, Nairobi March 1997 © 1997, African Economic Research Consortium.

Published by: The African Economic Research Consortium P.O. Box 62882 Nairobi, Kenya

Printed by: The Regal Press Kenya Ltd. P.O. Box 46166 Nairobi, Kenya

ISBN 9966-900-30-6

# Contents

#### Acknowlegdements Abstract

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Intra-industry trade, definition and measurement	3
III.	The background and objectives of PTA and COMESA and the logic for	
	studying intra-industry trade in this region	6
IV.	The relationship between regional trading arrangement and intra-industry	
	trade	10
V.	The evidence of intra-industry trade in PTA/COMESA region	13
VI.	The proportion of intra-industry trade in total bilateral trade	21
VII.	Methodology and hypotheses explaining intra-industry trade	23
VIII.	Estimation	27
IX.	Empirical results and discussion	29
X.	Conclusions and policy implications	31
Appe	ndices	

.

A. R	Results of other empirical research on intra-industry trade The proportion of intra-industry trade in total bilateral trade within	32
D.	PTA countries	36
C.	Intra-industry trade values and total trade values for 1991 for	
	Zimbabwe and Kenya (US\$)	37
D.	SITC and close ISIC descriptions of the groups that portray	
	intra-industry trade	38
E.	Intra-industry trade in selected PTA/COMESA countries.	43
Notes		59
Refere	ences	60

# List of tables

1.	Basic indicators of some PTA/COMESA countries	8
2.	Intra-industry trade as ratio of total bilateral trade and the average share	
	of IIT for some countries in the PTA/COMESA region 1991	21
3.	Variables used in our study: What they are expected to measure, expected	
	signs and hypotheses	27
4a.	Results for country variables (logit estimation)	29
4b.	Results for country variables (non-linear estimation NLS)	29

### Acknowledgements

I wish to thank the African Economic Research Consortium (AERC) for its financial, material and academic support and for the moral support of its staff. I would like to thank the AT group of the bi-annual AERC research workshops, and the resource persons and colleagues for many helpful comments and suggestions. I express my gratitude to data collectors in the different countries in the region and the statistician at the COMESA secretariat for assisting with data. Many thanks to my family who tolerated my absence to pursue my goal of working in my continent, Africa - my husband Charles Musonda, my children, Charles, Christopher and Lilian. I am indebted to my brothers and sisters, especially Walter, who assisted me in numerous ways with data and other backstopping help. I acknowledge the great assistance of the staff in the Central Statistics Office (CSO) of Lusaka, Zambia, especially Mr. Evans Kangwa, Mr. Besa Muwele, Noah and Catherine for assisting me with data and data analysis. Two anonymous referees helped shape the work to this current structure and I am grateful. However, as the author, I alone bear the responsibility for this work, its contents and any remaining errors.

## Abstract

Using available bilateral trade data between members of the Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa (COMESA) formerly PTA, this study estimated the extent of intraindustry trade and the factors that determine this trade in the region. The hypothesis was that intra-industry trade exists in this region. The results of the study show that indeed this trade does exist and it is determined by the same factors as found in other regions. The principal determinant is distance, which has a negative significant relationship with intra-industry trade. Other factors include per capita income and language. The trade is more significant in bordering countries that are relatively more developed in terms of their manufacturing sectors. Special ties also seem to play a role, although the variable was not significant in the regression analysis. Improved communication networks will enhance this trade within the region.

#### I. Introduction

In pure theory of international trade, specialization based on comparative advantage is identified as the only source of international trade. However, it has become increasingly evident, based on even casual observations of trade figures, that there are large trade flows that are not related to specialization. Intra-industry trade is important, especially in industrialized countries.

Expanding trade among developing countries has been widely advocated and is normally one of the reasons for forming regional bodies. The approach that trade cooperation among developing countries (as a complement to trade with developed countries) should be based on regional integration is advocated for various reasons. Prospects for trade expansion are expected to be brighter in a regional context, since existing intra-regional trade, transport and communication links among others are closer than extra-regional links. Moreover, it is believed that cultural and historical ties make it easier to build on a regional foundation.

The purpose of this study is to establish the existence and extent of intra-industry trade (IIT) or two-way trade among the PTA/COMESA members of eastern and southern Africa. In addition, the aim is to scrutinize the likely factors that determine and thereby enhance or hinder intra-industry trade in the region. We test the factors commonly mentioned in literature that are supposed to influence this trade. Intra-industry trade is an area of study that has gained prominence, although much of the research has focused on developed countries. It has also been closely associated with regional integration and thus is a good topic for study in this sub-region.

The sub-region includes several countries with low per capita incomes as well as a few with medium level income. These countries, although they do belong to a group of developing countries, differ widely in terms of size among other factors. Among the objectives of most of PTA/COMESA is to increase trade among members. In general, the increase in intra-regional trade has not yet been as large as anticipated. It is for this reason that it is important to investigate intra-industry trade, for this may be an area where substantial benefit could be reaped if properly nurtured. Increasing this type of trade could assist in expanding overall intra-PTA/COMESA trade. It is our belief that factors determining this trade are different from those of inter-industry trade. There is thus a need for isolating those pertinent determinants, which is one of the prime objectives of this study.

The main objectives of the study are, therefore:

- To establish the existence and extent of intra-industry trade (two-way trade) between PTA/COMESA members.
- To scrutinize the likely factors that determine and thereby enhance intra-industry trade.
- To estimate the proposed regression model, assess the significance of the hypothesized determinants of intra-industry trade among the PTA/COMESA countries and explain the relevance of the results for policy making.
- To show the proportion of intra-industry trade in bilateral trade.

After this introduction, Section II discusses intra-industry trade, its definition and measurement. Section III gives the background and objectives of PTA and COMESA and the logic of studying intra-industry trade in the PTA/COMESA region. Section IV addresses the relationship between regional integration and intra-industry trade, while Section V presents the evidence of the existence of intra-industry trade in the region. Section VI shows the proportion of intra-industry trade in total bilateral trade. Section VII deals with the methodology used in the study and Section VIII gives estimation. Section IX is concerned with the study's empirical results and discussion. Finally, Section X offers conclusions and policy implications.

## II. Intra-industry trade – Definition and measurements

Intra-industry trade (IIT) may broadly be defined as the situation where countries simultaneously import and export what are essentially the same products. The phenomenon of intra-industry trade, which is also referred to as two-way trade within the same industry, has received increasing attention since Verdoon (1960) and Balassa (1960) found evidence of increasing IIT during the years following customs union formation in Europe. Grubel and Lloyd (1975) estimated that 71% of the increase in trade between EEC countries from 1959 to 1967 was intra-industry. Explanations for IIT have been offered, such as transport costs and seasonal differences, that are also relevant to inter-industry trade. More general exposition explaining such trade involves the aspect of imperfect competition developed into the "new trade theories". (See also Appendix A.)

Intra-industry trade has been explained by many models. A group of such models is the neo-Heckscher-Ohlin models whose explanation is based on factor endowments by linking product specifications to different combinations of the basic factors, such as capital and labour. Here the main explanation for the occurrence of intra-industry trade is differences in the capabilities of different countries to produce more quality goods – vertical differentiations – and this is based on differences in the endowment of some of the basic factors of production.. The capital rich country will produce higher quality commodities and the labour rich country might produce the same commodity of lower quality, hence the basis for exchange of the same commodities. Another version of this class of models includes human capital as the explanation.

The other class of models explaining intra-industry trade is the neo-Chamberlinian models. In these models the explanation for intra-industry trade is that goods are "horizontally differentiated", that is varieties differ in their characteristics and this difference may be actual or perceived. Another group of models are neo-Hotelling models. These are related to neo-Chamberlinian models but differ in terms of how they consider consumers' demand for variety. Other models include oligopolistic models such as the Brander-Krugman model based on the Cournot duopoly model of behaviour. Yet other models includes the reciprocal dumping model where transport cost is taken into consideration, and the vertical differentiation and natural oligopolies model that takes into account the research and development expenditure for the existence of vertically differentiated products.

The models that explain intra-industry trade, while numerous, have some features in common: (1) while the models show that intra-industry trade will materialize, it is difficult to predict which country will export which commodity; (2) diversity of preferences among consumers, together with income differences, plays a significant role; (3) similarity of

tastes between trading partners plays an important role; and (4) economies of scale is an element of intra-industry trade models and may be a source of gains from this trade.

#### Measurement of intra-industry trade

Many empirical works on intra-industry trade focus on the testing of models and hypotheses. In measuring intra-industry trade, a definition of an industry must be adopted. One of the criteria used to show that two different products are the output of a single industry is if it is relatively easy to substitute one for the other in the production process. Closely related to this is that the products must use an identical technological intensity. The second criterion is that different products are outputs of the same industry if the consumers of the products put them to the same use. But these two criteria can be in conflict. In measuring intra-industry trade one also needs to choose the appropriate level of disaggregation to avoid "statistical artefact".

The first measure of the extent of intra-industry trade was proposed by Balassa in 1966. This measure is given as the extent to which exports of a given good are offset by imports of an equivalent good.

$$Aj = |Xj - Mj| / Xj + Mj$$

where  $X_j$  is the value of the exports of commodity j by a country, and  $M_j$  is the value of the matching imports.

However, many studies use the Grubel and Lloyd index given as

$$Bj = (Xj+Mj) - /Xj - Mj / / (Xj + Mj)$$

Xj and Mj have the same meaning as above. With the Grubel-Lloyd index, when the value is zero there is no intra-industry trade and when it is one, there is perfectly matching intra-industry trade.

#### Some criticism of the Grubel-Lloyd Index

Several issues can be raised in connection the Grubel-Lloyd Index in calculating intraindustry trade, despite its common use. One of the problems is classification difficulties. Many countries classify data differently, which introduces arbitrariness or randomness. This can be exacerbated by the level of aggregation of the SITC groupings. The intraindustry trade measure may be a function of the level of aggregation and thus suffer from categorical aggregation problems as it is a weighted average of the indexes for the next most dis-aggregated groups.

The classification of the commodities may suffer from downward or upward biases. Upward bias results from the heterogeneity of the commodities included in each statistical group. The downward bias stems from the inclusion of commodities with identical technology intensity in different statistical groups. The index suffers from a downward bias if trade is unbalanced. For developing countries especially, the problem of misclassification may be important and also the issue of entrepot/re-export activities.

The Grubel-Lloyd index is a share measure – that is the share of IIT in gross trade – and not an absolute or volume measure. A high IIT is not directly connected with a high level of gross trade in the industry. A high IIT indicates that in that particular industry a high level of the given trade is of IIT nature. Some factors that explain IIT therefore explain total trade. In addition, the index does not indicate whether one should use bilateral trade or multilateral trade. This is important especially in regional trade. In many cases bilateral trade is used.

Another problem is that it is difficult to offer a precise policy implication based on intra-industry trade as calculated from the index. For example, if intra-industry trade is high, it does not necessarily imply that the country should increase its export or imports. The same applies when the intra-industry trade is low. Based on this, calculating intra-industry trade can thus be more interesting as an empirical exercise rather than for its policy implications.

# III. The background and objectives of PTA and COMESA and the logic for studying intra-industry trade in this region

The PTA/COMESA group of eastern southern and central Africa comprises about 20 countries. It started as a preferential trading area and over time its objectives and thrust widened to encompass many elements beyond the conventional definition of a preferential trading area.<sup>1</sup> The treaty establishing the PTA was signed on 21 December 1981 within the framework of the Lagos Plan of Action and the Final Act of Lagos. It entered into force on 30 September 1982. The objectives of the PTA as defined in the treaty and its protocols are:

- To promote cooperation and integration covering all the fields of economic activity, particularly trade, customs, industry, transport, communications, agriculture, natural resources and monetary affairs.
- To raise the standard of living of the people by fostering closer relations among member states.
- To create a common market by the year 2000 in order to allow the free movement of goods, capital and labour within the sub-region. To contribute to the progress and development of other African countries.

The PTA was created as a first step towards the establishment of the Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa. The treaty for a common market for this region, COMESA, was signed in Kampala in November 1993 by the members of the PTA states and was ratified in December 1994. This was the second step; the third step will involve the establishment of an economic community.

In the 12 years of its existence, the PTA has recorded both successes and shortcomings. Among the successes is the establishment of supporting institutions. These include, among others, the Trade and Development Bank of Eastern and Southern Africa (The PTA Bank); the PTA Clearing House; the PTA Federation of Chambers of Commerce and Industry, to enable the private sector to participate effectively in PTA programmes for development; and preferential tariffs. Besides a system of national currency convertibility through the PTA Traveller's Cheques, PTA has a unit of account (UAPTA) as a medium of exchange and a common vehicle insurance through the "Yellow Card". The Customs Bond Guarantee and the Road Customs Transit Declaration facilitate intraindustry trade. To resolve trade disputes the Commercial Arbitration Centre has been set up. A PTA tribunal has also been established to settle disputes among member states arising from the interpretation or implementation of the treaty and common decisions. These PTA initiatives, together with other trade promotion and trade development activities, such as PTA trade fairs, buyers/sellers' meetings and the PTA Trade Information Network, have resulted in the creation of new intra-PTA trade. A number of lessons have been learnt during the PTA's existence. One of these is the need to define clearly the strategy and objectives and to have a sense of direction. Another is that political will and commitment are essential factors determining the success or failure of economic cooperation and integration efforts. There are several other important elements. Intra-regional trade has not expanded rapidly because of the inadequacy of high quality goods that are competitive in terms of quality and packaging, and priced at levels consumers can afford. PTA markets are not integrated because of poor inter-state transport and communication as well as poor information about market and investment opportunities in these countries. Misapprehension about costs and benefits from PTA is another problem, as large and relatively more developed members are expected to gain more while small members are expected to gain less. This is especially true with those countries that have shown persistent surpluses in the intra-regional trade while others have deficits. These among other factors have led to the establishment of the Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa (COMESA).

COMESA can be regarded as an offspring of the Abuja treaty signed on 3 June 1991 in Abuja, Nigeria, for establishing the African Economic Community. It constitutes one of the sub-regional trading blocs that will ultimately join together to form the African Economic Community. The overall objective of cooperation among PTA member states as outlined in the treaty is to promote sustainable growth and development through a significant increase in intra-PTA trade. The growth in intra-PTA trade is expected to have positive impact on other sectors of the PTA's economy, including finance, industry, agriculture, transport and communications. In addition, it has been decided that measures to increase production in the sub-region should constitute a primary objective. The main thrust of COMESA is to draw national economies closer by enhancing trade liberalization, facilitation, promotion and financing measures. The objective is to raise the share of intra-PTA trade to over 25% of its total trade by the year 2000. When full market integration is ultimately achieved, this share is envisaged to be higher.

All countries belonging to PTA/COMESA are categorized as developing. However, some fundamental differences exist within the group. These are shown in Table 1.

From Table 1 it is apparent that the PTA/COMESA members are diversified in terms of the basic indicators. The population of these countries varies from 52.8 million to 69,000. The land areas are also vastly different, while the GNP per capita ranges from \$5,110 to \$80. The GDP growth rate between 1980 and 1991 ranged from 8.6% to 1.0% per annum.

As mentioned above, one of the basic objectives of the PTA/COMESA strategy is to increase intra-PTA/COMESA trade to more than 25% of its global trade by the year 2000 and to a higher level thereafter. This is expected to be fulfilled after the transformation of PTA into a common market. The trade increase can be in the form of inter-industry trade (trading in commodities from different industry groups) or intra-industry trade (trading in commodities originating from same industry group). In literature it is asserted that trade liberalization following regional integration will lead to both intra-industry and inter-industry trade. However, it is advanced that the adjustment costs associated with any free trade agreement are likely to be greater when the loss of trade barriers leads to inter-industry instead of intra-industry specialization. One argument to support

Countries	Population - millions (mid 1991)	Area- thousands of square kilometres	GNP per capita- dollars (1991)	Mean tariff- percent <sup>a</sup>	All NTBs frequency <sup>a</sup>	GDP growth (1980- 1991)
Ethiopia	52.8	1222	120	-	_	19
Burundi	5.7	28	210	37	100	4.9
Mozambique	16.1	802	80	26	100	-0.7
Rwanda	7.1	26	270		-	4.7
Somalia	8.1	638	120	31	100	2.4
Kenva	25.0	583	340	40	67	6.4
Tanzania	25.2	945	100	32	100	3.0
Zambia	8.3	753	420	30	100	1.4
Uganda	16.9	236	170	20	100	2.8
Lesotho	1.8	30	580	-	-	8.6
Djibouti	452 <sup>b</sup>	22	-	-	-	-
Seychelles	69 <sup>b</sup>	-	5110	-	-	3.2 <sup>c</sup>
Swaziland	828 <sup>b</sup>	17	1050	-	-	3.1/
Zimbabwe	10.1	391	650	26	100	1.6
Sudan	25.8	-	-	-	-	5.6
Mauritius	1.1	2	2410	-	-	6.7
Namibia	1.5	824	1460	-	-	1.0
Angola	9.5	-	-	-	-	-
Malawi	4.3	-	230	-	-	5.8
Comoros	492 <sup>b</sup>	2	500	-	-	-1.0/

Table 1: Basic indicators of some PTA/COMESA countries

Sources: World Development Report (1992, 1993) and DeRosa (1992).

<sup>b</sup>Implies thousands; <sup>c</sup>is the growth of GNP for 1980-1991; <sup>a</sup> is for 1987.

this is that the former type of specialization may be efficient in the long run, but it necessarily produces serious dislocation in both production and employment in the short run. On the other side, intra-industry specialization would make the adjustment cost process less disruptive because, first, it would be easier for firms and plants to cease producing a given line of goods and to start producing a closely defined variety, than to move to another type of industry. Second, changes in income distribution arising from trade liberalization would not be so dramatic under conditions of intra-industry specialization (Krugman, 1981; Wannacott, 1987; Norman;,1990).

Intra-industry studies have mostly focused on trade conducted between developed countries. Studies of the link between regional trading arrangemens, and intra-industry trade includes those by Balassa (1966, 1979 and 1987), Caves (1981), Greenaway (1982), and Gavelin and Lundberg (1983), among others. Documentary evidence linking the growth of intra-industry trade to regional integration in developing countries has been provided by, for example, Balassa (1979), who found that Latin American integration (LAFTA) resulted in an increase in such trade. In fact, others have stressed that intra-industry trade is an important element in the prospects for trade among developing countries (Hughes, 1993). Hellvin (1993) analysed inter-industry and intra-industrial

specialization within the Association of SouthEast Asian Nations (ASEAN); in the case of intra-industry trade the study suggests that this trade is important.

Krugman and Obstfeld (1988) indicate that intra-industry trade is important because it produces extra gains from international trade by creating a larger market. By engaging in intra-industry trade, a country can simultaneously reduce the number of products it produces and increase the variety of goods available to domestic consumers. By producing fewer varieties, a country can produce each at larger scale and thus with higher productivity. Concurrently, consumers benefit from the increased range of choice. When intra-industry trade is the dominant source of gains from trade, the income-distribution effects will be small and there will be substantial extra gains from intra-industry trade. This is because intra-industry trade normally takes place when countries are similar in their relative factor supplies, and when scale economies and product differentiation are important. The gains from increased choice and larger scale are thus larger, as will be discussed below. Examination of whether any expansion in trade was primarily interindustry or intra-industry in nature could provide some insights into the potential consequences of further trade liberalization (Globerman, 1992). Intra-industry trade, therefore, augments benefits obtained from inter-industry trade.

# IV. The relationship between regional trading arrangements and intra-industry trade

The literature establishes a relationship between regional trading arrangements like PTA/ COMESA and intra-industry trade. Drawing on the evidence accrued from various studies, both in developed and developing countries, Greenaway *et a l.* (1989) deduce that there is a causal link between intra-industry trade and regional trade arrangements. However, the theoretical analysis of the underlying mechanism is still unsatisfactory and their empirical relevance is strongly related to country-specific assumptions. Intra-industry trade may be stimulated by economic integration, but this effect is mediated by factors such as preference diversity and overlapping demand, decreasing costs in production and intra-firm trade, oligopolistic competition, and product differentiation (Behar, 1991). There are others who argue that economic integration and intra-industry trade are two phenomena that occur independently (for example, Pronfret, 1979). Another reasoning is that growing intra-industry trade as an independent phenomenon can provide a motive for economic integration (Behar, 1991). The reasons offered to explain the connection between regional integration and intra-industry trade, therefore, follow logically from the discussion of the determinants of intra-industry trade; these are discussed below.

Trading arrangements like PTA/COMESA are accompanied by the liberalization of tariff barriers among the involved economies. This trade liberalization is likely to promote trade because it is assumed that liberalization promotes trade expansion in general. A question to be answered, then, is why should economic integration stimulate intra-industry exchange to a greater degree than inter-industry exchange. It is suggested that we need to focus more closely on features of the pre-arrangement market structures (Greenaway *et al.*, 1989).

The distribution and intensity of preferences are contributory factors to the potential for intra-industry trade. If preferences are uniformly distributed across a given product, there will be a greater potential for intra-industry trade. If the pre-regional arrangement economies have like preference structures, and produce similar, but differentiated, commodities, there will be a greater inducement to intra-industry trade than would be the case with multilateral liberalization. Therefore if it is principally countries with like factor endowments, similar per capita incomes and similar demand structures that form the regional arrangement, this will be a favourable environment for intra-industry trade (Greenaway *et al.*, 1989).

The production structures and the pattern of demand existing in the preferential trading area are very important. The necessary condition for intra-industry specialization to come forth is for the production structures in individual member countries to be competitive rather than complementary. Complementary production structures may not involve reallocation of resources within the area toward least cost producers and instead there will accrue benefits from enlargement of the market. In addition, preference of consumers can hinder intra-industry trade within the area if imports from third countries are preferred rather than locally produced goods (Drabek and Greenaway, 1984). There are cases where consumers' preferences are governed by brand image associated with foreign status (pseudo-differentiation); given this, trade liberalization may shift a country's demand for a given domestically produced product toward an imperfect substitute produced abroad by some prestigious firm (Behar, 1991).

The formation of a preferential trading area results in the reduction or elimination of non-tariff barriers. This, too, will stimulate trade expansion, directly through the reduction or elimination of these barriers, and indirectly through the reduction or removal of uncertainty.

The widening of markets resulting from trade liberalization enhances the fulfilment of decreasing costs in production. If tastes overlap, there is a benefit of decreasing costs over the relevant range of output by longer production runs and more intensive use of existing capacities (especially where excess capacity exists). The overlapping demands together with decreasing costs in the production function can encourage intra-industry trade. In addition, as income per head increases, so does the demand for variety. If forming preferential trading arrangements results in income per head rising faster than it would otherwise, then trade in differentiated goods can be expected to rise faster than otherwise.

Liberalization of capital flows can follow economic integration. Where intra-industry trade is concerned, it is likely that factor movements and trade will be complementary. Transnational corporations engaging in foreign direct investment in order to specialize in different varieties in different countries can act as the instrument of transfer. The foreign direct investment followed by intra-industry trade allows the firms to exploit the profits associated with their specific advantages, as well as providing a means for an understanding of foreign markets. This also encourages new product development and further expansion. Horizontal specialization is not the only mechanism here; vertical specialization may also be a complement factor. Firms can divide parts of the production process to take advantage of the opportunities offered by further division of labour in a larger market (Greenaway *et al.*, 1989).

Countries will therefore gain from trade liberalization, following the formation a of regional trading area, not only because this enhances trade, and thus raises the number of products available in the domestic markets. Trade liberalization also creates conditions for achieving minimum efficient scale in the new production lines, or reaping unexploited product-specific economies of scale in existing industries. Gains in the form of economies of scope would eventually be produced if the post-trade increase in product variety is due to an increase in the number of production processes observed in individual firms.

From all the factors, we can observe that there is a positive relationship between regional trading arrangements and intra-industry trade. This association is not decisive, however; it is rather that preferential trading arrangements create the environment within which intra-industry trade can increase to a greater extent than otherwise. Because of this relationship it is important to study intra-industry trade among PTA/COMESA members.

To my knowledge this kind of study has not been done because many believe that such trade does not exist in this region. It is always argued that the bulk of trade in many of these countries is on primary commodities and that they compete for developed countries' markets. Indeed, a large portion of these countries' exports is primary commodities while imports are manufactured goods from developed countries. Yet a number of the countries do trade in manufactured products within the region, in the form of both inter- and intra-industry trade. The first order of this research, then, is to establish that intra-industry trade does exist in the PTA/COMESA region.

# V. The evidence of intra-industry trade in the PTA/COMESA region

#### Data and sources

The research required a large body of data. The data were mainly obtained from customs offices in respective countries, international organizations' publications including UN data, specifically UNCTAD publications, and other data sources in respective countries. Whenever possible we used data from respective countries as we noted that trade data reported by different countries did not tally due to the under/over-invoicing prevalent in many African countries and other problems of reporting.

#### **Research Approach**

This research is carried out in two ways. First we use statistical inferences to observe in some years the direction and magnitude of intra-industry trade between PTA/COMESA members. This includes products in all SITC groups. While it is more common to take SITC 5-9 or 5-8 (manufactured products) our choice of the groups is governed by the fact that in many developing countries the value of trade in manufactured products. The inclusion of this group therefore expands the sample size while at the same time ensuring the inclusion of many countries belonging to PTA/COMESA area.

Initially, the aim was to study one pre-integration and one post-integration year. However, the availability of data from some countries and the difficulty of getting data pertaining to the same year, and in addition the ambition of observing trends, motivated the researcher to delve deeper into many years. Cross sectional data across different SITC sub-sections are used for a number of years governed by data availability. Where possible we include pre-PTA and post-PTA period.

We used a regression model for estimation based on the Grubel-Lloyd index mentioned above. The model is described in detail in Section VIII.

#### Data problems

It would be unrealistic to proceed and present the results of research of this magnitude without mentioning the data problems encountered. Acquiring appropriate data in some

African countries is likened to mining a very hard rock. Even after mining, there is no assurance that one will end up with the kind of mineral one was searching for; more often the reward is a large variety of ores most of them useless to say the least. In undertaking this project we encountered many problems, especially getting exactly what we needed. Because of the limited demand for very disaggregated data, it is difficult for statisticians to understand this kind of data.

Second, disaggregated trade statistics appear to pose a special problem in Africa. Many countries have stopped publishing disaggregated trade data so one either gets a computer printout that is quite large and cumbersome not to mention difficult to work with, or diskette-stored data that are in most cases unreadable. Third, many countries store their data in old and obsolete computers that even they themselves cannot read again; thus it is quite easy to lose whole years of information. The fourth and largest problem is that data obtained from different countries do not tally. For example, exports from Tanzania to Kenya should correspond with imports by Kenya from Tanzania after the required adjustments (FOB to CIF adjustment factor) have been made, but this is not the case. Maybe under/over invoicing can explain a part of the discrepancy, but we believe that data recording including different classification and categorization can explain a large part of this problem. This is evidenced by the experience of dealing with interindustry trade, as indeed the number and trend of the applicable commodities are nearly the same, but different countries give different but close groups. Perhaps this problem will be solved after the harmonization exercise currently taking place in these countries is complete. Some countries had even different ways of classification unique to the country, but corresponding to none of the other countries until a conversion was done, (example Zambia up to 1989). Malawi's data, too, gave immense problems, as during the time considered they moved from BTN to CCCN classification then to HS. This required constant conversion.<sup>2</sup>

All these problems notwithstanding, we still calculated intra-industry trade for these PTA countries. We should mention that some countries showed no intra-industry trade; they imported or exported much to the region but imported or exported from outside the region. We therefore eliminated these countries from the outset. This is especially true with the smaller countries of the region, and those with very small manufacturing sectors.

#### General observations

There is an observable interesting phenomenon pertaining to intra-industry trade flows in the countries belonging to the PTA region. This is that there appears to be a sort of specialization by trading partners. The specialization seems to be governed by proximity, including easy transport and communication, common borders and similar cultural backgrounds, and relatively similar levels of development including size. Historical ties also play a major role in enhancing this trade. It also seems that the relatively more advanced a country is, the more the intra-industry trade is caused by both demand and supply factors. Demand includes the search for variety, while supply reflects the capability of the country to produce the commodities in excess of the domestic market, thus benefiting from economies of scale, This is evident with, for example, Kenya and Zimbabwe. The contrary is true for less advanced countries that are also small, like Rwanda, Burundi, Lesotho, Seychelles, Comoros, Djibouti, etc. Some of these countries have no intraindustry trade with any country in the region, while they import a large number of commodities from the region.

#### Intra-industry trade for Zambia

Zambia shows large intra-industry trade with Zimbabwe, Tanzania and Malawi, and to a lesser extent with Kenya. The first three of these countries border Zambia. There is also scattered intra-industry trade with Swaziland and Lesotho but this trade is very sporadic.

Zambia and Zimbabwe: The two countries have a special relationship, for both belonged to Rhodesia (south and north) and thus expectedly there are relatively better developed transport and communication systems. The proximity is quite good as it takes about 5 to 6 hours to travel from one capital to another by road. The level of development is not so much in divergence if GNP per capita can be used as the yardstick; in 1991 the per capita income of Zambia was US\$ 420 while that of Zimbabwe was US\$ 650. Intra-industry trade between the two countries is large. It has grown from zero commodity groups in intra-industry trade in 1979 to 57 groups in 1987. Out of the 57 commodity groups, 45 belong to the manufactured products proper (SITC 5-8). This trend is consistent for most of the years although there is some slight increases and decreases. The year with the highest number of commodities indicating intra-industry trade is 1992. The number for 1993 does not indicate a decrease but the trade is only up to May of that year.

Zambia and Tanzania: Intra-industry trade between these two countries is also quite large relative to the other countries. Indeed, as with Zimbabwe, Zambia has had a special cordial relationship with Tanzania, at political and economic levels among other areas. The transport and communication systems between them are good, facilitated by the joint building of the railway line, TAZARA, an all weather tarmac road and a pipeline. Zambia has been using the Dar es Salaam harbour facilities for a long time. The countries share a common border and cultural relationship. The intra-industry trade between the two countries increased tremendously from the pre- to post-PTA period. In 1979 there were only 11 commodity groups indicating intra-industry trade and in 1981 there were 12. By 1987, the number had more than doubled to 32 groups and in 1988 there were 38 groups. A fall in 1991 is difficult to explain, since 1992 seems to have caught up. Again, the concentration of this trade is in manufactured products proper (SITC 5-8), though in many of the groups the intra-industry trade is not very high.

Zambia and Malawi: Intra-industry trade between these two countries is

relatively high although not to the levels of Zimbabwe and Tanzania. In 1979 there were 12 commodity groups; these grew to 18 in 1987 and 31 by 1992. The same factors as those pertaining to Zimbabwe and Tanzania can be can be cited for Malawi. Common border, language proximity, and especially the good transport and communication systems, are the plausible factors to explain this trade. The concentration of this trade is on manufactured commodities.

Zambia and Kenya: There is evidence of the existence of intra-industry trade between Zambia and Kenya. However, the number of commodity groups here is small. In 1979 there were 4 groups and in 1987 there were still 4. In 1988 they dropped to 2, but picked up in 1990 and by 1992 reached 8 groups. Although the increase is apparent and in percentage terms it is quite large – 100% from 1987 to 1992 – it is likely that these are just coincidences as there is no trend either to increase or decrease. As with trade between Zambia and Zimbabwe, Tanzania and Malawi, trade with Kenya is constrained by appearing factors. Lack of common borders between the two countries, which leads to lack of cultural relationship especially with border dwellers, difficult transport and poor communication systems are among the factors.

#### Kenya's intra-industry trade

Kenya is among the countries that show a large amount of both inter-industry trade and intra-industry trade. One of the explanations is that Kenya has a relatively more developed manufacturing sector, and through its membership in the East African Community it easily accessed the markets of the other two countries. It could also penetrate other markets within the region with its manufactured products. Kenya indicates intra-industry trade with Ethiopia, Comoros, Seychelles, Rwanda, Burundi, Somalia and Sudan, but the trade is small and in many cases not consistent over years.

Kenya and Tanzania: The intra-industry trade between the two countries is large. Not only that, it seems to have grown by great leaps. In 1983 there were only 11 commodities that showed intra-industry trade, and the majority did not belong to manufactured products. This situation changed drastically; by 1991 the number of commodities had increased more than four times. The bulk of the products are manufactured and semi-manufactured goods. Needless to say, we expect this trade to increase even more in the future as Tanzania catches up with other countries in the region on trade promotion, and the manufacturing sector not only expands but is geared to exports.

Kenya and Uganda: There is evidence of some intra-industry trade between the two countries although not as much as with Tanzania. Surprisingly, the larger portion of this trade is shown in 1975 with 27 products, and a bigger percentage belonging to manufactured products. Thereafter, with the breakdown of the East African Community, the number of products dropped drastically. They increased again, except in 1990 when we have only two commodities. The explanation for this is that, typical of the other countries, the transport and communication network between these two countries is relatively good. Cultural ties and language play an important role, and, in addition, both countries have a history in common. The apparent reason for the low intra-industry trade is that Uganda has a less developed manufacturing sector. Thus we find a typical example of availability being the constraining factor. Indeed, Uganda imports a very large number of manufactured products from Kenya but exports very little.

Kenya and Zimbabwe: One would expect a large portion of trade of intraindustry specialization to take place between the two countries because both have a relatively developed manufacturing sector as compared to other countries. However, this has not proven to be the case. Evidence indicates that in 1983 there were very few products, only two. By 1989 these products increased to 8 and in 1990 there were 9. The largest number was in 1991, with 20 products. There is only a small figure for 1992, but it may be that the data are incomplete. It seems that factors that promote trade between some countries are the same factors that hinder trade between others. Proximity seems to play a big role in this region as transport costs are great. There are high transit costs if goods have to be ferried across another country, together with other inconveniences, for example handling charges, delays, theft on the way, etc. – the number of problems is endless.

#### Tanzania's intra-industry trade.

The observable feature of this trade as related to Tanzania is its concentration with Kenya and Zambia; the latter is explained in the Zambia section. Although Tanzania, Uganda and Kenya belonged to the defunct East African Community, the intra-industry between Tanzania and Uganda is quite small. Tanzania's intra-industry trade with Zimbabwe, Malawi, Burundi and Rwanda among others is also small.

Tanzania and Kenya: Intra-industry trade between the two countries is large, but was larger in 1975 during the East African Community. Here we find many commodity groups that show intra-industry - 74 in all. These dwindled to only 8 in 1981 after the break-up of the East African Community and closure of the border. The number picked up by 1989, when there were 32 groups, and in 1991 wiyh 38 groups. Factors explaining the large intra-industry trade between the two countries are, again, good transport and communication networks, proximity, common border, language, historical ties, including the East African Common market and Community, and -especially for Tanzania- the policies instituted from 1984 including trade liberalization that have motivated individuals to expand trade.

Tanzania and Uganda: There seems to be quite a large amount of interindustry trade taking place but very little intra-industry trade. This phenomenon can be attributed to the fact that while the two countries belonged to the defunct East African Community, they both depended on manufactured products imported from Kenya. There was therefore a lack of developed market links between the two countries. It might also be the fact that Uganda lacks a developed manufactured sector. The data show that in 1975 there were only two commodities in intra-industry trade between the two countries. This type of trade did not develop over time; rather, one gets a sporadic one or two products over the years.

#### Ethiopia's intra-industry trade

There is evidence of intra-industry trade in the case of Ethiopia. Typical of the other countries in the region, it seems that the bulk of intra-industry trade is with Djibouti. Again proximity and a special relationship play a big role. In 1988 there were 11 products indicating intra-industry trade between Ethiopia and Djibouti. In 1989 this had dropped to 9 products but in 1990 there were 12 and in 1991 there were 14 products. Kenya also shows high intra- industry trade. Other countries, including Zimbabwe, Sudan, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Namibia and Mauritius, also show intra-industry trade with Ethiopia but very infrequently.

#### Sudan's intra-industry trade

Evidence indicates that there is little intra-industry trade between Sudan and other PTA member countries. The larger proportion of this trade is with Ethiopia and quite a small portion is with Kenya. This again proves the hypothesis that many of these countries specialize in trading within the PTA region, and proximity as well as a special relationship play a big role.

#### Malawi's intra-industry trade

Data obtained from Malawi have been presented in different ways of classification. From 1980 to 1983 the classification of the trade data was BTN. From 1984 to 1989 it was by CCCN, and since 1990 by HS harmonized system. We did not use the 1990 data as they were only up to September; the comparison with the other system was difficult and could have misled the casual observer on the actual trend of this trade. We instead decided to present the data as they were, rather than converting into SITC codes because of lack of time. In future, however, this conversion will be done. Because of the different way of classification, and given that the data were on two-digit levels of aggregation, it is not possible to compare these tables with those from different countries. Our main interest is in the trend of this trade.

Data from Malawi indicate a large intra-industry trade between Malawi and Zimbabwe. This trade was higher in the beginning of the 1980 s than it was later in the decade.

Among the possible reasons for this are proximity and well-developed transport and communication systems.

Another interesting observation with the Malawi data is the intra-industry trade with Mozambique. Malawi is the first country in our sample to show high intra-industry trade with Mozambique. This trade is concentrated at the beginning of the 1980s and decreases toward the late 1980s to nearly nothing. This can be explained by the internal fighting in Mozambique especially during the mid to later part of the decade. When this trade was high, proximity seems to have played a big role. Malawi also shows large intra-industry trade with Zambia, which was discussed with the Zambian data. Tanzania and Kenya also contribute to Malawi's intra-industry trade. Some other countries also show a little intra-industry trade in some years, including Swaziland and Lesotho.

#### Zimbabwe's intra industry trade

The data available to us show that Zimbabwe is one of the countries in the PTA region that exhibit a very high level of intra-industry trade with many countries. The countries include Malawi, Zambia, Mozambique, Tanzania, Kenya, Swaziland, Ethiopia, Sudan, Angola and Lesotho. We have detailed data for Zimbabwe for only 1991 and we observe that in intra-industry trade between Zimbabwe and other PTA countries the highest number of commodities are found between Zimbabwe and Zambia. As explained in the Zambia section this is not surprising since the two countries are neighbours connected with a good transport system; in the past they were one country and they share a border. Zimbabwe and Malawi data give 83 products, while between Zimbabwe and Mozambique there are 81 products. These two are neighbouring Zimbabwe and again have good transport systems. Kenya shows 41 products, Swaziland 24, Mauritius 18, Lesotho 8, Tanzania 6, Angola 4, Namibia 5, Sudan 1 and Burundi 1. An interesting observation is that most of the goods in the intra-industry trade between Zimbabwe and the rest of the PTA/COMESA countries are manufactured products.

#### Evaluation of the empirical findings

A number of observations can be drawn from these collected data. Intra-industry trade does exist between these countries of the PTA/COMESA region. However, the trade is concentrated in certain countries as shown above. The levels of the trade are low as compared to advanced countries and even the more advanced developing countries. In 1984, intra-industry trade between NICs was 22.1% while that between LDCs was 12.0 %.<sup>3</sup> This trade is much higher between developed countries, depending on the category. We explained earlier the determinants of intra-industry trade and its connection with economic integration. We noted that theory predicts that intra-industry trade is determined by demand and supply factors, including similarity in tastes between the trading partners. The extent of trade overlaps between potential trading partners is important in explaining this trade. Other factors include decreasing production costs, scale economies, entry

conditions and entry barriers, for example, quantitative restrictions, transport costs, natural trade barriers, product differentiation, special relations, etc.

Before we give the empirical results, logical reasoning and casual observations indicate to us that in these PTA/COMESA countries some of these factors seem to be the main influence of intra-industry trade. The main factor is proximity. It seems that communication and transport problems in these African countries compel them to trade with their nextdoor neighbours. However, since the neighbours may be many, it also seems that countries of similar levels of development and size have higher levels of this trade. This is connected to tastes and preferences; that is, countries that are at the same level of development will exhibit more similarity in taste and therefore show higher intra-industry trade. In addition, data suggest that many of these countries tend to have a sort of specialization as to whom to trade with through special relationships. A good example of this is Zambia and Tanzania. Indeed, it is perhaps the special relationship that motivates these countries to develop or improve their communication and transport networks. The observations from these countries agree with what theory predicts, especially with some factors that can be easily picked up. Smaller countries seem to have very little intra-industry trade and perhaps scale economies or lack thereof can explain this. One of the powerful results is that intra-industry trade does exist in these countries, problems of data notwithstanding. It is not clear whether the formation of the PTA has increased this trade, although there is some evidence in some countries, notably between Zambia and Tanzania and between Tanzania and Kenya. It is difficult to attribute the increase to regional integration, since many of these countries have adopted a variety of policies since the mid 1980 s that have also influenced intra-PTA trade.

# VI. The proportion of intra-industry trade in total bilateral trade

The proportion of intra-industry trade in total bilateral trade in the PTA sub-region is shown in Table 2. This is given for selected countries and selected years to offer detail of this trade.

Zimbabwe with	Ratio of IIT in total	Average IIT	Kenya with	Ratio of IIT in total	Average IIT	Zambia with	Ratio of IIT in total	Average IIT
Angola	32.24	9.05	Ethiopia	3.4	36.0	Angola	-	-
Kenva	19.1	45.5	Malawi	72.0	17.7	Botswana	28.76	34.76
Lesotho	22.5	37.4	Mauritius		0.36	Eaypt	-	-
Malawi	48.1	22.2	Mozambigu	e	24.71	Kenva	-	-
Maurítius	10.4	21.7	Rwanda		11.23	Malawi	64.14	21.86
Mozambigue	<b>40.6</b>	19.4	Sychelles		14.01	Namibia	41.70	23.92
Burundi	÷	10.8	Somalia	0.29	55.43			
Ethiopia	36.4	52.9	Sudan	4.83	9.34	South Africa	22.88	18.23
Namibia	9.3	15.3	Tanzania	31.02	31.1	Swaziland	0.3	16.33
Sudan	1.78	3.53	Uganda	6.42	26.31	Tanzania	72.24	25.31
Swaziland	19.5	16.6	Zambia	7.73	16.9	Zaire	-	-
Tanzania	27.73	4.33	Zimbabwe	16.0	32.97	Zimbabwe	29.52	27.61
Zambia	54.74	19.91	-	-	-	-	-	-

## Table 2: Intra-Industry Trade as ratio of total bilateral trade and the average share of IIT for some countries in the PTA/COMESA region 1991

Source: Calculated by the author using data from CSO Zambia and COMESA Secretariat.

Table 2 shows that the ratio of intra-industry trade in total bilateral trade is high for some countries for Zimbabwe and Zambia it is over 50%, likewise for Malawi and Mozambique. Zimbabwe in 1991, has a high number of countries that show intra-industry trade, ranging from 54.74 % with Zambia to 1.8% with Sudan. One interesting observation is in order here and that is that the countries that border Zimbabwe also show a relatively higher intra-industry trade component of bilateral trade, further confirming the empirical observations above. Kenya shows a high ratio with Malawi and Tanzania. Zambia's data show a high ratio with Malawi, Tanzania and Namibia. The average level of the index of IIT differs among the bilateral partners but overall it is below that of developed countries. Zimbabwe's IIT for the year 1991 ranges between 53% with Ethiopia and 3.53% with Sudan, while Kenya's ranges between 55% with Somalia and 0.36% with Mauritius.

We present further data for the ratio of IIT in bilateral imports and exports in Appendix B because in many cases the variation is quite large. An average of all countries will

obstruct the uniqueness shown by different countries. This is calculated as the sum of those exports appearing in intra-industry trade and divided by total exports to that country for exports and the sum of those imports appearing in intra-industry trade and divided by total Imports from that country for imports. As expected, results are only for selected countries since some countries do not have any significant intra-industry trade. A country like Rwanda, for example, may show one entry of intra-industry trade occasionally but with no distinct pattern.

From Table B6 in the appendix, we observe that the proportion of intra-industry trade in total bilateral trade is very high in some cases. In 1991, intra-industry trade between Kenya and other PTA countries indicates a very high proportion in imports. For Sudan it is 99.6%, Ethiopia 93.6% and Uganda 88.8%; Tanzania is medium at 46.9%. For exports, the highest is with Zimbabwe (46%) followed by Tanzania (27.6%). These results say that most of the imports from Sudan, Ethiopia and Uganda are two-way products. This can be explained by the fact that Kenya is relatively more industrialized compared with these other countries, thus whatever importation takes place, it is quite likely that the commodities are available in Kenya as well, though different from the exports with the same countries whose intra-industry proportion is low.

In intra-industry trade between Zimbabwe and other PTA countries in 1991, we see that for imports, the highest is with Angola (87.7%), then Sudan (77.8%), followed by Ethiopia (75.6%), Zambia (66.7%), Malawi (60.5%), and Tanzania (47%). A high level of Zimbabwe's imports from these PTA countries is in two-way tradeables. For exports, Swaziland shows 93.1% of the proportion of intra-industry trade in total bilateral exports. Zambia also gives a high proportion (51.7%) while Malawi gives 47.2% and Mozambique 40.1%. (See Appendix C for a summary of intra-industry trade for Zimbabwe and Kenya).

Intra-industry trade between Zambia and other countries shows that, for 1990, the high proportion is with Malawi (60.8%) for imports, while for exports it is with Lesotho (85.7%). This pattern is repeated for 1992, with imports from Malawi indicating 30.4% and exports 87.7%. For Zambia also, the proportion of intra-industry exports in 1992 is quite high for all countries reported. For 1993, exports to Zimbabwe are high at 98.9%. However, the figures for 1993 are only up to May of that year.

Malawi is not directly comparable to other countries since here the data are for twodigit classifications. However, an indication is in order, and we observe that the proportion of intra-industry trade in total trade is high with Zimbabwe for both imports and exports. Tanzania shows a high level of intra-industry trade with Malawi's imports.

Refer to Appendix D for trade categories and Appendix E for summary tables of intra-industry trade for selected PTA/COMESA countries.

### VII. Methodology and hypotheses explaining intra-industry trade

Empirical studies of intra-industry trade are few. Balassa (1986) investigates this trade in developing countries, among others. He uses country characteristics including average per capita income, income inequality, average country size, inequality in country size, trade orientation, distance, border and common language variables. The hypotheses put forward are supported in many of them, although some are not significant. Hellvin (1993) provides another empirical study for Asian countries. The variables included are both country and industry specific, although the final report concentrates on country specificvariables. The result of the study is that only distance and tariffs are significant. In this study we concentrate mainly on country variables.

The extent of intra-industry trade in an industry is expected to be larger the higher the degree of scale economies in that industry. If differentiated goods were produced with constant economies of scale, each variety could be produced domestically and no intra-industry trade would take place. With economies of scale, each firm specializes in production of a specific variety in order to take advantage of the economies of scale in production. Countries specialize in production of a few varieties and exchange these by trade in order to satisfy the demand for variety. Many studies, especially in developed countries and a few in developing countries, proxy economies of scale with minimum optimal scale as percentage of consumption, or other scale efficiency measures. (see Behar 1991, among others). However, it is difficult to get a minimum optimal scale for many of the countries belonging to PTA/COMESA, and calculating for all these countries will take us out of the scope of the study, not to mention the time limitation. In addition, casual observations of the trend of this trade in these countries suggest that countryspecific characteristics are more important.

Product differentiation in an industry also affects the extent of intra-industry trade in that industry; the higher the degree of product differentiation, the larger the trade. As explained above, intra-industry trade occurs in differentiated commodities. If domestic industry is not able to produce all potential varieties, theoretical analysis shows that the number of available products will probably be larger when countries engage in trade and in this respect regional trading will enhance this effect. There are two fundamental types of product differentiation: horizontal and vertical. The former refers to differences in product characteristics primarily related to style. The latter refers to differences primarily related to quality. In empirical models product differentiation can be measured by the coefficient of variation of export unit values to diverse destination (Hufbauer index) among other methods. The greater the coefficient, the larger the extent of product differentiation. Another approach is to interpret large percentage differences between unit values of imports and exports as an indication of vertical specialization. Others use research and development (R&D) expenditure, while others use advertising to sales ratio (Clark, 1993). While all these measures are important, we note that research and development are quite minimal in many of the countries we are interested in and likewise advertising; in addition, information pertaining to expenditure on research and development and advertising is not readily available. In general, we concentrate on the country characteristics rather than the industry characteristics following the example of many who have dealt with multi-country studies based on developing countries, for example Balassa and Bauwens (1987) and Lee and Lee (1993).

We employ a regression analysis to ascertain the significance of the factors frequently mentioned in theory that are supposed to explain intrase factors include the level of per capita incomes, differences in the per capita incomes, the average country size, the similarity/differences in the country sizes, income overlap, the distances between the countries, scale economies, product differentiation and average levels of trade barriers. In addition to these factors and in particular for these PTA/COMESA members, we can include a variable that will take care of countries that had/have special economic relationships. It is reasonable to assume that if two countries have a special relationship – economic, political, etc. – it is more likely that a relatively larger trade including intra-industry will take place than in those without. For instance, trade between Kenya, Tanzania and Uganda might be higher compared with other PTA/COMESA members as these countries used to belong to the now defunct East African Community and this ensured that they had better linkages in many areas.

#### The model

We used a regression model for estimation based on the Grubel-Lloyd index, which is normally used to measure intra-industry trade:

$$IITjk = 1 - (\Sigma/Xjki - Mjki) / \Sigma (Xjki + Mjki)) *100$$

$$i$$

$$i$$

$$(1)$$

The value of IIT shows the extent of intra-industry trade and is used as the dependent variable. The country-specific variables as discussed above are used as independent variables. The method used is a cross-country test of determinants of intra-industry trade between members of PTA/COMESA. Those countries that did not indicate any intra-industry trade were excluded, as were those for which we could not get information. According to Equation 1 the dependent variables lie within the range of (0,100), depending on the importance of intra-industry trade. To ascertain that the predicted values are also limited to the interval, a logistic function is employed and non-linear least squares that permit inclusion of extreme values (Balassa, 1986; Balassa and Bauwens, 1987; Lee and Lee, 1993). The non-linear least squares method has the advantage of permitting the inclusion of zero observations.

This is specified as

$$IITjk = 1/[1 + EXP - \beta Zjk] + e,$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $Z_{jk}$  is the vector of explanatory variables,  $\beta$  the corresponding vector of coefficients, and e is the disturbance term.

The explanatory variables, which are country characteristics, include APC, the average level of per capita-incomes; SAZ, the average country size; and the DIS, distance between countries.TB is trade barriers, DPC is dissimilarity in per capita income, D1 is a dummy variable to take into account the special relations, D2 is a dummy variable to take into account common borders, and D3 is a dummy to take into account common language.

The extent of intra-industry trade between countries is hypothesized to be larger/ smaller the higher/lower the average level of their per capita-income. The positive relationship between level of development and the level of intra-industry trade is explained by a high per capita income, which is interpreted as a high ratio of capital-labour endowments. Countries with high per capita income are expected to be more endowed with capital. Assuming differentiated goods to be more capital-intensive in production than homogeneous goods, production of differentiated goods will then increase with capital endowments.

The share of IIT will be negatively related to the dissimilarity in per capita incomes. A similar level of per capita income represents a similar demand structure. Similarity in per capita incomes will influence preference and overlapping demand, which will increase intra-industry trade. Disparate per capita incomes imply less IIT.

The extent of intra-industry trade between two countries is expected to be larger/ lower the larger/smaller their average country size. Differentiated commodities are assumed to be produced with economies of scale. A country with a small domestic market has a limited opportunity to take advantage of the economies of scale in production. The likelihood that domestic firms are exploiting all extant economies of scale is greater, other things being equal, the larger the size of the domestic economy. For small countries, the domestic market by itself may not be able to sed plants of minimum efficiency in scale. Hence, the larger the domestic market, the larger the potential for production of differentiated commodities and the greater the opportunity for intra-industry trade.

Barriers to trade reduce competitive pressures and hence the incentive to cut costs. Where domestic producers are not exploiting all extant economies of scale, increased competitive pressures should encourage efforts to improve efficiency. In this regard, trade liberalization of product flow is expected to encourage IIT by stimulating competition within domestic industries.

Distance shipped is another important factor. Intra-industry trade is expected to be higher for commodities that have lower transportation costs. In many empirical studies of intra-industry trade, distance has been hypothesized to have a negative impact on the
share of intra-industry trade. It is assumed that the consumption of differentiated goods requires more information than consumption of homogeneous goods. In addition, given that we are concerned with developing countries characterized by inadequate development of their intra-regional infrastructure, the longer the distance, the more direct transportation cost and more inconveniences including uncertainties – thus less intra-industry trade. It is thus hypothesized that the extent of intra-industry trade will be negatively correlated with the distance between countries.

Familiarity with each other's products contributes to increased intra-industry trade between countries. Common language is a vehicle towards this familiarity, as is the existence of past colonial ties. This gives us the hypothesis that the existence of a common language increases the extent of intra-industry trade between countries.

The existence of common borders also contributes to information flows. Grubel and Lloyd (1975) suggest that in countries sharing a common border, intra-industry trade may take place in products that are functionally homogeneous but differentiated by location. Hence we hypothesize that the extent of intra-industry trade will be higher between countries that share a common border than otherwise.

. .

# **VIII. Estimation**

The parenthetical information in Table 3 explains how the particular variable will be measured. The justification for the choice of these variables is that most seem to be representative and are, moreover, commonly used in empirical studies of this nature. We also include other variables that might be important as we observe the data from these countries.

Variable	Aimed to measure (how measures)	Expected sign	Hypotheses
APC	Average per capita income (GDP per capita)	Positive	The lower the average per capita income the smaller is IIT and vice versa
SAZ	Average country size (level of GDP or population)	Positive	The larger/smaller the average country size, the higher/lower the IIT.
DIS	Distance (kilometres between capitals)	Negative	The larger the transport costs, the smaller is the IIT
DPC	Dissimilarity in per capita income (variation in per	Negative	The more dissimilar the per capita incomes the lower the IIT and vice versa
ТВ	Trade barriers (tariffs and frequency of QRs.)	Negative	The higher the average level of level of trade barriers, the smaller the IIT
D1	Dummy for common language (English)	Positive	The extent of IIT is expected to be larger if the countries share common language
D2	Dummy for special relations	Positive	The closer the special relations the higher the IIT
D3	Dummy for common border	Positive	The shared border increases the likelihood of higher IIT

 Table 3: Variables used in our study: What they are expected to measure, expected signs and hypotheses

# IX. Empirical results and discussion

The empirical estimates for some PTA/COMESA countries are reported in Table 4. The sample includes those countries that portrayed IIT and those for which we managed to obtain information. These countries are Tanzania, Zambia, Kenya, Zimbabwe, Malawi, Ethiopia and Sudan. However, for independent variables, we included all countries in the PTA/COMESA region.

Table 4 indicates several features of intra-industry trade in PTA region. The estimations are for the year 1991, as we had consistent data for most of the variables for the year. The results support the hypotheses put forward regarding country characteristics as far as expected signs are concerned. We dropped the variable DPS, which was to take care of dissimilarity of tastes because it was highly collinear with that of APC indicating per capita incomes. All variables came out with correct signs. However, in terms of significance of the variables, it is only DIS that is significant at 1% level. For the estimation of this variable we used the distance between two trading capitals, and the actual distance of roads connecting the two capitals. The two gave almost the same results. We even tried with actual cost of shipping the goods but more complications on this variable arose due to the issue of handling and delays, which are different for different areas. Apart from that, different goods command different prices especially if they are in bigger or smaller loads. This variable proves that indeed distance plays a big role in reducing the level of intra-industry trade between these countries. The level of tariff protection came out with correct negative sign in most cases but it is not significant, which suggests that in these countries factors other than tariff levels obstruct trade. These factors include the level of income, here indicated by per capita income, which came out significant at 5% level. The population level, which is a proxy for size of the countries, is also supported. The language dummy, which is 1 for English speaking and 0 otherwise, is weakly supported at 5% level. The other variables are not significant.

We also experimented with manufactured value added of different countries belonging to COMESA and we observed that manufactured value added is significant and positive. This indicates that the availability of manufactured goods to trade with each other is important. The dummy variables indicating shared border, special relations and common language (we took English for common and otherwise zero) are weakly significant and only when they are regressed separately. This might indicate that there is a correspondence between these dummies. For example, it is more common for countries sharing the same borders to have special relationships including the same language.

The results obtained by logit estimations are supported by these by non-linear

Variable	Expected sign	Equation 1	Equation 2	Equation 3	Equation 4
Constant		8 62 (1 35)	10 4(1 5)	15 7(2 0)	19 0(3 44)
Log DIS	Negative	-1.9(-3.1)**	-2.3(-3.3)**	-2.9(-4.3)***	-2.5(-4.3)***
Log SAZ	Positive	0.83(3.0)**	0.9(2.94)**	1.0(3.32)**	0.44(2.5)**
Log TB	Negative	-0.62(-0.6)	-0.92(-0.83)	-1.07(-0.97)	-0.8(-0.59)
Log APC	Positive	1.02(2.5)**	1.28(2.9)**	1.19(2.8)**	· · · ·
DĨ	Positive	1.32(2.5)**	1.11(2.1)**	0.96(1.9)*	1.2(2.42)*
D 2	Positive	0.3 (0.4)			
D 3	Positive	1.0 (1.3)	1.3 (1.8)*		
Number of observations		118	118	118	118

Table 4a: Results for country variables (logit estimation)

\*\*\*implies significant at 99%, \*\*significant at 95% and \*significant at 90% confidence level.

Variable	Expected sign	Equation 1	Equation 2	Equation 3	Equation 4
Constant		34.5 (1.0)	30.8 (0.7)	44.9(1.61)	63.7(2.3)
Log DIS	Negative	-7.3 (-2.2) <sup>*</sup>	-6.93 (-3.0)**	-8.52(-3.5)***	-8.9(-3.1)**
Log SAZ	Positive	3.44(2.63)*	3.5 (2.7)**	3.77(3.0)**	2.4(2.3)
Log TB	Negative	-0.46(-0.1)	-0.71(0.12)	-0.83(-0.14)	-2.34(0.4)
Log APC	Positive	4.2(2.0)*	3.9 (1.9)	6.79(2.2) <sup>*</sup>	· · · ·
D1	Positive	6.8(2.12)*	6.95(2.2)*	0.12(1.4)	7.74(2.5)*
D 2	Positive	4.0(1.1)	× ,	, , ,	· · ·
D 3	Positive	6.8(1.6)	4.03(0.87)		
Number of	118	<b>`11</b> 8	<u>` 118</u>	118	
observations		R <sup>2</sup> = 0.26 D.W = 1.7	R <sup>2</sup> = 0.26 D.W =1.65	R <sup>2</sup> = 0.24 D.W = 1.65	R <sup>2</sup> = 0.21 D.W = 1.64

Table 4b: Results for country variables (non-linear estimation (NLS))

estimations. The non-linear estimates give us  $R^2$ , which is about 0.26, implying that the explanatory power of the variables is only 26%. However, this result is plausible given that the explanation for the existence of intra-industry trade in these countries is difficult to establish because of the randomness of their trading. The year-to-year variations of intra-industry trade in the region are quite large.

Overall the results from our estimation concur well with those of the other studies noted above. In most of the studies, distance gives negative and significant results, which agrees with our findings. This is the same with many other variables, indicating that intra-industry trade in the region is determined by common variables pertaining to other countries.

The empirical support in our study is smaller than other studies especially those conducted in developed countries because of the presence of domestic distortions caused by inward-oriented regimes in many of the countries in our sample. Many hypotheses that have been tested are generated from models that are based on assumption of efficient markets, an assumption that is not satisfied in our countries of concern. However, the presence of these distortions is manifest in the low level of intra-regional trade for these countries so that the distortions are already apparent. The regression analysis is also constrained by the poor quality of data pertaining in these countries.

# X. Conclusions and policy implications

As stated at the beginning of this report, the aim of the study was to investigate intraindustry trade in the PTA/COMESA sub-region. This study has attempted to show, from the data available, that the countries belonging to this sub-region do engage in intraindustry trade especially with their immediate neighbours, and those that are relatively more advanced in terms of their manufacturing sectors. It is shown that distance and thus transportation pose a problem in this type of trade between these countries. However, we need to mention that other constraints such as delays at the borders to clear goods and other administrative constraints negatively affect the penetration of these goods in the regional market.

The study also shows that in some of these countries the proportion of intra-industry trade in the bilateral trade is quite high. When we analyse the exports and imports represented in the intra-industry trade, we observe that the high ratio of intra- industry trade in bilateral trade is more evidenced with imports and exports from the smaller countries than from the relatively larger ones. In some cases the protion of intra-industry trade is quite high even in absolute figures.

These results have important policy implications. One is that, indeed, intra-industry trade does exist in some of these countries; thus there is a need to direct attention to this trade as an adjutant of inter-industry trade. This is even more important given the ambition of these countries to further trade liberalization. As shown in the estimation, tariff barriers exert negative influence, implying that further liberalization will induce a higher level of this trade. Distance also shows a negative relationship with intra-industry trade; solving transportation problems will likely increase the trade. In general, therefore, the study points to the fact that these countries need to put more efforts into this trade by offering more incentives to traders. In addition, there is a need to increase efforts to solve the constraints to this trade, especially including transportation and communication networks. With good transportation systems, distance may be less of an obstacle to the trade. Some other factors that promote this trade are more difficult to influence, for example common borders.

# Appendix A. Results of other empirical research on intra-industry trade

Several empirical studies have been done in both developing and developing countries. We summarize some of them below.

Variable	Measure	Coefficient	T Values
Constant	-		-2.0(-3.35)
Ln AY/P	Average per capita income	0.788	(7.97)
INEQY/P	Income differences	-0.362	(-1.95)
Ln AY	Average country size	-0.425	(-4.21)
INEQY	Differences in country size	0.973	(3.02)
ATO	Average trade orientation	0.256	(7.90)
Ln D	Distance	-0.392	(-10.54)
Border		0.606	(4.12)
English		0.600	(8.10)
LAFTA	Latin America Free Trade Area	1.346	(7.69)
FRENCH		-0.499	(-0.58)
SPANISH		1.207	(4.63)
PORTUGUESE	0.986		(3.73)
PD	Product differentiation	0.243	(2.85)
MKT	Marketing variable	3.373	(3.11)
SDPR	Standard deviation of profit rates	0.340	(0.69)
ECSC	Economies of scale	-1.515	(-1.36)
IACR	Industrial concentration	-0.914	(-1.70)
TSD	Tariff dispersion	0.639	(0.35)
R <sup>2</sup>	·		0.2249
Ν			6697

Appendix A1: Balassa, B., and L. Bauwens (1987) - Trade among developing countries

Estimation is for country and industry characteristics. The developing countries includes: Spain, Singapore, Greece, Argentina, Hong Kong, Portugal, Yugoslavia, Mexico, Brazil, Taiwan, Malaysia, Tunisia, Korea, Morocco, Turkey, Egypt, Thailand, Philippines, India and Pakistan.

Method of estimation is non-linear least squares estimation of the logistic function.

Variable	Measure	Coefficients	T Values
Constant	_	5 121	(3.92)
In AY/P	Average per capita income	1 117	(4.02)
INFQY/P	Income differences	-0.564	(-0.98)
Ln AY	Average country size	0.872	(3.57)
INEQY	Differences in country size	-1.592	(-2.14)
ATO	Average trade orientation	0.875	(7.88 <sup>′</sup> )
Ln D	Distance	-0.609	(-5.79)
Border		0.880	(2.48)
English		0.776	(3.33)
LAFTA	Latin America Free Trade Area	2.187	(5.56)
FRENCH		0.404	(0.05)
SPANISH		1.556	(3.90)
PORTUGUESE		0.620	(0.55)
σ			0.0501
R <sup>2</sup>			0.7402
N			175

Appendix A2: Balassa, B. (1986) -Trade of manufactured products among developing countries

The developing countries include: Spain, Singapore, Greece, Argentina, Hong Kong, Portugal, Yugoslavia, Mexico, Brazil, Taiwan, Malaysia, Tunisia, Korea, Morocco, Turkey, Egypt, Thailand, Philippines, India and Pakistan. Estimated by Non-linear least squares.

Variable	Measure	Coefficients	T Values
Constant	<u>-</u>	1.40	(0.16)
Ln AY/P	Average per capita income	0.28	(0.37)
INEQY/P	Income differences	-2.05	(-1.14)
Ln AY	Average country size	-0.41	(-0.86)
INEQY	Differences in country size	-0.10	(-1.07)
Ln IO	Income Overlapp	0.58	(1.07)
Ln D	Distance	-0.17	(-1.97)
Ln T	Tariff	-0.46	(-2.25)
Ln NTB	Non tariff Barriers	-0.36	(-0.96)
Ν			45
R <sup>2</sup>			0.57

Table A3: Hellvin, L. (1993) -Intra-industry trade between ASEAN countries

Countries include: India, Sri Lanka, Pakistan, Indonesia, The Phillippines, Thailand, Malaysia, Republic of Korea, Hong Kong, Singapore.

Variable	Measure	Logit analysis	Nonlinear least squares
Constant		5 639	0.629
oonotant		(1.985)	(0.695)
RDPCI	Relative difference in per capita GNP	-3.872	-1.768
		(-1.676)	(-1.973)
		(1.676)	(1.973)
RDGNP	Relative difference in GNP Between	-3.934	0.439
	Korea and Trading Partners	(-2.729)	(0.841
POSTAGE	Cost of postage	-1.205	-0.419
		(2.428)	(2.488)
TINT	Korea's trade intensity with trading partners	17.251	3.932
		(1.890)	(2.461)
ASIA	Dummy for Asian countries	2.010	1.261
		(1.765)	(4.253)
TIMB	Trade imbalances	-4.608	-2.136
		(-3.338)	(3.828)
DOF	Degrees of freedom	74	74
R <sup>2</sup>		0.4326	0.4235

Appendix A4: 4. Lee, H.H., and Lee, Y.Y. (1993) -intra-industry trade in manufactures: The case of Korea

The methods used are logit analysis and non-linear least squares. In this study zero values of IIT index were replaced with a very small number (0.0000001) so the observations could be included in the analysis.

## Table A5: Globerman S. and J.W Dean (1990) -Recent trends in intra-industry trade and their implications for future trade liberalization

Highlights of studies of intra-industry trade.

Author	Country	Industry	Period	Main finding
Grubel, Lloyd (1975)	10 industrialized countries	All industries	1959-67	IIT as a % of total trade rose steadily from .36 to .48.
McAleese (1979)	Ireland	All industries	1964-77	IIT rose from .36 to .56.
Finger, DeRosa (1979)	14 industrialized countries	144 SITC 3 digit products	1961-76	Increase in IIT for most products.
Glejser (1979)	EEC	64 industries	1973-79	Slowness in growth of IIT compared to 1960s.
Kol, Mennes (1979)	Netherlands	9 industry group	1970-80	No general increase or decrease in IIT.
Schumacher (1979)	Germany	All industries	1962-80	Increase in IIT throughout period. Largest relative increase from 1962 to 1976.
Greenaway (1979)	UK	8 SITC divisions	1964-77	Increase in IIT for all divisions.
Greenaway, Milner (1986)	UK	9 SITC divisions	1970-79	Increase in IIT for all divisions for 1970- 77. Increase for only 4 divisions from 1977 to 1979.
Balassa (1975)	EEC	All industries	1958-70	Average increase in degree of IIT of 30%
Drabek, Greenaway (1984)	EEC, Austria, Sweden, Norway, Switzerland	5 SITC divisions	1966-77	Increase in average IIT for all countries.

Ap	benc	lix B	: The trad	e prop prop	ortic hin P	on of i TA co	ntra-juntri	indu: es	stry ti	rade i	n total	bilat	eral
Kenya with	Somalia	Sudan	Tanzania	Uganda	Zambia	Zimbabwe			Ethiopia	Burundi	Mozambique	Malawi	Mauritius
I 991 Import Export	6.54 0.26	99.6 4.44	46.9 27.64	88.8 4.45	1.3 26.7	4.8 46.0			93.6 3.0	3.0 0.03	8.3 0.7	13.9 3.3	6.4 0.004
Zim. with	Swazi	Sudan	Tanzania	Lesotho	Zambia	Kenya	Namibia	Angola	Ethiopia	Burundi	ozambique	Malawi	Mauritius
1991 İmport Export	13.4 93.1	77.8 1.73	47.0 13.1	63.1 11.6	66.7 51.7	27.7 15.1	15.7 9.74	87.7 32.2	75.6 23.3	0.081 0.029	43.8 40.1	60.5 47.2	8.6 28.9
Zambia with	Kenya	Tanzania	Zimbabwe	Malawi	Lesotho	Zambia	Malawi	Kenya	Tanzania	Zimbabwe			
1990 Import Export	24.4 2.8	55.4 23.7	36.8 27.6	60.8 14.4	0.7 85.7	lmport Export	30.4 87.7	3.5 49.3	11.7 81.7	17.2 78.8			
Zambia with	Malawi	Kenya	Tanzania	Zimbabwe									
1993 Import Export	6.33 39.0	0.35 0.032	22.5 33.1	26.6 98.9									
Malawi with	Tanzania	Zambia	Mozam.	Zimbabwe	Kenya	Malawi	Tanzania	Zambia	Mozambq	Zimbabwe	Kenya		
1987 Import Export	64.9 15.0	9.3 50.9	3.5 0.3	78.4 74.9	1.1 24.5	import Export	4.9 33.0	14.5 31.1	0.007 0.007	77.9 94.7	2.9 30.1		
Malawi	Tanzania	Zambia	Mozambiqu	er									
willi 1989 Import Export	18.2 18.2	10.9 73.0											

# Appendix C: Intra-industry trade values and total trade values for 1991 for Zimbabwe and Kenya (US\$)

#### ZIMBABWE WITH

**KENYA WITH** 

	Imports	Exports	<b>.</b> .	Imports	Exports
Angola	0077	0.177000	Sudan	07007	000000
 	6077	2477902		8/32/	929630
Iotal	6929	/698218		8/32/	20950901
Kenya			Somalia		
IIT	1771049	2125041		3266	33738
Total	6400974	14040384		49875	12761908
Lesotho			Tanzania		
IIT	195178	132647		3912335	10833532
Total	309128	114711		8341721	39188462
Malawi			Uganda		
IIT	1857438	212447824		1644034	3446952
Total	3069405	44989638		1851237	77399499
Mauritius			Zambia		
IIT	645305	211645		113439	790143
Total	7491279	733062		8730012	2959874
Mozambique					
IIT	2370915	14966040			
Total	5411972	37288840			
	•••••				
Ethiopia					
IIT	49301	45323			
Total	65212	194720			
Namibia					
IIT	44434	306139			
Total	283671	3141403			
Sudan					
IIT	937	29155			
Total	1205	16854229			
Swaziland					
IIT	1244995	716698			
Total	9300350	770108			
Tanzania					
IIT	1395767	513706			
Total	2000208	3917260			
10:01	2303230	0017200			
Zambia					
IIT	8052031	25049827			
Total	12060386	48416183			

# Appendix D: SITC and close ISIC description of the groups that portray intraindustry trade

SITC	DESCRIPTION	ISIC
001	LIVE ANIMALS	1110
011	"MEAT: FRESH, FROZEN, OR CHILLED"	1110
012	"MEAT: DRIED, SALTED, OR SMOKED"	1110
022	MILK AND CREAM	3112
023	BUTTER	3112
025	EGGS	1110
024	CHEESE AND CURD	3112
034	"FISH, FRESH, FROZEN OR CHILLED	1110
035	"FISH, DRIED, SALTED OR SMORKED"	1110
036	"CRUSTACEANS, FRESH, DRIED, SALTED, CHILLED"	1110
037	"FISH, CRUSTACEANS, PREPARED/PRESERVED"	3114
041	UNMILLED WHEAT AND MUSLIN (INC.SPELT)	1110
042	RICE	1110
043	BARLEY UNMILLED	1110
044	"MAIŻE, CORN, UNMILLED"	1110
045	"CEREALS, UNMILLED, NES"	1110
046	MEAL AND FLOUR OF WHEAT OR OF MUSLIN	3116
047	"MEAL AND FLOUR OF CEREALS, NES"	3116
048	"CEREAL PREPS & OF STARCH, FRUIT, VEG"	3116
054	"VEG. FRESH, FROZEN, OR PRESERVED, & VEG. NES"	3113
056	"VEG., ROOIS & IUBERS, PREPARED/PRESERVED"	3113
057	"FRUITS & NUTS, FRESH OR DRIED"	1110
058	"FRUIT, PRESERVED & FRUIT PREPARATIONS"	3113
061		3118
062	SUGAR CONFECTIONERY & SUGAR PREPARATIONS	3119
071		3121
072		3119
073		3119
074		3121
075	ANIMAL FOODS (NOT LINNAILLED CEDEALS)	3121
001	MADGADINE & SHODTENING	3122
091		3112
111	"NON ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES NES"	3121
112	ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES	3131
121		1110
122	TOBACCO MANUFACTURES	21/0
211	HIDES & SKINS LINDRESSED	1110
212	"FUB SKINS, UNDRESSED"	1110
		1110

222	OIL SEEDS, OLEAGINOUS FRUIT (SOFT VEG. OIL)"	3115
223	OIL SEEDS, OLEAGINOUS FRUITS NES	1110
232	"NATURAL RUBBER LATEX, NATURAL RUBBER"	1110
244	"CORK, NATURAL, RAW AND WASTE"	1110
245	PULPWOOD	1110
247	OTHER WOOD IN THE ROUGH OR SQUARED	1110
248	WOOD, SIMPLY WORKED, RAILWAY SLEEPERS	3311
251	PULP & WASTE PAPER	3411
263	COTTON	1110
264	JUTE	1110
265	VEGETABLE FIBRES, NES	3211
266	SYNTHETIC & REGEN . (ARTIFICIAL) FIBRES	3211
267	OTHER MAN-MADE FIBRE FOR SPINING & WASTE	3211
268	WOOL & OTHER HAIR EXCLUDING WOOL TOPS	3211
269	OLD CLOTHING & RAGS	2902
273	STONE, SAND & GRAVEL	2901
277	NATURAL ABRASIVES, NES	2909
278	OTHER CRUDE MINERALS	2909
281	IRON ORE & CONCENTRATES	2301
282	IRON & STEEL SCRAP	2301
287	ORES & CONCENTRATES OF BASE METALS, NES	2301
288	NON-FERROUS BASE METAL WASTE, SCRAP	2302
291	CRUDE ANIMAL MATERIALS, NES	1110
292	CRUDE VEGETABLE MATERIALS, NES	1110
322	COAL, LIGNITE & PEAT	2100
333	PETROLEUM OILS ,CRUDE	2200
334	PETROLLEUM PRODUCTS REFINED	3530
335	RESIDUAL PETROLUEM PRODUCTS	3530
411	ANIMAL OILS & FATS	3115
431	ANIMAL/ VEGETABLE OILS, FATS(PROCESSED) & WAXES	3115
511	HYDROCARBONS NES, & THEIR DERIVATIVES	2200
512	ALCOHOLS, PHENOLS, & DERIVATIVES	2200
513	CARBOXYLIC ACIDS & DERIVATIVES	2200
514	NITROGEN FUNCTION COMPOUNDS	2200
515	ORGANO-INORGANIC & HETEROCYLIC COMPS.	2200
516	OTHER ORGANIC CHEMICALS	2200
522	INORGANIC CHEMICALS, OXIDES, HALOGEN SALTS	3511
523	INORGANIC CHEMICALS, OTHER	3511
524	RADIO ACTIVE & ASSOCIATED MATERIALS	3511
531	SYNTHETIC ORG. DYES, NAT INDIGO, COL, LAKES	3511
532	DYEING & TAN. EXTERS & SYNTH TAN MATERIAL	3511
533	PIGMENTS, PAINTS, VARNISH & REL, MATRL	3511
541	MEDICINE & PHAMACUETICALS PRODS	3511
551	ESSENTIAL OILS, PERF. & FLAVOR MATERIALS	3511
553	PERFUMERY, COSMETICS & OTHER TOILET PREPS	3511
554	SOAPS, CLEANSING, & POLISHING PREPS.	3511
562	FERTILIZERS, MANUFACTURED	3512
572	EXPLOSIVES & PYROTECHNIC PRODUCTS	3529
582	CONDENSATION PLASTICS	3560
583	POLYMERISATION PLASTICS	3560
591	DISINFECTANTS, ETC	3529
592	STARCHES, INULIN & WHEAT GLUTEN:GLUES	3529
598	MISCELLANEOUS CHEMICAL PRODUCTS NES	3529
611	LEATHER	3231

612	MANUF. OF LEATHER OR ARTIF. LEATHER NES	3231
613	FUR SKINS, TANNED OR DRESSED, INCL. DYED	3232
621	MATERIALS OR RUBBER	3551
625	RUBBER TYRES, INNER TUBES & TYRE FLAPS	3559
633	CORK MANUFACTURES	3319
634	VENEERS, PLYWOOD, OTHER WOOD, WORKED, NE	3311
635	WOOD MANUFACTURERS, NES	3320
641	PAPER & PAPER BOARD	3411
642	ARTICLES MADE OF PAPER OR PAPERBOARD	3412
651	TEXTILE YARN AND THREAD	3211
652	COTTON FIBRIC.WOVEN EX. NARROW FABRICS	3212
653	FABRICS, WOVEN OF MAN-MADE FIBRES	3211
654	TEXTILE FABRICS, WOVEN, OTHER	3219
655	KNITTED, CROCHETED FABRICS	3213
656	TULLE LACE BIBBONS & OTHER SMALL WARES	3219
657	SPECIAL TEXTILE FABBICS & BEL PRODS	3220
658	MADE-UP ARTICLES CHIEFLY TEXT MATERS	3220
659	ELOOB COVERINGS ETC	3214
661	LIME CEMENT & FARE BUILDING MATER	3602
662	CALV & REERACTORY CONSTRUCTION MATER	3601
663		3600
664		3630
665		3020
666	POTTERV	3020
667		3010
671		3501
670	INCOTS & OTHER PRIME FORMS INC. THREE FTC	3710
672		3710
673		3710
074 675		3710
676	DAILS & DAWAY CONSTRUMENTS OF IDON/STEEL	3710
670 677	IDON & STEEL WIDE	3710
670	TURES DIRES FITTING OF IDON OR STEEL	3710
670 670		3710
679 601	RUNSTEEL, CASTON FORGED, UNWORKED NES	3710
600 I	CODDED (INC. RDASS & RDONZE)	3720
002 602	NICKEL	3720
003 69 <i>1</i>		3720
004 695		3720
000		3720
000		3720
087		3720
689		3720
691	FINISHED STRUC PARTS & STRUCTURES NES	3813
692	METAL CONTAINERS FOR STORAGE & TRANSPORT	3819
693	WIRE PRODUCTS (EXC. ELECTRIC) & FENCING	3819
694	NAILS, SCEWS, NUTS BOLTS & SIMILAR ARTS	3811
695	TOOLS FOR USE IN THE HAND OR IN MACHINES	3811
696	CUILERY	3811
697	HOUSEHOLD EQUIPMENT OF BASE METALS	3812
699	OTHER MANUFACTURES OF METALS NES	3819
711	STEAM GENERATING BOILERS	3821
712	STEAM ENGINES, OTHER VAPOUR POWER UNITS	3821
713	INTERNAL COMBUSTION PISTION ENGINES	3821

714		3821
716		3831
710	DOMED CENEDATING MACUINE	3831
701		2001
721		3022
700		2022
723		3024
/24		3829
/25	PAPER/PULP MILL & PAPER MACHINERY OTHER	3824
/26	PRINTING/BOOKBINDING MACHINERY & PARTS	3824
/2/	FOOD-PROCESSING MACHINES & PARTS	3824
728	OTHER MACHINERY FOR SPECIAL INDUSTRIES	3824
737	METAL WORKING MACHINERY, OTHER	3823
741	HEATING & COOLING EQUIPMENT & PARTS	3833
742	PUMPS & LIQUIDS	3829
743	PUMPS (EX.LIQUIDS), COMPRESSORS, FANS	3833
744	MECHANICAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT & PARTS	3819
745	OTHER NON-ELECTRICAL MACHINERY, TOLLS ETC	3839
749	NON-ELECTRIC PARTS & ACCESSORIES, NES	3839
751	OFFICE MACHINES	3825
752	A.D.P. MACHINES & UNITS THEREOF	3825
759	OFFICE & A.D.P. PARTS & ACCESSORIES, NES	3825
761		3832
762	RADIO-BROADCAST RECEIVERS	3832
763	GRAMOPHONES, SOUND RECORDERS	3832
764	TELECOMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT & PARTS	3832
771	FLECTRIC POWER EQUIPMENT & PARTS	3839
772	ELECTRICAL APPARATUS FOR CIRCUIT CONTROL	3831
773		3831
774		3832
775		3833
776	THERMIONIC ETC. VALVE TRANSISTORS ETC.	3832
778	ELECTRICAL MACHINERY & APPARATUS NES	3830
781	PASSENGER CAR MOTORS	3843
780		3844
702		38/3
794		38/3
704		3844
705		38/3
700		3842
700		3845
702		3841
190		2000
012	FLOMBING, HEATING, & LIGHTING FIATS/FITS.	2203
013		3320
021		3320
831	OUTED CADMENTS, MENIS & SIMILAR ARTICLES	3909
842	OUTER GARMENTS, MEN 5 & BOY 5	3220
843	OUTER GARMENTS, WOMEN'S & GIRL'S	3220
844		3220
845	OUTER GARMENTS, KNITTED, CROCHETED	3213
846	UNDER GARMENTS, KNITTED, CROCHETED	3213
848	APPAREL OF NON-TEXTILE MATERIALS	3909
851		3240
871	OPTICAL INSTRUMENTS & APPARATUS	3852

872	MEDICAL INSRUMENTS & APPLIANCES	3851
873	METERS & COUNTERS, NES	3851
874	MEASURING, ANALYSIS, CONTROLLING APPT NES	3851
881	PHOTOGRAPHIC APPT. & EQUIPMENT, NES	3852
882	PHOTOGRAPHIC & CINE SUPPLIES	3852
883	CINEMATOGRAPH FILM, EXP. & DEVELOPED	3852
884	OPTICAL GOODS, NES	3852
885	WATCHES & CLOCKS	3852
892	PRINTED MATTER	3420
893	ARTICLES, ARTIFICIAL PLASTIC MATERIALS	3560
894	PERAMBULATORS, TOYS GAMES, & SPORTS GOODS	3909
895	OFFICE SUPPLIES & STATIONERY NES	3909
896	ANTIQUES, COLLECTORS PIECES, WORKS OF ART	3909
897	JEWELLERY, G/SMITHS' & S/SMITHS' WARES	3909
898	MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS, PARTS & ACCESSORIES	3833
899	MANUFACTURED ARTICLES NES	3909
961	COIN, NOT GOLD COIN, NOT FOR LEGAL TENDER	0
971	GOLD	0

Source: CSO Lusaka. Description and Matching of SITC and ISIC codes made by the author.

# Appendix E: Intra-industry trade in some selected PTA/COMESA countries

Zimbabwe	Angola	Zimbabwe	Kenya	Zimbabwe	Lesotho	Zimbabwe	Malawi
SITC	IIT	SITC	IIT	sitc	IIT	sitc	IIT
o12	21.6	o22	0.02	611	84.8	001	4.0
025	0.02	112	8.9	621	17.8	047	8.0
o54	0.5	278	72.5	699	3.1	054	40.0
o75	11.3	292	94.6	724	11.9	057	20.8
898	11.8	541	0.2	784	96.4	058	93.7
Zimbabwe	Mozambque	542	8.33	851	0.6	o61	1.2
SITC	пт	551	0.8	892	62.4	o74	88.0
001	0.2	553	96.4	931	22.2	o75	13.0
o12	7.6	582	48.5			o98	0.3
o25	2.5	591	69.7			112	19.1
o34	4.6	598	38.0			121	7.5
o35	0.1	621	0.01			122	0.9
o37	0.13	641	11.8			288	37.0
o42	44.4	642	0.2			292	0.01
046	0.5	652	54.3			334	0.33
o47	2.2	658	1.5			512	0.27
o48	1.3	673	27.9			516	59.5
054	1.0	695	0.5			522	26.7
o59	4.4	696	0.1			523	10.4
o91	0.1	697	15.7			531	35.1
o98	13.3	699	11.64			541	0.02
111	0.16	721	68.0			542	3.34
112	1.02	741	24.6			551	4.3
121	75.2	743	1.5			553	5.0
122	0.02	745	48.3			554	10.5
247	10.3	747	93.1			571	5.0
248	16.0	775	28.3			573	98.0
269	7.6	781	46.8			575	0.8
273	1.0	785	59.7			581	33.3
278	5.4	821	55.9			591	0.5
292	53.3	831	8.1			592	0.1
411	13.6	841	83.9			598	58.2
431	11.1	851	42.8			611	80,0
541	0.04	872	0.93			621	33.8
542	0.6	892	7.4			625	0.01
554	4.5	893	20.5			629	3.5

# Table E1: Zimbabwe's intra-industry trade with other PTA countries

Table E1: continued...

571	02	894	10		635	15.2
625	22.2	898	67.5		641	1 1
629	6.0	899	21 7		642	12.2
641	14	931	76.0		651	10.2
642	7.6	501	70.0		652	46.0
652	29				654	40.0
658	0.4				657	40.9
661	0.4				661	55.9
661	0.0				001	0.02
663	0.0				001	0.02
665	12.2				665	0.5
603	12.2 56 A				673	17.9
093	30.4 9.7				679	2.7
606	3.7				694	0.7
090	4.5				695	0.4
697	0.6				697	14.6
599	0.01				699	0.01
710	17.8				713	6.8
721	4.8				721	0.1
723	62.7				724	8.4
724	10.7				727	1.5
728	87.1				733	5.1
731	3.2				741	0.1
742	0.7				743	99.2
743	48.9				744	46.3
748	1.6				747	0.6
749	0.3				751	19.1
751	98.4				752	92.8
761	42.2				759	0.5
762	6.97				762	47.8
763	14.6				772	5.1
764	54.7				775	1.3
775	1.9				778	0.01
778	15.4				781	14.1
782	11.8				782	0.1
784	3.3				784	1.8
785	25.01				785	14.8
791	54.7				821	31.2
793	21.1				841	1.6
841	0.9				843	0.1
842	15.0				846	55.5
843	1.3				848	39.3
844	17.0				851	1.4
845	81.2				885	9.2
851	1.9				892	18.5
873	71.2				894	0.7
874	93.1				898	67.7
885	24.3				899	5.5
892	92.8 E	thiopia	Burundi		931	20.8
893	1.31	696	31.9 sitc			_5.0
895	83.3	764	25.2 892	10.8		
898	83.1	892	16.3			
899	0.7	931	98.0			
931	6.7					

oo1         63.6         code         IIT         Code         0.12         35.8           037         1.3         931         5.7         oo1         0.9         o56         32.6           048         0.01         0.13         o57         11.6         o57         15.8           056         4.8         4.7772         112         8.7         112         2.6           059         0.03         Zimbabw         Swaziand         721         1.7         591         15.1           061         1.1         code         IIT         741         12.6         629         3.71           058         0.01         292         49.3         785         2.9         642         0.28           111         3.3         335         0.9         892         8.8         62         25.2           12         1.5         542         0.2         898         2.0         2.8         0.1           334         10.9         658         2.5         699         1.9         874         16.1           352         29.5         678         46.4         762         8.7         892         70.1	Zimbabwe	Zambia	Zimbabwe	Sudan	Zimbabwe	Namibia	Zimbabwe	Mauritius
037       1.3       931       5.7       oo1       0.9       o56       326         048       0.01       0.13       o57       11.6       o57       15.8         056       4.8       4.7772       112       8.7       112       2.6         059       0.03       Zimbabw       Swaziland       721       1.7       591       15.1         061       1.1       code       IIT       741       12.6       629       3.71         098       0.01       292       49.3       785       2.9       642       0.28         111       3.3       335       0.9       892       8.8       652       25.2         112       1.5       542       0.2       898       2.0       696       0.3         248       38.8       598       7.3       931       10.4       723       10.1         321       21.1       652       5.4       591       0.2       778       0.02         334       10.9       658       2.5       699       1.9       874       16.1         352       0.7       654       6.4       762       8.7       892       70.1 </td <td>001</td> <td>63.6</td> <td>code</td> <td>ΙΙТ</td> <td>Code</td> <td></td> <td>o12</td> <td>35.8</td>	001	63.6	code	ΙΙТ	Code		o12	35.8
o480.010.13o5711.6o5715.8o564.84.77721128.71122.6o5853.03.528264119.529239.1o590.03ZimbabwSwaziland7211.759115.1o611.1codeIIT74112.66293.71o680.0129249.37852.96420.281113.33350.98928.865225.21121.55420.28982.06960.324838.85987.393110.472310.127866.06290.1ZimbabwTarzania77111.028121.16525.45910.47780.0233410.96582.56991.987416.135529.567846.47628.789270.153316.97420.166.861.15553126.269912.693114.293151.653316.97420.155555691.454110.47432.8545454545510.47591.7534.017757.95540.017780.91.42.91.4545527.7892	o37	1.3	931	5.7	001	0.9	o56	32.6
0664.84.77721128.71122.6 $058$ 53.03.528264119.529239.1 $059$ 0.03ZimbabwSwaziland7211.759115.1 $061$ 1.1codeIIT74112.66293.71 $098$ 0.0129249.37852.96420.28 $111$ 3.33350.98928.865225.2 $112$ 1.55420.28982.06960.324838.85987.393110.472310.127666.06290.1ZimbabwTanzania77111.032121.16525.45910.27780.0233410.96582.56991.987416.133529.567846.47628.789270.14116.269320.38510.689361.5520.769424.58920.58980.0553126.26991.987416.154271.074784.55510.47591.75540.017780.151.6535454545610.47591.7554545454545620.17780.154545454 <t< td=""><td>o48</td><td>0.01</td><td></td><td>0.13</td><td>o57</td><td>11.6</td><td>o57</td><td>15.8</td></t<>	o48	0.01		0.13	o57	11.6	o57	15.8
058       53.0       35282       641       19.5       292       39.1         059       0.03       Zimbabw       Swaziland       721       1.7       591       15.1         061       1.1       code       IIT       741       12.6       629       3.71         098       0.01       292       49.3       785       2.9       642       0.28         111       3.3       335       0.9       892       8.8       652       25.2         112       1.5       542       0.2       898       2.0       666       0.3         248       38.8       598       7.3       931       10.4       723       10.1         327       21.1       652       5.4       591       0.2       778       0.02         334       10.9       658       2.5       699       1.9       874       16.1         522       0.7       694       24.5       892       0.5       898       0.05         531       26.2       693       20.3       851       0.6       893       51.6         542       0.1       778       84.5       55       551       0.4	o56	4.8		4.7772	112	8.7	112	2.6
059       0.03       Zimbabw       Swaziland       721       1.7       591       15.1         061       1.1       code       IIT       741       12.6       629       3.71         098       0.01       292       49.3       785       2.9       642       0.28         111       3.3       335       0.9       892       8.8       652       25.2         112       1.5       542       0.2       898       2.0       696       0.3         248       38.8       598       7.3       931       10.4       723       10.1         278       66.0       629       0.1       Zimbabw       Tanzania       771       10.02         334       10.9       658       2.5       699       1.9       874       16.1         335       29.5       678       46.4       762       8.7       892       70.1         411       6.2       699       12.6       931       14.2       931       51.6         531       26.2       699       12.6       931       14.2       931       51.6         533       16.9       742       0.1       54	o58	53.0		3.5282	641	19.5	292	39.1
0611.1 $code$ $  T$ $741$ $12.6$ $629$ $3.71$ $098$ $0.01$ $292$ $49.3$ $785$ $2.9$ $642$ $0.28$ $111$ $3.3$ $335$ $0.9$ $892$ $8.8$ $652$ $252$ $112$ $1.5$ $542$ $0.2$ $898$ $2.0$ $696$ $0.3$ $248$ $38.8$ $598$ $7.3$ $931$ $10.4$ $723$ $10.1$ $278$ $66.0$ $629$ $0.1$ $Zimbabw$ $Tanzania$ $771$ $11.0$ $321$ $21.1$ $652$ $5.4$ $591$ $0.2$ $778$ $0.02$ $334$ $10.9$ $658$ $2.5$ $699$ $1.9$ $874$ $16.1$ $325$ $29.5$ $678$ $46.4$ $762$ $892$ $70.1$ $411$ $6.2$ $693$ $20.3$ $851$ $0.6$ $893$ $61.1$ $522$ $0.7$ $694$ $24.5$ $892$ $0.5$ $898$ $0.05$ $531$ $26.2$ $699$ $1.2$ $891$ $14.2$ $931$ $51.6$ $533$ $16.9$ $742$ $0.1$ $554$ $0.01$ $778$ $1.5$ $542$ $71.0$ $747$ $84.5$ $554$ $0.1$ $757$ $7.9$ $554$ $0.01$ $778$ $0.1$ $556$ $24.3$ $898$ $0.27$ $642$ $2.6$ $18.7$ $492$ $18.7$ $492$ $18.7$ $652$ $21.1$ $893$ $0.9$ $656$ $166$ <td>o59</td> <td>0.03</td> <td>Zimbabw</td> <td>Swaziland</td> <td>721</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>591</td> <td>15.1</td>	o59	0.03	Zimbabw	Swaziland	721	1.7	591	15.1
098       0.01       292       49.3       785       2.9       642       0.28         111       3.3       335       0.9       892       8.8       652       25.2         112       1.5       542       0.2       898       2.0       666       0.3         248       38.8       598       7.3       931       10.4       723       10.1         278       66.0       629       0.1       Zimbabw       Tanzania       771       10.02         334       10.9       658       2.5       699       1.9       874       16.1         335       29.5       678       46.4       762       8.7       892       70.1         522       0.7       694       24.5       892       0.5       898       0.05         533       16.9       742       0.1       51.6       533       16.9       742       1.6         541       10.4       743       2.8       54       71.0       747       84.5         551       0.4       775       17.9       55       4.01       775       17.9         552       73.7       892       21.8       62 </td <td>o61</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>code</td> <td>IIT</td> <td>741</td> <td>12.6</td> <td>629</td> <td>3.71</td>	o61	1.1	code	IIT	741	12.6	629	3.71
111       3.3       335       0.9       892       8.8       652       25.2         112       1.5       542       0.2       898       2.0       696       0.3         248       38.8       598       7.3       931       10.4       723       10.1         278       66.0       629       0.1       Zimbabw       Tanzania       771       11.0         321       21.1       652       5.4       591       0.2       778       0.02         334       10.9       658       2.5.       699       1.9       874       16.1         335       29.5       678       46.4       762       8.7       892       70.1         411       6.2       693       20.3       851       0.6       893       61.1         522       0.7       694       24.5       892       0.5       898       0.05         531       26.2       699       12.6       931       14.2       931       51.6         541       10.4       759       1.7       553       4.01       77.9       51.6         554       0.4       759       1.7       554       551<	o98	0.01	292	49.3	785	2.9	642	0.28
1121.55420.28982.06960.324838.85987.393110.472310.1327866.06290.1ZimbabwTanzania77111.032121.16525.45910.27780.0233410.96582.56991.987416.133529.567846.47628.789270.14116.269320.38510.689361.15220.769424.58920.58980.0553126.269912.693114.293151.653316.97420.154110.47432.854271.074784.5551 $0.4$ 7591.75540.017760.158124.97841.058124.97841.05822.18930.963524.38960.2764111.293118.76423.66121.3652640.265381.36520.165381.365462.16566465617.3652640.2656640.265617.3652640.26566640.265617.365617.656642.2 <td>111</td> <td>3.3</td> <td>335</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>892</td> <td>8.8</td> <td>652</td> <td>25.2</td>	111	3.3	335	0.9	892	8.8	652	25.2
248       38.8       598       7.3       931       10.4       723       10.1         278       66.0       629       0.1       Zimbabw       Tanzania       771       11.0         278       66.0       629       0.1       Zimbabw       Tanzania       771       11.0         321       21.1       652       5.4       591       0.2       778       0.02         334       10.9       658       2.5       699       1.9       874       16.1         335       29.5       678       46.4       762       8.7       892       70.1         411       6.2       693       20.3       851       0.6       893       61.1         522       0.7       694       24.5       892       0.5       898       0.05         533       16.9       742       0.1       54       10.4       743       2.8       54       51       0.4       759       1.7       55       53       4.01       776       0.1       55       55       53       4.01       776       1.1       55       55       53       4.01       776       1.1       55       55       55	112	1.5	542	0.2	898	2.0	696	0.3
278       66.0       629       0.1       Zimbabw       Tanzania       771       11.0         321       21.1       652       5.4       591       0.2       778       0.02         334       10.9       658       2.5       699       1.9       874       16.1         335       29.5       678       46.4       762       8.7       892       70.1         411       6.2       693       20.3       851       0.6       893       61.1         522       0.7       694       24.5       892       0.5       898       0.05         533       16.9       742       0.1       14.2       931       51.6         533       16.9       742       0.1       51       51       0.4       759       1.7         551       0.4       759       1.7       55       51       0.4       759       1.7         554       0.01       778       0.1       55       56       56       56         552       2.1       845       11.3       59       5       56       56         625       73.7       892       21.8       5       5 <td>248</td> <td>38.8</td> <td>598</td> <td>7.3</td> <td>931</td> <td>10.4</td> <td>723</td> <td>10.1</td>	248	38.8	598	7.3	931	10.4	723	10.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	278	66.0	629	0.1	Zimbabw	Tanzania	771	11.0
334       10.9       658       2.5       699       1.9       874       16.1         335       29.5       678       46.4       762       8.7       892       70.1         411       6.2       693       20.3       851       0.6       893       61.1         522       0.7       694       24.5       892       0.5       898       0.05         531       26.2       699       12.6       931       14.2       931       51.6         533       16.9       742       0.1       743       2.8       542       71.0       747       84.5       551       0.4       759       1.7       553       4.01       778       0.1       581       24.9       784       1.0       582       2.1       845       11.3       592       4.1       874       68.2       625       73.7       892       21.8       629       12.1       893       0.9       635       24.3       898       0.27       641       11.2       931       18.7       642       3.6       651       21.3       652       0.1       653       653       654       62.1       655       654       62.1       655	321	21.1	652	5.4	591	0.2	778	0.02
335       29.5       678       46.4       762       8.7       892       70.1         411       6.2       693       20.3       851       0.6       893       61.1         522       0.7       694       24.5       892       0.5       898       0.05         531       26.2       699       12.6       931       14.2       931       51.6         533       16.9       742       0.1       541       10.4       743       2.8       542       71.0       747       84.5       551       0.4       759       1.7       553       4.01       775       17.9       554       0.01       778       0.1       582       2.1       845       11.3       592       4.1       874       68.2       625       73.7       892       21.8       629       12.1       893       0.9       635       24.3       898       0.27       641       11.2       931       18.7       642       3.6       651       21.3       652       61       26.5       62       61       65       65       64       62.2       65       64       65       65       65       64       65       65       66 </td <td>334</td> <td>10.9</td> <td>658</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>699</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>874</td> <td>16.1</td>	334	10.9	658	2.5	699	1.9	874	16.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	335	29.5	678	46.4	762	8.7	892	70.1
522       0.7       694       24.5       892       0.5       898       0.05         531       26.2       699       12.6       931       14.2       931       51.6         533       16.9       742       0.1       743       2.8       541       10.4       743       2.8         542       71.0       747       84.5       551       0.4       759       1.7         553       4.01       775       17.9       553       0.1       582       2.1       845       11.3         592       4.1       874       68.2       625       73.7       892       21.8       629       12.1       893       0.9       635       24.3       898       0.27       641       11.2       931       18.7       642       3.6       651       21.3       652       0.1       653       81.3       654       62.1       655       664       62.2       655       664       62.2       655       624       62.1       655       664       62.2       665       62.4       655       62.4       655       62.4       656       62.4       656       62.4       656       62.4       656       62.4<	411	6.2	693	20.3	851	0.6	893	61.1
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	522	0.7	694	24.5	892	0.5	898	0.05
$            533 16.9 742 0.1 \\            541 10.4 743 2.8 \\            542 71.0 747 84.5 \\            553 4.01 775 17.9 \\            554 0.01 778 0.1 \\            581 24.9 784 1.0 \\            582 2.1 845 11.3 \\            592 4.1 874 68.2 \\            625 73.7 892 21.8 \\            629 12.1 893 0.9 \\            635 24.3 898 0.27 \\            641 11.2 931 18.7 \\            642 3.6 \\            651 21.3 \\            652 0.1 \\            653 81.3 \\            654 62.1 \\            656 17.3 \\            658 8.7 \\            664 0.2 \\            655 12.0 \\            664 2.2 \\            655 12.0 \\            664 2.2 \\            653 12.0 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            665 12.0 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            664 3.7 \\            665 12.0 \\            666 1.7 \\            684 3.7 \\            685 3.2 \\            685 3.2 \\            692 3.2 \\            693 3.2 \\            695 3.2 1 \\            $	531	26.2	699	12.6	931	14.2	931	51.6
541 $10.4$ $743$ $2.8$ $542$ $71.0$ $747$ $84.5$ $551$ $0.4$ $759$ $1.7$ $553$ $4.01$ $775$ $17.9$ $554$ $0.01$ $778$ $0.1$ $581$ $24.9$ $784$ $1.0$ $582$ $2.1$ $845$ $11.3$ $592$ $4.1$ $874$ $68.2$ $625$ $73.7$ $892$ $21.8$ $629$ $12.1$ $893$ $0.9$ $635$ $24.3$ $898$ $0.27$ $641$ $11.2$ $931$ $18.7$ $642$ $3.6$ $651$ $21.3$ $652$ $0.1$ $653$ $81.3$ $654$ $62.1$ $656$ $17.3$ $658$ $8.7$ $661$ $26.5$ $664$ $0.2$ $665$ $0.2$ $679$ $7.6$ $684$ $22.2$ $685$ $12.0$ $686$ $1.7$ $692$ $12.9$ $693$ $2.0$ $694$ $3.7$ $695$ $2.1$	533	16.9	742	0.1				
542 $71.0$ $747$ $84.5$ $551$ $0.4$ $759$ $1.7$ $553$ $4.01$ $775$ $17.9$ $554$ $0.01$ $778$ $0.1$ $581$ $24.9$ $784$ $1.0$ $582$ $2.1$ $845$ $11.3$ $592$ $4.1$ $874$ $68.2$ $625$ $73.7$ $892$ $21.8$ $629$ $12.1$ $893$ $0.9$ $635$ $24.3$ $898$ $0.27$ $641$ $11.2$ $931$ $18.7$ $642$ $3.6$ $651$ $21.3$ $652$ $0.1$ $656$ $17.3$ $654$ $62.1$ $656$ $17.3$ $658$ $8.7$ $661$ $26.5$ $664$ $0.2$ $685$ $12.0$ $684$ $22.2$ $685$ $12.0$ $686$ $1.7$ $692$ $12.9$ $693$ $2.0$ $694$ $3.7$	541	10.4	743	2.8				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	542	71.0	747	84.5				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	551	0.4	759	1.7				
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	553	4.01	775	17.9				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	554	0.01	778	0.1				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	581	24.9	784	1.0				
5924.1 $874$ $68.2$ $625$ $73.7$ $892$ $21.8$ $629$ $12.1$ $893$ $0.9$ $635$ $24.3$ $898$ $0.27$ $641$ $11.2$ $931$ $18.7$ $642$ $3.6$ $651$ $21.3$ $652$ $0.1$ $653$ $81.3$ $654$ $62.1$ $656$ $17.3$ $658$ $8.7$ $661$ $26.5$ $664$ $0.2$ $665$ $0.2$ $679$ $7.6$ $684$ $22.2$ $685$ $12.0$ $686$ $1.7$ $692$ $12.9$ $693$ $2.0$ $694$ $3.7$ $695$ $2.1$	582	2.1	845	11.3				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	592	4.1	874	68.2				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	625	73.7	892	21.8				
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	629	12.1	893	0.9				
	635	24.3	898	0.27				
	641	11.2	931	18.7				
651 $21.3$ $652$ $0.1$ $653$ $81.3$ $654$ $62.1$ $656$ $17.3$ $658$ $8.7$ $661$ $26.5$ $664$ $0.2$ $665$ $0.2$ $679$ $7.6$ $684$ $22.2$ $685$ $12.0$ $686$ $1.7$ $692$ $12.9$ $693$ $2.0$ $694$ $3.7$ $695$ $2.1$	642	3.6						
652       0.1 $653$ $81.3$ $654$ $62.1$ $656$ $17.3$ $658$ $8.7$ $661$ $26.5$ $664$ $0.2$ $665$ $0.2$ $679$ $7.6$ $684$ $22.2$ $685$ $12.0$ $686$ $1.7$ $692$ $12.9$ $693$ $2.0$ $694$ $3.7$ $695$ $2.1$	651	21.3						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	652	0.1						
654 $62.1$ $656$ $17.3$ $658$ $8.7$ $661$ $26.5$ $664$ $0.2$ $665$ $0.2$ $679$ $7.6$ $684$ $22.2$ $685$ $12.0$ $686$ $1.7$ $692$ $12.9$ $693$ $2.0$ $694$ $3.7$ $695$ $2.1$	653	81.3						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	004	02.1 17.0						
635       6.7         661       26.5         664       0.2         665       0.2         679       7.6         684       22.2         685       12.0         686       1.7         692       12.9         693       2.0         694       3.7         695       2.1	659	17.J 9.7						
661       20.5         664       0.2         665       0.2         679       7.6         684       22.2         685       12.0         686       1.7         692       12.9         693       2.0         694       3.7         695       2.1	661	0.7						
604       0.2         665       0.2         679       7.6         684       22.2         685       12.0         686       1.7         692       12.9         693       2.0         694       3.7         695       2.1	664	20.5						
605       0.2         679       7.6         684       22.2         685       12.0         686       1.7         692       12.9         693       2.0         694       3.7         695       2.1	665	0.2						
679       7.6         684       22.2         685       12.0         686       1.7         692       12.9         693       2.0         694       3.7         695       2.1	670	0.2						
685     12.0       686     1.7       692     12.9       693     2.0       694     3.7       695     2.1	694	7.0 22.2						
685       1.7         692       12.9         693       2.0         694       3.7         695       2.1	695	100						
692 12.9 693 2.0 694 3.7 695 2.1	686	17						
693 2.0 694 3.7 695 2.1	602	120						
694 3.7 695 2.1	693	20						
695 2.1	694	37						
	695	2.1						

Table E2: Zimbabwe with other COMESA countries

699	29		
716	60.6		
723	36.6		
724	28.8		
727	29.3		
728	25		
731	26.5		
737	0.30		
741	13		
743	3.7		
744	11 Q		
749	25		
752	60.2		
761	41 7		
762	41.7 60.1		
762	79.0		
763	70.9		
704	0.2		
770	0.0		
775	0.3		
770	1.04		
791	9.02		
701	20.02		
782	29.90		
703	0.7		
704	22.4		
796	51.6		
700 011	12		
010	1.3		
010	3.Z 2.1		
842	49.6		
843	40.0 Q 1		
844	3.1 4.8		
851	4.0 0.04		
874	35.3		
882	77 4		
891	79.5		
892	27		
893	17.7		
896	0.5		
898	37.0		
899	1 01		
033 Q21	40.5		
501	-0.0		

# Table E3: Zambia's intra-industry trade

979		1981		1987		1988		1990		1991		19	992	1993	1993
Code	IIT	Code	IIT	code	IIT	Code	ИТ	code		Code	IIT		IIT	code	Ma
98	3.49	075	0.001	001	0.35	011	16.93	001	0.11	047	0.54	062	0.07	522	64.20
44	45.39	291	11.45	024	0.22	211	2.34	071	1.68	061	0.01	098	27.85	625	5.17
22	63.42	332	43.46	054	0.94	222	10.43	533	1.85	071	43.15	121	0.05	642	8.70
23	22.46	514	66.04	<b>o</b> 71	17.25	291	82.78	541	60.61	593	1.03	248	6.71	731	57.69
41	66.67	599	61.67	o46	53.49	522	0.05	628	15	625	40.08	263	22.92	792	3.57
92	39.79	629	72.92	291	1.56	533	4.78	641	0.37	661	0.65	266	3.15	899	46.04
95	0.62	641	81.16	334	1.69	541	31.53	642	32.86	682	0.001	292	1.92		
99	70.00	657	7.65	533	11.08	551	1.56	651	17.66	684	2.44	351	0.23		
21	2.34	674	97.60	541	44.13	572	0.08	652	25.41	716	24	421	0.33		
78	0.05	692	20.35	634	76.44	582	28.36	657	39.76	724	88.89	524	0.20		
51	28.21	821	54.40	641	16.27	598	45.44	658	14.74	741	8.07	532	0.11		
		892	2.33	651	0.88	628	61.90	661	4.71	775	10.16	575	25.25		
				652	4.75	641	2.14	666	1.93	778	23.41	591	20.32		
				657	10.94	642	6.66	684	20.00	782	62.66	593	0.04		
				665	3.55	651	0.19	685	6.14	784	27.30	625	0.99		
				674	11.55	652	0.74	693	84.03	843	4.26	652	0.30		
				695	17. <b>68</b>	658	22.19	695	13.84	851	58.10	661	25.95		
				699	20.45	666	9.23	697	22			682	0.03		
				724	56.31	674	71.52	699	76.73			692	5.14		
				744	61.32	691	23.08	723	1.07			693	10.74		
				749	42.86	695	44.85	749	13.41			724	3.69		
				762	70.32	699	26.26	764	85.06			742	70.75		
				763	13.58	725	22.11	778	50.51			752	1.59		
				775	25.40	741	3.13	784	62.63			763	5.68		
				778	41.01	749	27.74	785	5.37			764	84.62		
				784	97.00	751	93.70	821	7.05			775	5.31		
				786	50.67	764	14.68	842	5.03			785	31.47		
				843	3.49	775	12.85	843	10.93			821	2.46		
				851	5.15	778	91.77	885	13.33			843	63.63		
				855	64.57	784	42.58	894	62.5			848	3.91		
				874	18.52	785	65.35	895	44.07			898	0.996		
				892	43.44	843	62.56	899	5.26						
				893	10.43	848	0.30								
				896	79.52	851	0.44								
				899	17.76	874	11.69								
						892	0.03								
						895	12.07								
						808	22.00								

# (a) Zambia intra-industry trade with Tanzania

(b): Zambia's Intra-industry trade with Zimbabwe

code	1987	code	1988	code	1990	code	1991	code	1992	code	1993
291	66.07	044	0.22	001	1,53	o22	1.27	o22	0.49	o54	81.23
057	88.33	054	4.69	061	40.56	o34	92.7	o35	28.12	o57	0,70
044	1.44	057	4.58	071	2.82	044	42.03	047	6.14	061	0.50
045	2.68	061	2.69	121	46.77	056	26.96	054	97.14	072	76.04
222	25.86	075	19.08	211	66.3	061	3.43	058	63.14	074	11.08
061	97 59	121	10.04	222	18 35	062	17.35	061	7.14	081	8.45
081	45.39	222	3.95	248	28 42	121	1 48	074	16.96	091	0.12
121	6 18	247	27.63	269	9.23	222	0.13	081	94 52	098	0.01
273	62.07	234	16.05	278	7.33	248	79.5	098	29	121	14 42
278	704	335	30.23	288	41.85	265	30.77	112	9.6	222	0.20
270	10.4	/31	0.42	200	73.06	266	92.68	121	1.02	278	9.22
334	50	522	3.03	322	13.28	278	31.27	122	70.65	522	84 19
500	74.55	541	55.00	335	21 74	202	0.16	211	0.41	542	16 01
510	74.00	551	0.02	511	16 10	202	2.52	211	0.41	554	0.005
512	9.2	551	1.03	500	70.10	520	02.02	222	2.04	624	12.04
555	10.4	222	1.0	522	/9.20	522	93.30	240	2.09	641	1 02
504	0.01	554	0.01	535	0.1	04Z	27.00	200	5.20	650	60.00
592	9.03	5/2	0.13	541	23.14	5/4	5.22	209	0.52	052	00.00
598	20.64	592	4.54	553	54.31	011	0.21	2/4	0.04	000	0.20
582	11.05	625	60.55	583	1.0	025	04.58	2/8	01.92	007	50.15
625	24.31	635	44.58	625	49.33	634	18.64	291	21.05	001	52.44
848	0.52	651	23.42	634	/1.88	651	13.7	334	59.02	6/9	0.02
634	45.5	652	92.65	635	62.7	652	97.89	335	36.58	682	39.20
641	0.16	657	45.69	651	45.94	656	52.88	411	23.26	686	11.34
892	21.07	664	0.02	652	38.76	658	8.52	421	56.33	778	46.25
651	27.25	674	0	653	4.68	661	22.99	431	0.05	784	46.58
652	4.63	682	16.79	657	0.05	665	4.18	522	19.95	872	8.39
657	38.57	693	2.69	661	48.9	682	63.63	523	35.58	893	0.41
843	8.92	695	24.26	682	0.79	685	19.5	553	13.06	898	0.62
658	0.31	697	36.04	684	90.14	686	0.68	592	3.91		
851	0.21	699	35.31	685	12.91	699	2.18	593	87.26		
661	0.03	721	0.02	691	32.9	728	0.01	634	0.28		
665	0.03	728	5.97	692	0.03	745	0.03	651	63.13		
693	0.97	755	0.09	693	5.13	773	15.02	652	0.2		
607	0.71	759	56.76	699	15.16	781	37.55	654	7.06		
682	0.39	764	51.77	716	0.58	783	1.44	657	20.57		
692	0.05	771	6.3	726	1.47	784	48.38	661	2.37		
697	0.21	773	56.63	741	1.31	786	0.01	665	50.52		
699	87.54	775	0.43	743	16.92	884	36.65	685	62.78		
695	12.05	778	17.87	774	19.71	892	0.58	686	0.02		
699	0.39	782	40.83	745	0.03	893	1.41	692	0.3		
713	70.1	786	0.08	749	0.09	897	70.59	694	0.05		
743	2.72	791	35.37	755	0.02	898	5.93	695	0.08		
741	0.86	792	27.11	759	54.85			696	19.13		
745	0.01	821	0.1	762	10.13			699	4.35		
723	9.32	842	0.05	764	6.53			737	0.17		
727	6.79	843	10.17	781	5.8			761	4.64		
724	71.14	846	0.09	782	0.13			778	8.01		
728	8.25	851	0.02	784	94.3			781	56.39		
749	0.08	892	0.09	792	35.71			783	27.43		
778	7.11	894	0.02	821	0.19			784	30.03		
783	2.04	895	1.29	842	2.4			785	52.47		
785	9.3	899	24.27	892	0.84			841	59.35		
881	1.56			893	0.11			842	4.07		
898	54.92			898	91.43			874	35.22		
821	0.08			899	3.42			881	88.57		
899	4.76			931	1.4			892	0.6		
894	1.22							893	9.58		
								899	76.54		

Code	1979	code	1987	code	1988	code	1990	code	1991	code	1992	code	9 1993
048	23.53	054	0.19	001	7.31	001	0.13	074	0.03	022	3.23	001	4.83
081	0.43	101	0.84	003	3.87	111	2.19	121	2.34	054	02.5	121	0.29
090	90.4	541	92.5	225	02.9	112	23.4 77 <i>1</i>	222	0.03	111	723	278	75.2
635	0.60	625	45.8	803	22.9	121	7.4	202	42 1	112	874	522	70.8
6/1	0.00	634	30.1	625	21.3	222	1 30	501	3.64	121	14 1	781	5 42
665	297	892	3.32	641	0.24	292	1.00	592	32.5	222	0.01	701	0.42
699	2.86	652	17.2	892	13.4	523	3 11	651	0.16	278	61.2		
778	27.7	657	28.0	663	3.76	641	1.25	658	52.2	292	63.9		
791	3.46	843	8 98	673	0.02	652	38.8	661	0.05	421	0.81		
848	44.8	851	72.8	695	0.44	665	11	663	0.72	542	61.8		
892	1.53	666	16.3	764	12.6	699	3 18	665	2.4	553	76.7		
		694	0.16	778	0.47	741	71.1	682	0.14	591	76.5		
		699	98.9	786	11.2	762	9.52	684	35.1	635	62.2		
		695	5.24	821	3.8	778	15.9	699	9.12	642	0.72		
		745	9.2			782	1.29	892	74.1	651	1.59		
		778	99.5			785	0.57	898	0.08	661	0.3		
		784	17.7			851	1.71	899	8.6	663	0.44		
						892	24.9			682	0.03		
										724	53.3		
										728	66.6		
										764	36.8		
										773	23.0		
										778	38.7		
										781	95.3		
										782	47.9		
										784	0.97		
										785	0.43		
										821	1.21		
										841	20.3		
										843	24.2		

(c): Zambia's intra-industry trade with Malawi

## (d): Zambia's intra-industry trade with Kenya

Code	1979	Code	1987	Code	1988	Code	1990	Code	1991	Code	1992 Code 1993
211 771	11.6 3.62	523 625	50.2 14.6	892 665	97.4 92.6	532 749	40.6 2.12	022 292	21.1 8.74	591 625	24.0 676 29.5 19.1
778	2.91	892	0.67			778	49.0	892	0.46	682	0.67
842	24.0	728	0.03			781	13.8			778	63.5
						842	16.4			781	64.3
						893	48.5			892	57.5

### (e): Zambia's intra-industry trade with Swaziland and Lesotho

Code	Swaziland 1988 IIT	Code	Lesotho 1990 IIT	
635	86.48	659	75.47	
892	1.14			
682	29.12			

## Table E4: Tanzania

# (a): Tanzania intra-industry trade with Kenya

Code	1975	Code	1981	Code	1989	Code	1991
001	14.14	o75	0.04	001	0.06	001	11.06
o11	57.06	631	26.74	o31	42.95	042	16.92
o13	0.74	632	4.63	052	16.55	051	0.003
031	0.10	641	0.02	054	70.16	052	10.39
051	10.45	655	25.83	075	92 79	053	38.34
054	63 17	684	70 73	081	21 54	061	95.28
055	19.54	698	1 10	112	43.75	075	38 53
061	2 40	892	0.05	267	62 78	081	16 54
071	0.06	803	4 71	276	3 44	211	3.04
074	22.46	000	4.71	202	21 20	221	34.83
074	22.40			401	17 22	221	09.00
075	24.71			421	17.00	242	8.22
001	12 54			431	44.97	242	0.22
101	0.002			002	0.00	201	1 66
121	0.003			011	1.00	201	1.00
243	29.74			629	1.00	291	10.71
203	0.02			031	9.77	292	10.20
267	51.63			632	47.68	521	84.25
275	89.90			641	78.16	541	0.02
2/6	9.62			656	33.32	629	63.23
282	37.44			665	0.01	631	50.79
291	7.58			666	0.05	632	19.02
292	19.74			678	0.32	641	62.15
332	0.006			697	0.24	642	20.27
422	39.07			719	2.88	651	1.63
431	11.84			722	38.56	655	59.36
513	67.51			725	0.57	656	1.25
514	7.15			729	0.75	665	23.86
533	3.04			732	1.03	679	4.05
541	0.23			733	0.33	692	7.15
553	52.10			831	21.84	697	3.72
554	99.13			841	86.93	722	65.76
581	12.91			892	0.89	732	99.91
599	78.32					812	11.03
611	13.64					821	11.52
612	21.78					861	0.17
621	15.57					892	0.14
629	99.69					899	0.81
631	93.13					931	27.8 <del>9</del>
632	23.82						
641	23.22						
642	0.23						
651	0.33						
652	4.75						
653	8.06						
655	74.91						
656	57.62						
657	7.17						
661	0.002						
663	36.71						
664	36.81						
665	0.03						
666	44.00						
673	23.18						
678	18.94						

#### Table E4: continued

Tanzania	anzania intra-industry trade with Kenya										
Code	1975	Code	1981	Code	1989	Code	1991				
684	10.61										
692	0.21										
694	0.07										
695	11.50										
697	77.56										
698	3.28										
712	51.79										
718	24.43										
719	0.77										
724	0.33										
729	38.12										
821	60.69										
831	11.47										
841	12.18										
851	42.93										
891	30.73										
892	5.33										
893	37.96										
895	0.19										
899	54.70										

# Tanzania intra-industry trade with Kenya

## (B): Tanzania intra-industry trade with Uganda, Burundi and Zambia

Tanzania	Uganda 1991	Tanzania	Burundi 1991	Tanzania	Zambia 1991	
Code	IIT	Code	IIT	Code		
599	45.36	697	25.79	284	2.30	
892	73.11			629	12.80	
Tanzania	Zimbabwe	1991		642	19.72	
732	47.64			678	0.06	
931	5.97			682	0.03	
				695	18.69	
				698	14.18	
				723	10.03	
				725	18.07	
				732	84.19	
				899	62.09	
	<u> </u>			931	54.64	

## Table E5: Kenya

#### (a): Kenya -Tanzania

	1983		1989		1990		1991		1992	
Sitc		sitc		sitc		sitc		sitc		
001	16 67	057	42.07	057	42.20	001	2 5 2	057	54.07	
001	80.20	007	43.97	007	42.29	001	2.52	037	08.16	
054	84 98	202	6.87	222	4 84	057	51 21	248	1.37	
057	27.01	421	7 22	248	74 56	081	39.50	292	2 22	
074	0.25	591	0.41	251	79 40	222	9 43	421	17.19	
081	17.18	629	62.37	292	17.20	292	17.43	581	0.08	
222	0.35	641	3.78	581	0.05	421	97 80	591	0.58	
591	0.91	523	65 58	591	0.61	523	11.02	621	0.12	
641	36.3	658	0.10	625	0.13	533	0.01	625	0.26	
658	1.22	679	1.96	629	34.90	581	0.05	629	5.40	
695	1.11	684	55.67	641	37.35	591	1.54	641	50.64	
		695	16.34	684	70.6	598	3.41	657	0.76	
		723	16.11	713	37.28	621	73.47	658	0.52	
		741	23.02	723	39.65	625	4.42	684	66.36	
		778	12.05	728	10.92	629	12.75	693	0.38	
		784	32.41	741	2.33	641	18.91	694	0.41	
		874	59.01	772	5.23	657	7.96	695	84.04	
		892	5.81	778	0.22	658	1.41	696	2.66	
				784	5.38	679	32.78	699	0.16	
				892	3.03	684	53.77	713	71.58	
						686	0.17	723	8.01	
						693	3.31	724	77.43	
						694	1.75	728	79.94	
						695	93.30	741	0.21	
						696	9,91	742	0.72	
						699	0.01	772	0.96	
						713	41.91	778	7.04	
						723	27.66	784	10.41	
						724	47.46	821	0.02	
						728	24.19	892	14.93	
						737	71.25			
						741	23.78			
						742	36.1			
						772	0.23			
						778	65.33			
						/81	28.91			
						/84	2.13			
						785	12.17			
						813	43.92			
						821	1.52			
						873	82.42			
						8/4	//.52			
						884	42.33			
						892	0.40			
						897	60.12			
						899	1.37			

1975 code	1975 IIT	1983 code	1983 IIT	1989 code	1989 iit
291	31.45	591	26.31	778	16.25
541	0.08	778	58.63	892	22.92
621	2.62	892	39.63		
629	41.90				
698	3.32				
719	0.01				
722	9.18				
892	7.46				

#### (b): Kenya Zambia intra-industry trade

## (c): Kenya-Uganda

1975 code	iit	1983 code	iit	1989 code	iit	1990 code	iit	1991 code	iit	1992 code	iit
044	0.12	242	70.74	081	12.60	081	40.24	074	49.14	075	1.83
051	31.89	263	4.16	248	9.38	263	0.01	075	0.96	081	4.88
054	91.53	621	3.42	522	0.28	892	14.91	081	43.93	542	0.45
075	45.84	661	0.77	533	95.24			222	12.65	598	0.96
121	82.26	764	81.42	621	0.71			248	6.31	695	<b>3.9</b> 7
243	23.50	778	2.26	661	0.49			263	0.18	821	4.54
421	1.33			676	2.10			542	1.48	892	0.17
513	70.53			692	2.03			695	43.95	898	0.51
533	0.61			693	2.54			727	39.24		
554	4.93			7 <b>5</b> 9	16.32			786	97.10		
621	8.69			772	17.98			821	0.15		
629	0.23			892	0.27			892	0.041		
632	0.34							898	0.08		
642	0.23										
651	94.68										
652	6.88										
656	0.78										
657	94.15										
662	3.82										
673	18.45										
678	4.31										
692	0.30										
841	66.69										
892	1.67										
893	5.57										
899	8.84										

1983		1989		1990		1991		1992		
code	iit	code	iit	code	iit	code	iit	code	iit	
										,
591	13.84	112	0.39	112	56.54	112	0.95	112	32.02	
742	99.27	278	17.24	542	94.78	278	51.60	278	87.38	
		542	32.50	591	0.07	522	3.38	542	77.60	
		554	26.75	641	7.19	533	0.54	591	90.50	
		575	9.34	695	11.48	542	6.13	641	29.87	
		591	38.89	697	6.93	591	89.99	695	49.31	
		641	0.24	743	0.22	641	16.62	699	65.38	
		699	4.86	778	0.03	642	1.16	778	14.74	
				893	80.32	658	9.42	893	3.88	
						695	1.82			
						697	29.41			
						699	15.58			
						713	6.22			
						742	64.77			
						743	3.78			
						744	7.79			
						775	2.97			
						778	0.01			
						893	32.83			
						894	12 55			

#### (d): Kenya-Zimbabwe

#### (e): Kenya intra-industry trade with some other countries

Ethiopia Code	1983	Comoros Code	1983	Sycheiles code	1983	Rwanda code	1983	Burundi code	1983	Burundi code	1989	Burundi code	1992
112 598	19.22 20.93	24	95.24	278	85.53	071 074	1.20 4.28	892	0.06	892	37.29	691	38.84
892	68.15					554	34.16						

## (f): Kenya's intra-industry trade with some other countries.

Somalia 1983 code 625 642 Sudan	0.43	Somalia 1989 code 642	a 1.08	Somalia 1991 code 625 786	87.20 3.05						
code 292 625 695 27.63	1983 IIT 28.68 0.86 60.12	Sudan code 292 695 775	1989 IIT 2.62 0.95 43.15	Sudan code 625	1990 IIT 7.25	Sudan code 625 742	1991 IIT 0.62 16.88	Sudan code o61 292 553	1992 IIT 0.24 0.68 28.21	Mauritius 1983 code 642 892	5 4.64
892	0.29							892	2.39		

	199 <sup>.</sup>	1	1990			1989			1988		
country	sitc		country	sitc		country	sitc		country	sitc	
Djibout	o23	13.30	Djibout	o57	0.01	Djibout	o61	0.27	Djibout	o54	0.36
Djibout	o54	0.15	Djibout	o61	2.52	Djibout	334	46.05	Djibout	o57	0.82
Djibout	o56	29.58	Djibout	o98	47.96	Djibout	659	16.77	Djibout	o61	0.28
Djibout	o57	1.17	Djibout	112	1.66	Djibout	663	4.29	Djibout	o98	1.12
Djibout	061	27.79	Djibout	334	7.36	Djibout	763	4.20	Djibout	112	0.22
Djibout	o75	0.49	Djibout	658	4.47	Djibout	785	1.17	Djibout	659	34.31
Djibout	112	5.04	Djibout	661	12.24	Djibout	821	10.99	Djibout	666	7.63
Djibout	334	9.16	Djibout	821	11.88	Djibout	844	6.90	Djibout	821	24.12
Djibout	652	75.27	Djibout	843	30.41	Djibout	847	34.06	Djibout	843	29.98
Djibout	699	0.58	Djibout	844	49.91	Kenya	269	0.15	Djibout	851	84.70
Djibout	821	6.54	Djibout	846	38.97	Kenya	659	60.37	Kenya	o54	4.28
Djibout	843	81.98	Kenya	045	0.08	Kenya	775	6.55	Kenya	659	3.69
Djibout	844	2.99	Kenya	o54	51.62	Kenya	821	71.01	Kenya	775	36.42
Djibout	892	0.51	Kenya	075	0.14	Kenya	843	57.46	Uganda	659	32.99
Kenya	112	17.57	Kenya	775	47.04	Kenya	851	0.99	Zambia	659	20.41
Kenya	775	28.13	Kenya	843	17.74	Zimbabwe	775	28.57			
Kenya	843	37.04	Kenya	844	64.40	Sudan	775	48.74			
Mauritius	775	81.45	Kenya	851	7.78	Tanzania	821	95.24			
Zimbabwo	Э	775	50.95	Keny	a892	0.85	Uganda	a 775	78.60		
			Zimbabwe	659	24.85	Zambia	659	51.32			
			Namibia	659	18.71	Zambia	775	28.79			
			Tanzania	775	24.38						

# Table E6: Ethiopia's intra-industry trade

# Table E7: Sudan's intra-industry trade.

		1988		1	989		
country	sitc			country	sitc		
Ethiopia	002		0.42	Kenya		732	27.70
•	o23		33.79	•		734	69.84
	o61		2.39	Ethiopia		734	25.46
	o75		7.00				
		851	14.78				
Kenya		718	64.61				
Ethiopia		734	4.11				

Table	E8:	Malawi	
-------	-----	--------	--

(a): Malawi intra-industry trade with Zimbabwe

Cade         1980         1981         1982         Cade         1983         Cade         1984         1985         1986         code         1987         1988         1989           oo         62.99         9.09         0.18         oo         1633         oo         22.71         0.02         0.01         0.02         0.01         0.22         0         0         0.53         0.22         0         0.01         5.38         0.22         0         0.01         5.33         0.22         0.01         5.31         0.01         5.32         0.01         5.313         0.01         5.33         0.2         5.84         -         -         0.01         5.313         0.01	(a). Ma		ioustry trad		Jabwe									
oc         62.99         9.09         0.18         oc         18.23         oc         22.71         0.02         0.01         oc         27.17         60.68         22.75           od         .         0.12         .         0.02         .         0.02         .         0.052         .         .         57.84           od         82.79         45.66         25.61         10         48.38         07         .         6.96         .         10         0.01         53.32         13.73           10         .         60.00         24.15         15         50.0         99         .         20.17         11         11.33         .         .         10         .         60.07         12.2         20.17         11         11.33         .         .         10.17         12.4         0.03         13.77         10.12         12.2         24.34         8.06         9.67         14.40         11         .         2.65         0.73         17         83.66         0.67         4.73           11         4.52         14.24         15.7         2.7         2.7         0.33         .         .         15.4           12	Code	1980	1981	1982	Code	1983	Code	1984	1985	1986	code	1987	1988	1989
01       .       92       28.35       03       22.95       01       .       02       .       .       06       .       .       .       .       06       .	00	62.99	9.09	0.18	00	16.93	00	23.71	0.02	0.01	00	27.17	60.68	23.73
03         .         0.01         .         08         5.38         02         5.84         .         .         .         06         .         .         64.22         09         0.01         53.12         19.70           06         0.61         1.66         0.62         11         1.71         08         55.25         55.82         6.73         10         0.01         53.92         19.70           10          60.00         24.15         15         5.00         09          20.19          15         0.07         80.69         9.67         84.76           11         42.05         7.01         90.26         17         59.72         12         2.20         567         1.03         18         55.6           1.54           15         57.19         31.77         11.55         19         85.6         4.05         23         1.42         15          1.54         1.65         20         9.67         7.33         1.34           16          1.51         33.03         1.42         15.61         1.53         2.96           2.96	01	•	9.23	26.35	03	22.95	01	-	0.22	-	01	0.52	-	-
9.69       3.21       0.08       0.9       0.24       0.3       0.7       -       4.22       0.9       0       9.01       63.18         0.9       0.61       1.69       0.62       11       1.71       0.8       5.52       5.52       6.96       -       10       0.01       5.52       5.52       6.73       17       13.05       9.67       11       1.93       -       -       -       0.07       12       0.17       12.84       0.93         11       2.25       7.01       90.26       17       5.97       10       -       2.65       7.01       17       12.84       8.08       9.67       12.22       0.67       10.3       17       15.80       9.67.42       73.99       73.41         15       -       16.07       20       15.42       73.92       73.93       21       0.02       0.07       4.35         18       7.5       85.6       40.5       23       1.421       13.14       12.44       6.8       22       7.07       2.98       7.30       24       0       0       0.09       23.22       21       0.02       0.07       4.35       3.33       2.0       2.6	03		0.01	-	08	5.38	02	5.84	-	•	<i>o</i> 6	•	-	58.87
e8         98         97         4566         25.51         10         66.00         25.11         11         17.11         08         55.25         55.82         67.3         11         1.33         -         -           10         -         60.00         24.15         15         50.00         09         -         -         0.07         12         0.17         12.44         0.93           11         42.05         7.01         90.26         17         59.72         10         -         26.15         7.03         18         55.6         -         -         15         0.10         20         15.42         15         -         8.73         -         19         -         -         15.44         15         -         8.73         -         19         -         -         15.44         15.2         16.65         10.02         0.07         4.35           10         7.5         85.6         40.5         23         1.42         15.73         20.95         7.93         24         0         0         0.09           22         2.1         1.71         2.41         33         83.4         23         23.1.4         0	07	9.69	3.21	0.08	o9	0.34	03	-	-	4.22	o9	0	9.01	63.13
98       0.61       1.69       0.62       11       1.71       08       5.52       55.42       6.73       11       1.93       -       -         11       42.05       7.01       90.26       17       59.72       10       -       20.19       -       15       0       60.54       10.12         12       42.43       8.08       4.06       18       68.40       11       -       2.65       0.73       17       83.06       9.67       44.61         15       57.19       31.77       11.55       19       85.27       12       2.20       5.67       10.3       18       5.56       -       1.54         16       -       16.07       20       15.42       19.1       1.16       14.64       7.03       20       76.42       73.99       73.41         18       -       30.30       9.8       16.52       10.1       24       72.23       20       61.1       53.2       9.7.93       24       0       0       0.00         21       55.61       19.91       30.91       2.4       64.32       33.1       2.0       9.7.93       2.4       0.0       0.00       0.00	08	89.79	45.66	25.51	10	48.38	07	-	6.96	-	10	0.01	53,92	19.70
	09	0.61	1.69	0.62	11	1.71	08	5.52	55.82	6.73	11	1.93	-	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10		60.00	24.15	15	5.00	09	-	-	0.07	12	0.17	12.84	0.93
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	42 05	7 01	90.26	17	59 72	10	-	20.19	-	15	0	60.54	10.12
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	24 34	8.08	4.06	18	68.40	11		2.65	0.73	17	83.06	9.67	84.76
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15	57 10	31 77	11 55	10	85.27	12	2 20	5.67	1 02	18	5.56	5.07	04.70
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	57.15	16.07	11.55	20	15 42	12	2.20	9.79	1.05	10	5.50	•	1 5 4
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	4.50	24.02	0.40	20	13.42	13	1 10	0.73		19	76 40	70.00	72.41
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	4.52	34.23	9.40	21	44.01	17	1.10	14.0	7.03	20	70.42	/3.99	73.41
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	18		90.32	88	22	0.16	18	-	-	0.95	21	0.02	0.07	4.35
20       9.8       16.82       10.1       24       72.23       20       61.1       53.2       23       -       9.16       -         21       55.61       19.91       30.91       25       17.8       21       57.3       20.95       7.93       24       0       0       0.00         22       -       38.6       13.2       30       0.05       22       0.2       87.64       5.7       27       -       2.98       -         23       2.21       1.71       2.41       33       83.4       23       26.34       51.46       -       35       -       1.51         24       64.5       10.94       3.4       39       89.1       24       64.33       31.1       2.0       34       18.84       0.51       -         29       14.8       -       -       44       47.2       33       31.3       -       -       35       -       26.01       44       95.2       73.9       39.4       80.4       18.3       41       44.41       61.4       90.52       73.9       39.4       60.0       -       -       37.9       13.99       0.9       0.34       59	19	77.5	85.6	40.5	23	1.42	19		1.24	6.8	22	0.27	0	3.03
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	9.8	16.82	10.1	24	72.23	20	61.1	53.2	92.8	23	-	9.16	-
22        38.6       13.2       30       0.05       22       0.2       87.64       5.7       27        2.98          23       22.1       1.7.1       2.41       33       83.4       23       26.34       51.48        33         1.51         24       64.5       10.94       3.4       39       89.1       24       64.32       33.1       2.0       34       18.84       0.51          29       14.8       -       -       41       53.2       27       -       0.03       -       30       61.12       89.85       74.8         30       0.48       -       -       44       47.2       33       31.3       -       -       40       64.21       89.85       74.8         31       1.89       -       -       58       24.5       35       25.6       -       26.01       44       44.41       9.052       37       1.0.3       -       46       0       0       -       -       39.8       37       13.99       9.02       1.0       0.0       0       -       -       30.1       49.72	21	55.61	19.91	30.91	25	17.8	21	57.3	20.95	7.93	24	0	0	0.09
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	-	38.6	13.2	30	0.05	22	0.2	87.64	5.7	27	-	2.98	-
24       64.5       10.94       3.4       39       89.1       24       64.32       33.1       2.0       34       18.8       0.51       -         28       0.27       5.11       -       40       26.34       25       9.6       -       -       35       -       19.2       -         20       14.8       -       -       44       47.2       33       31.3       -       -       40       64.21       89.85       74.8         30       0.48       -       -       44       47.2       33       31.3       -       -       40       64.21       89.85       74.8         31       0.41       50.81       -       49       23.22       34       23.4       80.04       1.83       41       44.41       61.4       90.52       73.9       39.8       37.3       13.99       0.9       0.0       -       33       5.1.2       45.41       82.01       61       12.7       39       14.6       7.3       17.51       47       0       0       0       0         40       2.6       17.99       10.21       62       5.55.1       40       32.13       92.4 <td< td=""><td>23</td><td>2.21</td><td>1.71</td><td>2.41</td><td>33</td><td>83.4</td><td>23</td><td>26.34</td><td>51.48</td><td>-</td><td>33</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1.51</td></td<>	23	2.21	1.71	2.41	33	83.4	23	26.34	51.48	-	33	-	-	1.51
28       0.27       5.11       -       40       26.34       25       9.6       -       -       35       -       19.2       -         29       14.8       -       -       41       53.2       27       -       0.03       -       39       16.12       46.6       -         30       0.48       -       -       44       47.2       33       31.3       -       -       40       64.21       89.85       74.8         33       0.41       50.81       -       58       24.5       35       25.6       -       26.01       44       95.82       73.9       39.8         37       13.99       0.9       0.34       59       5.02       37       -       0.3       -       46       0       0       -         39       51.2       45.41       82.01       61       12.7       39       14.6       7.3       17.51       47       0       0       0       0         41       61.9       78.92       74.52       64       83.12       41       22.6       -       37.9       49       83.64       70.2       19.6       11.14       26.9       0.0	24	64.5	10.94	3.4	39	89.1	24	64.32	33.1	2.0	34	18.84	0.51	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28	0.27	5.11	-	40	26.34	25	9.6	-	-	35	-	19.2	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	29	14.8		-	41	53.2	27	-	0.03	-	39	16.12	46.6	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30	0.48	-	-	44	47.2	33	31.3	-	-	40	64 21	89.85	74 8
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33	0.41	50.81	_	49	23.22	34	23.4	8 04	1.83	41	44 41	61.4	90.52
37 $1.33$ $3.39$ $0.9$ $0.34$ $59$ $5.02$ $27.3$ $37$ $2.03$ $ 46$ $0$ $0$ $ 39$ $51.2$ $45.41$ $82.01$ $61$ $12.7$ $39$ $14.6$ $7.3$ $17.51$ $47$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $40$ $2.6$ $17.99$ $10.21$ $62$ $55.51$ $40$ $32.13$ $92.4$ $79.72$ $48$ $0.002$ $1.99$ $0.01$ $41$ $61.9$ $78.92$ $74.52$ $64$ $83.12$ $41$ $22.6$ $ 37.9$ $49$ $83.64$ $70.2$ $19.6$ $42$ $ 60.61$ $98.7$ $73$ $16.82$ $44$ $6.74$ $2.71$ $81.4$ $55$ $44.8$ $94.72$ $60.61$ $44$ $30.71$ $41.3$ $24.64$ $78$ $52.3$ $48$ $0.01$ $  56$ $94.82$ $49.72$ $18.91$ $49$ $10.21$ $45.44$ $12.22$ $91$ $64.8$ $55$ $24.57$ $69.02$ $45.43$ $61$ $19.96$ $41.14$ $26.9$ $55$ $2.77$ $1.38$ $84.52$ $94$ $1.11$ $58$ $18.41$ $ 3.39$ $62$ $ 39.78$ $ 56$ $0.074$ $ 5.34$ $97$ $50.3$ $59$ $74.77$ $92.16$ $64$ $0.17$ $0.02$ $4.7$ $57$ $ 3.9$ $ 98$ $21.63$ $61$ $95.01$ $81.9$ $56.3$ $69$	34	1 80	00.01	-	59	24.5	35	25.6	0.01	26.01	44	95.82	73.0	30.8
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	37	13.00	0.0	0.94	60	5.02	37	20.0	0.2	20.01	46	0.02	0.5	00.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	51.00	45 41	0.34	05	10.7	37	14.6	7.3	17 51	40	0	õ	0
40       2.6       17.99       10.21       62       55.51       40       32.3       92.4       79.72       48       0.002       1.99       0.01         41       61.9       78.92       74.52       64       83.12       41       22.6       -       37.9       49       83.64       70.2       19.6         42       -       60.61       98.7       73       16.82       44       6.74       2.71       81.4       55       44.8       94.72       60.61         43       0.05       7.28       1.08       82       19.41       49       30.2       13.8       13.8       59       37.81       46.2       39.1         45       2.77       1.38       84.52       94       1.11       58       18.41       -       3.39       62       -       39.78       -         56       0.074       -       5.34       97       50.3       59       74.77       92.21       64.04       64       0.17       0.02       4.7         57       -       3.9       -       98       21.63       61       95.63       69       0.21       -       -         58       -	39	51.2	45.41	02.01	01	12.7	39	14.0	7.3	70.70	47	0 000	1 00	0.01
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	40	2.6	17.99	10.21	62	55.51	40	32.13	92.4	/9./2	48	0.002	1.99	0.01
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41	61.9	78.92	74.52	64	83.12	41	22.6		37.9	49	83.64	70.2	19.6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	42		60.61	98.7	73	16.82	44	6.74	2.71	81.4	55	44.8	94.72	60.61
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	44	30.71	41.3	24.64	78	52.3	48	0.01	-	-	56	94.82	49.72	18.97
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	48	0.05	7.28	1.08	82	19.41	49	30.2	13.8	13.8	59	37.81	46.2	39.1
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	49	10.21	45.44	12.22	91	64.8	55	24.57	69.02	45.43	61	19.96	41.14	26.9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	55	2.77	1.38	84.52	94	1.11	58	18.41	-	3.39	62	-	39.78	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	56	0.074	-	5.34	97	50.3	59	74.77	92.21	64.04	64	0.17	0.02	4.7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	57		3.9	-	98	21.63	61	95.01	81.9	52.63	69	0.21	-	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	58		0.05	-			62	-	69.44	-	73	5.76	13.96	2.81
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	59	15.22	10.96	9.03			64	11.5	75.1	0.18	74	29.9	47 53	24 01
35.23 $6.8$ $20.72$ $71$ $ 70.9$ $21.61$ $78$ $ 0$ $62$ $69.02$ $31.71$ $49.5$ $73$ $0.05$ $3.37$ $5.63$ $82$ $ 27.1$ $1.26$ $64$ $82.44$ $50.7$ $77.94$ $76$ $  16.96$ $83$ $ 9.36$ $ 68$ $ 0.15$ $78$ $86.8$ $86.51$ $64.93$ $84$ $ 0.2$ $3.6$ $73$ $ 0.03$ $17.89$ $85$ $ 1.66$ $ 94$ $5.80$ $8.30$ $25.4$ $74$ $6.85$ $94$ $1.65$ $1.62$ $0.12$ $96$ $2.15$ $3.89$ $0$ $76$ $1.02$ $3.29$ $1.14$ $97$ $14.56$ $80.8$ $48.41$ $97$ $60.5$ $27.24$ $16.3$ $78$ $ 77.3$ $57.9$ $82$ $18.11$ $7.04$ $19.78$ $84$ $22.6$	60	99.63	29.5	-			70	0.08	,	-	76	44 81	45.6	16 71
1010       000       1011       10100       1010       1010	61	15.23	6.8	20.72			71	-	70.9	21.61	78		-10.0	0
01.02       01.71       40.5       76       -       10.96       83       -       9.36       -         64       82.44       50.7       77.94       76       -       -       10.96       83       -       9.36       -         68       -       0.03       17.89       86.8       86.51       64.93       84       -       0.2       3.6         73       -       0.03       17.89       85       -       1.66       -       94       5.80       8.30       25.4         74       62.17       47.4       6.85       94       1.65       1.62       0.12       96       2.15       3.89       0         76       1.02       3.29       1.14       97       14.56       80.8       48.41       97       60.5       27.24       16.3         78       -       77.73       57.9       82       18.11       7.04       19.78         84       22.6       -       -       -       94       5.93       45.2       9.05         95       1.26       -       6.89       -       -       6.89       -       -         96       8.73 <td< td=""><td>62</td><td>69.02</td><td>31 71</td><td>49.5</td><td></td><td></td><td>73</td><td>0.05</td><td>3 37</td><td>5.63</td><td>82</td><td></td><td>27 1</td><td>1 26</td></td<>	62	69.02	31 71	49.5			73	0.05	3 37	5.63	82		27 1	1 26
04     52.74     30.7     77.54     76     10.80     0.9     5.30     10.80<	61	92.44	50.7	77 04			76	0.00	0.07	16.06	83		0.96	1.20
06       -       0.13       70       000       00.30       00.4.50       04.50       04.50       04.50       0.2       3.0         73       -       0.03       17.89       85       -       1.66       -       94       5.80       8.30       25.4         74       62.17       47.4       6.85       94       1.65       1.62       0.12       96       2.15       3.89       0         76       11.02       3.29       1.14       97       14.56       80.8       48.41       97       60.5       27.24       16.3         78       -       77.73       57.9       97       14.56       80.8       48.41       97       60.5       27.24       16.3         82       18.11       7.04       19.78       84       22.6       -       -       94       5.93       45.2       9.05       95       1.26       -       6.89       95       1.26       -       6.89       95       1.26       -       6.89       96       8.73       60.4       3.65       97       60.1       35.58       7.82       98       34.21       7.2       19.78       97.84       96       96       96 </td <td>C0</td> <td>02.44</td> <td>50.7</td> <td>0.15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>70</td> <td>96.9</td> <td>96 61</td> <td>64.02</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.00</td> <td>36</td>	C0	02.44	50.7	0.15			70	96.9	96 61	64.02	0.0	-	0.00	36
73       -       0.03       17.89       65       -       1.65       -       94       5.00       6.30       25.4         74       62.17       47.4       6.85       94       1.65       1.62       0.12       96       2.15       3.89       0         76       11.02       3.29       1.14       97       14.56       80.8       48.41       97       60.5       27.24       16.3         78       -       77.73       57.9       97       14.56       80.8       48.41       97       60.5       27.24       16.3         84       22.6       -       -       -       94       5.93       45.2       9.05       95       1.26       -       6.89       96       8.73       60.4       3.65       97       60.1       35.58       7.82       98       34.21       7.2       19.78	70	-		17.00			/0	00.0	1 00.01	04.95	04		0.2	05.4
74     62,17     47,4     6.85     94     1.65     1,62     0.12     96     2.15     3.89     0       76     11.02     3.29     1.14     97     14.56     80.8     48.41     97     60.5     27.24     16.3       78     -     77.73     57.9     97     14.56     80.8     48.41     97     60.5     27.24     16.3       84     22.6     -     -     -     9     94     1.55     1.26     -     6.89       94     5.93     45.2     9.05     95     1.26     -     6.89       95     1.26     -     6.89       96     8.73     60.4     3.65       97     60.1     35.58     7.82       98     34.21     7.2     19.78	73		0.03	17.09			65	-	1.00		94	5.60	8.30	25.4
76 11.02 3.29 1.14 97 14.56 80.8 48.41 97 60.5 27.24 16.3 78 - 77.73 57.9 82 18.11 7.04 19.78 84 22.6 94 5.93 45.2 9.05 95 1.26 - 6.89 96 8.73 60.4 3.65 97 60.1 35.58 7.82 98 34.21 7.2 19.78	/4	62.17	47.4	6.85			94	1.65	1.62	0.12	96	2.15	3.89	0
78 - 77.73 57.9 82 18.11 7.04 19.78 84 22.6 94 5.93 45.2 9.05 95 1.26 - 6.89 96 8.73 60.4 3.65 97 60.1 35.58 7.82 98 34.21 7.2 19.78	76	11.02	3.29	1.14			97	14.56	80.8	48.41	97	60.5	27.24	16.3
82 18.11 7.04 19.78 84 22.6 94 5.93 45.2 9.05 95 1.26 - 6.89 96 8.73 60.4 3.65 97 60.1 35.58 7.82 98 34.21 7.2 19.78	78	-	77.73	57.9										
84 22.6 94 5.93 45.2 9.05 95 1.26 - 6.89 96 8.73 60.4 3.65 97 60.1 35.58 7.82 98 34.21 7.2 19.78	82	18.11	7.04	19.78										
94 5.93 45.2 9.05 95 1.26 - 6.89 96 8.73 60.4 3.65 97 60.1 35.58 7.82 98 34.21 7.2 19.78	84	22.6	-	-										
95 1.26 - 6.89 96 8.73 60.4 3.65 97 60.1 35.58 7.82 98 34.21 7.2 19.78	94	5.93	45.2	9.05										
96 8.73 60.4 3.65 97 60.1 35.58 7.82 98 34.21 7.2 19.78	95	1.26	-	6.89										
97 60.1 35.58 7.82 98 34.21 7.2 19.78	96	8.73	60.4	3.65										
98 34,21 7,2 19,78	97	60.1	35.58	7.82										
	98	34.21	7.2	19.78										

#### (b): Malawi-Mozambique

code	1980	1981	1982	code	1983	code	1984	1985	1986	code	1987	1988	1989
00	24.05			00	69.03	00	5.87	3.78	-	00	11.66	-	-
03	0	27.27		07	11.89	09	5.44	2.67	-	27	-	-	0.04
10			97.77	10	0.002	12		•	0.3	48	0.76	-	-
11			19.43	15	46.39	15	33.38	-	-	49	7.72	-	-
12		12.17		25	0.39	16	2.57	-	-	73	75.24	26.6	-
15			0.14	27	14.54	25	11.41	90.58					
17	1.16			30	31.3	27	11.95	29.72					
21	10.12			39	15.44	44		75.75					
27	7.17	14.53	9.14	55	0.12	49	9.07						
32	60.51		75.23	61	48.65	62	13.9						
34	92.46	16.14	44.35	73	53.08	82		-	0.12				
39	51.65	0		84	76.98	85		-	22.35				
40	1.91		3.42			87		-	13.79				
42	89.8					94		-	19.07				
44		19.09											
48	28.66												
49			68.97										
59			81.78										
61	0		7.88										
62	0.97		1.28										
64			31.5										
68	0.43												
69			77.42										
73	50.57	8.37	19.25										
82			80.01										
83			5.32										
85			24.98										
97			67.31										

#### (c): Malawi-Zambia

code	1980	1981	1982	code	1983	code	1984	1985	1986	code	1987	1988	1989
00	18,9	15.38	43.96	00	8.47	00	12.34	12.49	8,59	00	48.25	25.58	74.02
01	-	10,25	3.93	03	4.95	21	-	22.43		07	-	0.008	
03	-	-	0.38	11	26.59	25	-	0.006	-	08	3.84	-	-
12	11.82	0.89	-	21	29.93	30		46.15	0.02	10	0.003	-	
19	-	-	40.7	24	66.7	33	-		88.2	12	24.3	-	0.82
21	-	-	82.57	33	24.6	39	0.06	0.16	12.74	19		-	3.62
24	0.02	0.08	0.7	34	5.61	40	13.03	9.9	-	20	-	-	53.26
28	5.44	-		49	7.15	48	16.7	32.8	-	21	-	-	71.4
29	19.05	-		55	0.32	49	17.05	4.01	22.04	28	4.12		0
30	0.014	0.02	0.07	59	0.13	55	26.41	-		30	•	-	3.74
33	11.75	-	-	61	4 4 9	59	0.43	-	7 02	32	-	-	
39	0.95	0.65	6 97	64	75.99	61	85.96	93.83	25.02	33	47.81	-	-
40		-	23.52	73	2.51	64	19.58	20.74	15.8	39	0.14	10.83	0.53
48	7 39	32.9	36	84	88.1	73	18.84	15.51	28.61	40	48.2	-	-
49	27.61	14 29	78 1		-	82	-	-	0.06	41	0	_	
55	60.63	-	0.03	-		84	2.93	-	-	44	13.95	93 85	-
56	16.75	-	-		-	94	24.05	-		48	89.7	28.18	
61	86.44	20.04	0.51				2			50	3.81	92 71	0
62	1.01		62.61							58	0		-
64	34 48		1.00							59	-		
70	39.08	7 19	12 45							60	0		
73	45 79	0.67	2.67							61	83 33	31.23	22 74
84	39.84	0.07	2.07							62	0.02	01.20	0
85			0.47							63			2.97
87		2 48	••••							64	51.3		
94			95.74							67	0		
98		70 77								68	ō	3.91	
										69	õ	0.01	1.29
										70	õ		32 15
										73	24 26		0.31
										74	0		0
										76	õ		v
										78	ñ		14.8
										70	v		14.0
										80	0		
										00	7 02	10.00	
										02	7.00	0.00	
										03	01.11	22.04	71 40
										64 05	21.11	33.21	/ 1.48
										00 07	0.94		7.01
										8/	<u> </u>		7.91

Code	1981	1982	1983	Code	1984	1985	1986	Code	1987	1988	1989
00	3.75	81.86	47.02	00	3.30	0.87	-	00	51.27	37.40	39.64
21	-	-	76.71	12		-	3.82	07	-	-	-
22	-	-	43.14	49		2.79	-	12	-	80.74	52.74
30	-	-	1.79	61		18.59	-	27	1.09	-	-
49	1.71	18.43	0.42					40	51.14	-	-
58	-	50.21	-					48	-	16.51	-
61	5.39	-	-					49	0.09	1.29	4.90
84	-	-	1.59					61	-	67.11	-
-	-	-	-					85	-	-	34.76

(d): Malawi's intra-industry trade with Tanzania

(e): Malawi's intra-industry trade with Kenya

Code	1981	1982	1983	Code	1984	1985	1986	Code	1987	1988	1989
~~	74.05	04.00	00.00		E0.0E	E4.07	74.04		57.04	60.76	44.00
00	74.85	24.22	83.22	00	20.05	54.87	/4.34	00	57.31	02.70	14.02
o9	•	3.02	-	o3	78.73	-	-	22	-	39.23	-
12	-	95.6	•	44	0.66	42.86	-	30	-	-	5.34
21	-	15.65	-	49	92.85	48.60	27.10	37	-	1.56	-
44	-	-	3.78	84	-	3.01		48	-	-	5.35
49	72.93	76.07	69.90					49	23.79	98.67	83.42
64	5.31							90			60.30
84	56.80										

# Notes

- 1 With a Preferential Trading Area members reduce or remove impediments to trade among each other but individually retain their restrictions with the rest of the world. Over time many other developmental strategies have been adopted including monetary issues.
- 2. This is not a unique problem of these countries but many other empirical studies have noted this. For example, Finger and DeRosa 1978, discusses the classification difficulties with the US data. Drabek and Greenaway discusses also problems of data comparison in their study which compares The EEC and CMEA Intra-Industry Trade.
- 3. Hellvin 1993.

# References

- Agmon, T. 1979. *Direct Investment and Intra-industry Trade*, in H. Giersch (ed.), On the Economics of Intra Industry Trade, Traningen:J.C.B.Mohr.
- Balassa, B. 1966. "Tariff Reductions and Trade in Manufacturers Among Industrial Countries" *American Economic Review* Vol. 56 pp.466-473.
- Balassa, B. 1979. Stages Approach to comparative Advantage. Economic Growth and Resources. Edited by Irma Aldelman. London Macmillan, pp. 121-36.
- Balassa, B. 1986. "The Determinants of Intra-Industry Specialization in United States". *Oxford Economic Papers*, Vol. 38, pp. 220 - 233.
- Balassa, B. and L. Bauwens. 1987. "Intra-Industry Specialization in a multi-Industry Framework". *The Economic Journal*, Vol. 97 pp. 923 939.
- Baldwin, R. 1979 "Determinants of Trade and Foreign Investment: Further Evidence." *Review of Economics and Statistics*, Vol LXI, no.1 (February) pp. 40-48.
- Barker, T. 1977: International Trade and Economic Growth: An alternative to the Neoclassical Approach, *Cambridge Journal of Economics*. Vol.1
- Behar, J. 1991. "Economic Integration and Intra-Industry Trade: The Case of The Argentina-Brazilian Free Trade Agreement", *Journal of Common Market Studies* Vol. 26 no.5 pp. 527-552.
- Caves, R. E. 1981. "Intra-Industry Trade and Market Structures in the Industrial Countries" Oxford Economic Papers, Vol. 33 pp. 203-223.
- Clark D. P. 1993. Recent Evidence on Determinants of Intra-Industry Trade," Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv Bd 129 pp. 333- 344.
- Cooper D., D. Greenaway and A.J. Rayner. 1993. "Intra-Industry Trade and Limited Producer Horizons: An Empirical Investigation," *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv*, Bd 129 pp. 345-366.
- Dean, J. W. and S. Globerman. 1990. "Recent Trends in Intra-Industry Trade and Their Implications for Future Trade Liberalization" Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv, Bd. 126 pp.25-49.
- DeRosa, D. A. 1992. "Protection and Export Performance in sub-Saharan Africa," Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv, Bd 128 pp.88-124.
- Drabek, Z. and D. Greenaway. 1984. "Economic integration and intra-industry trade: the CMEA and EC compared", *Kyklos*, 37 pp. 444-69.
- Finger J.M and D. A. DeRosa. 1978., "On the Economics of Intra-Industry Trade. Symposium, Edited by Herbert Giersch, Institut fur Weltwirtschaft an der Universität Kiel.

- Gavelin L. and I. Lundberg. 1983. "Determinant of Intra-Industry Trade: Testing Some Hypothesis on Swedish Trade Data", In: P.K. Mathew Tharakan (ed) *Intra-Industry Trade. Empirical and Methodological Aspect*. Amsterdam, pp. 161-200.
- Globerman. 1992., "Intra Industry Trade" Weltwirtchaftliches Archiv Bd 127.
- Greenaway, D. 1982. "Identifying the gains from pure intra-industry exchange", *Journal* of Economics Studies. 10 pp. 40-56.
- Greenaway, D. and C.R. Milner. 1983. "On the measurement of intra-industry trade", *Economic Journal*, 93 pp. 900-908.
- Greenaway D, H.T, Thornton. 1989. Economic Aspects of Regional Trading Arrangements (ed.)
- Grubel, H.G. and P.G. Lloyd. 1975. Intra-Industry Trade. London: Macmillan.
- Hellvin, L., (1993) Intra-Industry Trade and Inter-Industry Specialization in Trade among ASEAN Countries. Minor Field Study Series No.30 Nationalekonomiska Institutionen vid Lunds Universitet.
- Hufbauer G. C. 1970. "The Technology Factor in International Trade" A conference of the Universities *-National Bureau Committee for Economic Research*, Edited by Raymond Vernon Columbia University Press New York and London.
- Hughes K.S. 1993. "Intra-Industry Trade in the 1980s: A Panel Study" *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv* Band 129 pp. 561-572.
- Jordan T. 1993. "Intra-Industry Trade- An In-Depth Study of Swedish Liquid Pump Trade" Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv, Bd. 129 pp.732-776.
- Krugman, P. R. 1981. "Intra-Industry Specialization and the Gains from Trade" *Journal* of *Political Economy*, 89, pp. 959-73.
- Krugman P.R and Obstfeld. 1988. International Economics Theory and Policy Scott, Foresman and Company.
- Lancaster, K. 1980. Intra-Industry Trade under perfect monopolistic competition, *Journal* of International Economics, 10 pp.151-156.
- Lee H.H and Y.Y Lee. 1993. "Intra-Industry Trade in Manufactures: The Case of Korea," Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv Bd 129 p.159-171.
- Pelzman, J. 1978. "Soviet-COMECON trade: the question of intra-industry specialization", *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv*, 114, pp. 297-304.
- Pronfret, R. 1979. "Intra-Industry Trade in International Trade" In Giersch, H., ed., On the European Economics of Intra Industry Trade, pp. 115-31 (Tubingen: J. C. B. Mohr).
- Markusen, J. 1983. Factor movements and commodity trade as complements, *Journal of International Economics*, 13. pp. 341-356.
- Mundell R.A. 1957. "International Trade and factor mobility", American Economic Review, 47. pp.321-335.
- Norman, V. 1990. Assessing Trade and Welfare Effects of Trade Liberalization" *European Economic Review*, 34, pp. 725-51.
- PTA 1992, PTA Trade and Development Strategy.
- PTA 1992 PTA Development Report, A Decade of Economic Integration 1982 1992.
- Vona, S. 1991. "On the Measurement of Intra-Industry Trade: Some Further Thoughts", WeltWirtschaftliches Archiv Bd. 127 pp. 678-700.


- Wonnacott, P. 1987. The United States and Canada: The quest for Free Trade: An axamination of Selected Issues. Policy Analyses in International Economics No. 16 (Washington, D. C. : Institute for International Economics).
- Willmore L.N. 1974. "The Pattern of Trade and Specialization in the Central American Common Market", *Journal of Economic Studies*, 2. pp. 113-134.

## AFRICAN ECONOMIC RESEARCH CONSORTIUM



P.O. BOX 62882 NAIROBI, KENYA

TELEPHONE (254-2) 228057 225234 215898 212359 332438 225087

**TELEX 22480** 

FAX (254-2) 219308

E-MAIL aerc@elci.gn.apc.org or aerc@form-net.com The principal objective of the African Economic Research Consortium (AERC), established in August 1988, is to strengthen local capacity for conducting independent, rigorous inquiry into problems pertinent to the management of economies in Sub-Saharan Africa.

In response to special needs of the region, AERC has adopted a flexible approach to improve the technical skills of local researchers, allow for regional determination of research priorities, strengthen national institutions concerned with economic policy research, and facilitate closer ties between researchers and policy makers.

Since its establishment, AERC has been supported by private foundations, bilateral aid agencies and international organizations.

**SPECIAL PAPERS** contain the findings of commissioned studies in furtherance of AERC's programmes for research, training and capacity building.

**RESEARCH PAPERS** contain the edited and externally reviewed results of research financed by the AERC.

It is AERC's policy that authors of Special and Research Papers are free to use material contained therein in other publications. Views expressed in the Special and Research Papers are those of the authors alone and should not be attributed to the AERC's sponsoring Members, Advisory Committee, or Secretariat.

Further information concerning the AERC and additional copies of Special and Research Papers can be obtained by writing to: African Economic Research Consortium, P.O. Box 62882, Nairobi, Kenya.